

Financial Oversight Committee 2015-2016 Report

ñ





2015-2016 Committee Members

- Joan Krenik, Chair
- · Jon Kean, Vice-Chair
- Shelly Slaugh Nahass
- Paul Silvern
- Gordon Lee
- Tom Larmore

- Manel Sweetmore
- Debbie Mulvaney
- Marc Levis-Fitzgerald
- Seth Jacobson
- Alex Farivar





2015 – 2016 FOC Board and Staff Liaisons

- Laurie Lieberman
- Craig Foster
- Jan Maez
- Kim Nguyen

◀ ▶

2015 – 2016 FOC Charges

ñ

- Maintenance Financing Identify potential dedicated funding sources for maintenance financing.
- **Potential Cost Savings Through Sustainability** Identify potential cost savings that could be obtained via implementation of sustainability measures.
- Impact of Living Wage and Minimum Wage Study the possible impact of changes in the minimum an living wage levels on our salary structure and budget.
- **District Budget Committee** Meet as requested to assist with analysis of budget issues.

FOC Maintenance Financing Subcommittee

• Tom Larmore – Subcommittee Chair

ñ

- Gordon Lee Subcommittee Member
- Alex Farivar Subcommittee Member





FOC Potential Savings Through Sustainability Subcommittee

- Shelly Slaugh Nahass Subcommittee Chair
- Seth Jacobson Subcommittee Member
- Marc Levis-Fitzgerald Subcommittee Member
- Manel Sweetmore Subcommittee Member
- Dean Chien and Sky Petretti Student Rep. Subcommittee Members





- Debbie Mulvaney Subcommittee Chair
- Jon Kean Subcommittee Member
- Joan Krenik Subcommittee Member
- Paul Silvern Subcommittee Member

FOC District Budget Subcommittee

• Joan Krenik – Subcommittee Chair

ñ

- Jon Kean Subcommittee Member
- Tom Larmore Subcommittee Member
- Debbie Mulvaney Subcommittee Member

| To: | SMMUSD Board of Education |
|----------|---|
| From: | Joan Krenik, Chair, Financial Oversight Committee |
| Subject: | FOC Annual Report |
| Date: | July 14, 2016 |

In addition to its regular oversight duties, the SMMUSD Financial Oversight Committee typically evaluates special finance related questions poised by the Board. The FOC reports its findings at a joint meeting with the Board in July. At the 9/17/15 meeting of the SMMUSD School Board, the following subcommittee charges for FY 2015-16 were approved:

Maintenance Financing - Identify potential dedicated funding sources for maintenance operations above and beyond current budgetary levels. The subcommittee would also evaluate how other districts budget for and finance maintenance operations. In addition to searching for economies of scale, the goal would be to target new sources of revenue that could be dedicated to reducing the gap between what we have and what we need.

Potential Costs Savings through Sustainability - Identify potential cost savings through sustainability measures. The FOC would explore the potential long-term financial benefits as well as upfront costs. Water usage and the impact of solar technologies will be studied.

Impact of Living Wage and Minimum Wage - With changes made to the minimum wage in LA County, the FOC would focus on the potential impacts of changes in the minimum and living wage levels in Santa Monica. The SMMUSD current living wage is \$13.09/hour. As we have classified staff working below the City of Santa Monica's current living wage (\$15.37/hour), the impact of mandated wage increases on our salary structure and budget will be considered.

District Budget Committee - The district's budget will be studied by CBO Jan Maez, Superintendent Lyon and a working group of district staff. The FOC will make a subcommittee available to provide research and guidance for any particular issues that might arise during this process. The work of the FOC will be targeted and focused on fiscal impact and research only. The intent of the research would be to explore efficiencies that might be achieved.

The FOC formed subcommittees to focus on each charge. The subcommittee members assigned are as follows:

Maintenance Financing: Mr. Larmore (Chair), Mr. Lee, Mr. Farivar
Potential Cost Savings Through Sustainability: Ms. Slaugh Nahass(Chair), Mr.
Jacobson, Mr. Levis-Fitzgerald, Mr. Sweetmore, Mr. Chien, Mr. Petretti
Impact of Living and Minimum Wage: Ms Mulvaney (Chair), Mr. Kean, Ms. Krenik, Mr. Silvern
District Budget: Ms. Krenik (Chair), Mr. Kean, Mr. Larmore, Ms. Mulvaney

Attached are the full subcommittee reports. A summary of the findings and conclusions will be presented at the Board Meeting on July 20, 2016.

Maintenance Financing Subcommittee Report

Submitted by: Mr. Larmore (Chair), Mr. Lee and Mr. Farivar

Our subcommittee was charged with looking into the possibility of a new dedicated source of funding for developing and executing a real time responsive preventative and deferred maintenance program for school facilities. The subcommittee members are Tom Larmore, Gordon Lee and Alex Farivar. We divided our task into two parts: assessing the extent of the need for additional funds; and evaluating potential sources.

Findings and Methodology

The Committee met with District Staff and outside consultants and reviewed facility inspection reports for each school compiled based on inspections during Summer and Fall, 2015. While most schools were rated as being in "Good" condition (Olympic High School, Webster Elementary and Roosevelt Elementary were rated as "Fair") and no serious defects were found, there were many deficiencies found relating to interior surfaces and overall cleanliness.

The District has a "windows, paint and floor" project in place focusing first on elementary schools with three schools to be serviced each summer beginning in 2016. This project is being funded through bond funds (between \$2MM and \$4MM per school) and will be limited to interiors (but will not upgrade bathrooms). This level of maintenance is not sufficient to meet the continuing needs or provide rapid response as problems develop.

An adequate program would require between \$3MM - \$4MM annually and would be accomplished through a mix of Staff and service contracts. The District would acquire adequate service contracts and agreements to maintain newly installed complex HVAC systems, building management systems and energy efficiency equipment. A staff training program would be implemented to support these complex systems. The efficient use of staff skills is not being fully maximized as many of our highly trained specialists are executing low level work and facility priorities. This also causes potential union issues. With the right mix of staffing, a routine facility program can be implemented to support equipment, change filters, plumbing, fixtures and all the day to day maintenance occurrences.

With the right mix of contract and Staff, we can address long term deferred maintenance such as interior and exterior paint, roof, infrastructure and parking facilities. That includes implementing water conservation through efficient drought tolerant landscaping and irrigation practices.

All of this is supported through a well-planned and thorough work order system that will not only address immediate issues, but give Staff confidence to report the need for repairs and know they will be completed in a timely fashion. The goal is to change the "lack of quality" perception when it comes to District facilities. We want everyone to think our facilities are commensurate with our excellent education programs.

Potential Solutions

We believe the most logical source of new funding is a new transaction and use tax adopted by the voters similar to that of Propositions Y and YY. We are currently working with the City of Santa Monica in connection with its desire to obtain funding for affordable housing through such a tax. The current proposal is a .50% "sales" tax with half of the money being available to the District. This would generate another approximately \$8,000,000 annually at the

current level of sales activity in the City – the same amount as is generated by Proposition Y - presumably increasing incrementally annually. A ballot measure asking the voters to adopt such a tax and a companion measure expressing the will of the voters to devote one-half of the revenue to the District was approved by the City Council on July 12. Presumably, these measures be on the November, 2016 ballot. A committee has been established to work towards convincing the voters to adopt both measures.

Recommendations

If both measures are approved by the voters, the District's share of the tax will provide more than is needed for maintenance and our work on this subject will be completed. If the tax is defeated, we recommend that this issue be studied as a part of the FOC's activities next year.

Potential Cost Savings Through Sustainability Subcommittee Report

Submitted by: Ms. Slaugh Nahass(Chair), Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Levis-Fitzgerald, Mr. Sweetmore

The sustainability subcommittee has been tasked with understanding and offering solutions to the current methods and policies employed by SMMUSD regarding sustainability. As an initial step, the committee will begin the review and evaluation of all the existing methods to better understand the current strategies being employed by the district. Following our initial review, the sustainability subcommittee will comment on these methods, as well as offer recommendations to the SMMUSD School Board regarding best practices, potential cost savings, and short term and long term strategies regarding sustainability. In parallel to this effort, the subcommittee will do a comprehensive review of sustainability policies and principles being implemented by other school districts and will work towards presenting a draft sustainability policy concept paper focused on the financial benefits of current and long-term sustainability. Such a policy should help drive the short and long-term direction of SMMUSD toward being a cost-effective sustainable school district. The subcommittee views its efforts to identify specific actions that will increase the school district's sustainability index as a multi-year approach concentrating on one of the sustainability areas per FOC year, for three subsequent years. The sustainability policy regarding the cost-benefit of achieving sustainability in each of the three major categories below will be presented to the Board at the end of each of the three years, with an overall policy presented at the end of the third year.

As part of the subcommittee's effort to address specific areas to improve the district's sustainability profile, the subcommittee has identified the following three areas to initially focus on:

- Energy, including utility cost, energy efficiency standards (standards are required to be in place by 2030), efficient lighting, electronics, reviewing peak usage cost, and solar.
- Water, including reduction of use at sights including bathrooms, showers, landscape, review procedures regarding lead free drinking fountains at all sights, storm water run-off capture, landscape with drought tolerant plants only, recycling of green waste.
- Waste, including recycling of paper, electronics, batteries, food, printer cartridges, aluminum, glass, etc.

The end result of the sustainability subcommittee is an overall financial cost-benefit sustainability policy that will drive the development of a "Best Practices Guide" that will offer specific sustainability strategies to be implemented by the SMMUSD School Board at each of the district sites. The best practices guide will focus on the areas identified with a strong emphasis on finding economic savings that will impact the district's operating budget. The best practice's guide will also include student involvement programs that can be implemented at each district site. Additionally, the subcommittee will review building audits, maintenance and equipment replacement standards, and investment policies that focus on items that are energy efficient.

Findings and Methodology

The sub-committee determined that the first priority for our research and review was to review and analyze the use of water by district facilities and make recommendations as to what processes and programs should be done to facilitate increased water reductions and economic savings, as well as providing a road-map for determining the steps necessary to accomplish these initial efforts. The sub-committee also reviewed recommendations for how in coming year to address energy consumption and management and provide initial recommendations to the full FOC and school board by December 2016. It is the sub-committees desire to provide the board in early 2017, with a sustainability framework that we would hope the board will consider turning into a sustainability policy.

The committee met with district staff and also did extensive research with outside agencies and consultants to assess the steps necessary to accomplish these goals. We learned that there are two important initiatives ongoing within the district that we believe the board should continue to support and encourage:

Water Use Assessments

Under the direction of the Virginia Hyatt, the district is working towards contracting to have water audits for all the Santa Monica facilities. These audits would be done in the coming months and would provide facility specific analysis of each location and indicate where the district needs to repair, replace or remove equipment and infrastructure to better manage water use at each site. The committee believes this is an important step and ought to be a priority for the staff in the summer 2016 timeframe. Once those recommendations are made to staff, the committee recommends that staff bring them to the board and that they act quickly on them.

• NOTE: The funding for these efforts does not include the Malibu sites. This funding came from the City of Santa Monica and is dedicated to only Santa Monica sites. The importance of this is that the largest fines and issues related to water use according to the data we reviewed is for the Malibu sites. Therefore, completing review and analysis of the Malibu sites is critical for this program to be successful. The committee reviewed funding options for the Malibu assessment, and determined that there are two options for funding. Seek a grant from the local water districts (West Basin and/or Metropolitan Water District) or have the SMMUSD Board fund the analysis and seek reimbursement from existing general or bond funds. The committee suggests that the Board move forward and fund the analysis and then work with staff to reimburse the General Fund from either grant or bond funds (Measure ES) that were specifically allocated to the Malibu sites. Getting this work done is paramount to accomplishing our stated goal of understanding the water consumption issues within the entire SMMUSD operation.

Energy Assessment

As a second step towards identifying measurable sustainability, the sub-committee recommends that the SMMUSD take advantage of public/private programs that will provide the

district with a roadmap for energy sustainability. The sub-committee did research on programs that would be available to the SMMUSD that are provided by either the district's public utility vendors or other product manufacturers.

In reviewing the options, the sub-committee with the help of staff, identified the Continuous Energy Improvement Program (CEI). This program co-sponsored by Southern California Edison and Southern California Gas Company provides a detailed energy analysis and audit as well as "help qualified customers to implement strategic, ongoing energy-management practices." We believe that CEI is an excellent opportunity for the SMMUSD to assess the energy use within the district and accomplish this at a moderate cost of staff resources and time commitment. In order for the district to qualify for the CEI program the Board and staff must commit to the following, concepts and resource allocations which we believe strongly will be a step in the right direction towards district sustainability. Many of these elements are already in place which would make qualification extremely easy. Here are the requirements.

- Be a business customer of both SoCalGas and SCE. Have support from an executive sponsor within their organization.
 Be willing to commit financial and human resources to the CEI engagement, including designating a program point of contact who will be the CEI Project Manager/Energy Champion.
- Have the ability to clearly articulate business priorities and goals.
- Have incorporated, or be committed to incorporate, sustainability and energy efficiency into corporate goals, strategic planning, or messaging.
- Have training integrated into the company culture and processes.
- Have previous experience, or strong interest, in energy branding and certification (ISO 50001, LEED, ENERGY STAR, etc.).

It is important to note that the CEI program is a two-year program that is completely free to the district. The first year focuses on developing strategies and finding savings as well as funding sources for energy efficiency programs, the second year is focused on helping with implementation. The total number of staff hours that they would recommend is 8 hours per month. The Sustainability sub-committee of the FOC would work collaboratively with staff to monitor and direct activities.

Recommendations

It is our recommendation that the Board move swiftly to implement these measures – the continued engagement of the water consultants as well as engagement of CEI to develop a scope of work for energy management so that by fall 2016, the FOC can return to the board with some recommended approaches to financial savings related to water use and energy management.

Impact of Living and Minimum Wage Subcommittee Report

Submitted by: Ms Mulvaney (Chair), Mr. Kean, Ms. Krenik, Mr. Silvern

The District needs to maintain a salary schedule that is competitive and appropriately aligned with position classifications, but also recognizes that the local labor market has been reshaped recently by both State and City of Santa Monica actions on minimum wage rates. This sub-committee was charged with looking at the impact these changes, and those associated with living wage issues, might have on District finances.

Findings and Methodology

SMMUSD has bargaining units that negotiate the pay scales for most of the employees of SMMUSD. For those employees that don't belong to either of the bargaining units (exempt employees), SMMUSD follows the higher of federal and state minimum wage guidelines. The current minimum wage in the State of California is \$10.00 per hour, rising to \$10.50 per hour on 7/1/16. The City of Santa Monica has recently implemented a plan to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 per hour by 2020. The City itself however, follows a living wage structure for its employees. The current minimum for that is \$15.37 per hour rising to \$15.87 per hour on 7/1/6. The City's living wage is adjusted annually each July 1 by an amount corresponding to the previous year's change (January to January) in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, not a pre-defined schedule.

The committee reviewed the salary structure for those employees who fall into the category of employees affected by any change to the minimum wage. They are almost entirely exempt employees. We researched the various models used by other civic and academic organizations, as well as meeting with SEIU leadership to make sure we considered all options. This was difficult due to the fact that either it doesn't apply to other civic organizations (SMC) or they aren't dealing with it. We arrived at 3 possible models for addressing these issues, compressing the salary scale, adjusting the scale to accommodate higher incoming salaries or increasing the scale across the board. Compressing the salary scale is defined as lessening the 2 ½% differential between ranges. Adjusting the salary scale is defined as repositioning jobs along the range and steps to better match market rates for those jobs. Increasing the salary scale across the board is defined as making the same incremental increase on all steps/columns in order to raise the bottom up to a desired minimum level.

There are 3 categories of employees who are not within the membership of SEIU and are therefore not covered by any agreements. They are Student Workers, Noon Aides and Coaches, collectively, exempt employees. Currently Student Workers and Noon Aides are paid \$10.00 per hour and Coaches are paid \$12.40 per hour. The Student Workers and Noon Aides will increase to \$10.50 on 7/1/16 reflecting the impact of the City of Santa Monica's minimum wage structure. Following the minimum wage prescribed increases over the next several years and assuming the same number of employees in these categories, the cost to the District will be \$184,264 over the next 5 years, as the minimum wage grows to \$15.00 per hour.

Conclusions

There existed in SMMUSD a significant gap between wages paid to some employees vs market rate wages for comparable jobs. A Personnel Commission study was conducted in 2015 that detailed these wage gaps. While there is a desire to pay all employees at least a \$15 minimum wage if not a Living Wage, raising the wages of employees at the lowest end of the pay scale would create a compression in the salary steps for employees with higher wages and more seniority. Maintaining this step integrity will be costly for SMMUSD. Lastly, there is an opportunity cost due to below market wages as numerous positions remain unfilled and employee retention in these jobs is increasingly difficult.

The newly adopted collective bargaining agreement addresses many of the issues we were asked to look at, as it adjusts the salary scale to accommodate higher starting salaries as well as many of the market valuation discrepancies that had existed.

Much of the research conducted by the sub-committee lead to discoveries that have been addressed, at least to some degree, by the passage of the new collective bargaining agreement. The wide gap that existed between market rate and actual wages in SMMUSD has narrowed significantly. A full scale shift of the salary range to bring the bottom salary ranges up to a living wage and keep all steps/columns proportionally the same, would create an undue burden on the district finances and should be discarded as an option to address the issues.

There is still a need for bringing our lowest wage earners to a living wage in the future in order to remain a competitive employer in Santa Monica and Malibu. Our SEIU workers now have reached a minimum wage of \$13.78 per hour effective with the new agreement (retroactive to 1/1/16). The largest unresolved issue remains the "step compression", as starting hourly wages for the range 18-24 job categories once compressed would shrink the differentiation between the ranges.

Recommendations

Consider addressing the minimum wage exempt employees earlier than the minimum wage incremental increase calls for. These jobs are hard to fill and we are at a competitive disadvantage for these positions. They are part-time and pay less than equivalent jobs within the City of Santa Monica, thereby making it more difficult to fill these spots. Also, the total value of this adjustment is just shy of \$185,000. SMMUSD needs to keep salaries in line with market valuation in a more timely manner, so we retain our competitive advantage. The District needs to continue to work with the bargaining units to address the differential between minimum and living wages, while considering the implication at its lower levels of compressing the salary structure.

| From: The Financial Oversight Committee of the Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| To: The Board of Education of the Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District | | | | | | | | |
| Prepared by: Debbie Mulvaney, Joan Krenik, Jon Kean, Paul Silvern | | | | | | | | |
| Regarding: Minimum Wage and Living Wage and its financial impact on SMMUSD | | | | | | | | |

Charge to Subcommittee

The District needs to maintain a salary schedule that is competitive and appropriately aligned with position classifications, but also recognizes that the local labor market has been re-shaped recently by both State and City of Santa Monica actions on minimum wage rates. This sub-committee was charged with looking at the impact these changes, and those associated with living wage issues, might have on District finances.

SMMUSD Minimum Wage Requirements

SMMUSD has bargaining units that negotiate the pay scales for most of the employees of SMMUSD. For those employees that don't belong to either of the bargaining units (exempt employees), SMMUSD follows the higher of federal and state minimum wage guidelines. The current minimum wage in the State of California is \$10.00 per hour, rising to \$10.50 per hour on 7/1/16. The City of Santa Monica has recently implemented a plan to increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 per hour by 2020. The City itself however, follows a living wage structure for its employees. The current minimum for that is \$15.37 per hour rising to \$15.87 per hour on 7/1/6. The City's living wage is adjusted annually each July 1 by an amount corresponding to the previous year's change (January to January) in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, not a pre-defined schedule.

| 7/1/16 | \$10.50 |
|--------|---------|
| 7/1/17 | \$12.00 |
| 7/1/18 | \$13.25 |
| 7/1/19 | \$14.25 |
| 7/1/20 | \$15.00 |

City of Santa Monica Minimum Wage increments

Methodology

The committee reviewed the salary structure for those employees who fall into the category of employees affected by any change to the minimum wage. They are almost entirely exempt employees. We researched the various models used by other civic and academic organizations, as well as meeting with SEIU leadership to make sure we considered all options. We arrived at 3 possible models for addressing these issues, compressing the salary scale, adjusting the scale to accommodate higher incoming salaries or increasing the scale across the board. Compressing the salary scale is defined as lessening the 2 ½% differential between ranges. Adjusting the salary scale is defined as repositioning jobs along the range and steps to better match market rates for those jobs. Increasing the salary scale across the board is defined as making the same incremental increase on all steps/columns in order to raise the bottom up to a desired minimum level.

Exempt Employees

There are 3 categories of employees who are not within the membership of SEIU and are therefore not covered by any agreements. They are Student Workers, Noon Aides and Coaches, collectively, exempt employees. Currently Student Workers and Noon Aides are paid \$10.00 per hour and Coaches are paid \$12.40 per hour. The Student Workers and Noon Aides will increase to \$10.50 on 7/1/16 reflecting the impact of the City of Santa Monica's minimum wage structure. Following the minimum wage prescribed increases over the next several years and assuming the same number of employees in these categories, the cost to the District will be \$184,264 over the next 5 years, as the minimum wage grows to \$15.00 per hour. See the chart below for the impact to SMMUSD for those exempt employees who are minimum wage employees (or close to minimum wage) and who are effected by the Minimum Wage changes in the City of Santa Monica.

| | City of Santa Moni | ca Minimum | Wage Chan | ges - Impact | to SMMUS | D | 23-Ma | 23-Mar-16 | |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Object Code | Position | | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | |
| 2931 | Coaches | hourly rate | \$12.40 | \$12.40 | \$12.40 | \$13.25 | \$14.25 | \$15.00 | |
| | | total expense | \$280,018 | \$280,018 | \$280,018 | \$299,213 | \$321,795 | \$338,731 | |
| 2933 | Student Workers/AVID | hourly rate | \$10.00 | \$10.50 | \$12.00 | \$13.25 | \$14.25 | \$15.00 | |
| | | total expense | \$38,405 | \$40,325 | \$46,086 | \$50,887 | \$54,727 | \$57,608 | |
| 2935 | Noon Duty | hourly rate | \$10.00 | \$10.50 | \$12.00 | \$13.25 | \$14.25 | \$15.00 | |
| | | total expense | \$212,697 | \$223,332 | \$255,236 | \$281,824 | \$303,093 | \$319,043 | |
| | TOTAL | | \$531,120 | \$543,675 | \$581,340 | \$631,923 | \$679,615 | \$715,384 | |
| | Increase from prior year | | | \$12,555 | \$37,665 | \$50,583 | \$47,692 | \$35,769 | |
| | Cumulative Impa | ct over 5 yea | rs | | | | | \$184,264 | |

Issues Raised

There existed in SMMUSD a significant gap between wages paid to some employees vs market rate wages for comparable jobs. A study was conducted in 2015 that detailed these wage gaps (see below). While there is a desire to pay all employees at least a \$15 minimum wage if not a Living Wage, raising the wages of employees at the lowest end of the pay scale would create a compression in the salary steps for employees with higher wages and more seniority. Maintaining this step integrity will be costly for SMMUSD. Lastly, there is an opportunity cost due to below market wages as numerous positions remain unfilled and employee retention in these jobs is increasingly difficult. The next 3 pages show the market differential before the latest contract.

Personnel Commission Study Results

| SMMUSD Classification Plan | | | | | Distance +/- From Market | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|---|-------|--|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Job Fam | Job Sub- Family | Classification | Benchmark | Range | MIN SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -1% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -2% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -3% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -4% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -5% Unde |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Facilities Technician | Maint Supv | A45 | -4% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | | Market |
| Facilit | Maintenance | | BM | A37 | -15% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -4% | -3% | -2% |
| Facilit | Maintenance | HVAC Technician | BM | A37 | -16% | -12% | -10% | -10% | | -7% | -6% |
| | Maintenance | Metal Worker | Electrician | A37 | -15% | -11% | -10% | -9% | <u>-9%</u> -8% | -8% | -7% |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Plumber | Electrician | A37 | -15% | -12% | -11% | | | -7% | -6% |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Carpenter | BM | A35 | -14% | -11% | -11% | -10% -9% | -9% | -8% | -7% |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Glazier | Carpenter | A35 | -14% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Locksmith | Carpenter | A35 | -14% | -11% | -10% | | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| No. of Concession, name | Maintenance | Painter | Carpenter | A35 | -14% | -11% | | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Maintenance | Skilled Maint Wrkr | BM | A31 | Contraction of the Owner of the | | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Operations | Sports Facility Coord | Gardener | A38 | -14% -17% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% |
| | Operations | Sprinkler Repair Tech | BM | A33 | | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| the second second second second | Operations | Equip Oper/Sports Facility | Gardener | | -11% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% |
| | Operations | Equip Oper/Tree Trim | | A29 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| Conceptual and a second second | Operations | Equip Operator | Gardener | A29 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| the second s | Operations | Utility Worker | Gardener | A27 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Operations | and the second | Gardener | A27 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Operations | Lead Custodian Gardener | BM | A25 | -16% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% |
| | Operations | Custodian | BM | A24 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Operations | | BM | A22 | -15% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% |
| | | Sports Facility Attendant | Gardener | A22 | -17% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| Cacilit | Performing Ar | Theater Coord (Live/Stage) | BM | A42 | -8% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% | 0 | 0 |
| acilit | Performing Ar | Theater Tech (Live/Stage) | BM | A35 | -9% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% |
| acilit | Performing Ar | Media Services Coord | BM | A26 | -19% | -15% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% |
| acilit | Technology Su | Network Engineer | BM | A51 | -10% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% |
| acilit | Technology Su | Systems Analyst | BM | A51 | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% |
| | | Education Data Specialist | Systems | A49 | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% |
| acilit | Technology Su | Senior Tech Supp Asst. | BM | A43 | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% | 0 | 0 |
| | | Tech Supp Asst. | BM | A38 | -2% | 3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| acilit | Technology Su | Audio/Visual Tech. | BM | A36 | -35% | -31% | -30% | -29% | -28% | -27% | -26% |
| -acilit | Technology Su | Computer Operator | BM?? | A33 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Accounting | Payroll Specialist | | N/A | -25% | -19% | -18% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% |
| | Accounting | Accountant | BM | A41 | -15% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% |
| iscal | Accounting | Accounting Tech | BM | A29 | -16% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Accounting | Accounting Asst II | Account Tech | A26 | -16% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% |
| | Purchasing | Senior Buyer | BM | A41 | -8% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% |
| | Purchasing | Buyer | BM | A37 | 2% | 4% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Purchasing | Assistant Buyer | BM?? | A33 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLUMN TWO IS NOT | Clerical | Special Ed Data Entry Spec. | Data Entry | A27 | -7% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0 | 0 |
| | Clerical | Textbook Coordinator | Data Entry | A26 | -7% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0 | 0 |
| and the second se | Clerical | Data Entry Specialist | BM | A25 | -7% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0 | 0 |
| | Clerical | Senior Office Specialist | BM | A25 | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% |
| Office | Clerical | Reprographics Operator | Office Spec | A23 | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% |
| | Clerical | Office Specialist | BM | A22 | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% |
| | Secretarial | Admin Asst (HS) | | N/A | -17% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -2% |
| | | Admin Asst (K-8) | | N/A | -12% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% |
| | Secretarial | Senior Admin Asst | BM | A34 | -16% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -5% |
| | Secretarial | Admin Asst (Dept) | BM | A29 | -17% | -15% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% |
| | HR/Personnel | Chief Steward | HR Specialist | A40 | -10% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | |
| | HR/Personnel | | BM | A36 | -10% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% |
| | | Emp Benefit Tech | BM | A34 | -7% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% | -3% | -2% |
| | HR/Personnel | | BM | A31 | -12% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | and the second se | 0 |
| | | Athletic Trainer | and the second se | | | | | | | -4% | -3% |
| tude | Athletic | Aumenc Trainer | BM | A35 | -10% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% |

| SMMUSD Classification Plan | | | | | | +/- From Market | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Job Fam | Job Sub- Family | Classification | Benchmark Link | Range | MIN SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -1% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -2% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -3% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -4% Under Market | MAX SAI % From -5% Unde Market | |
| Stude | Athletic | Swimming Instructor/ | BM | A21 | -16% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | |
| Stude | Athletic | IA-Physical Education | BM | A20 | -4% | -1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Stude | Development | Occupational Therapist | BM | A61 | 3% | 4% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Stude | Development | Physical Therapist | BM | A61 | 0% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Stude | Development | a la presente de la companya de la c | COTA | A36 | -11% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | |
| | Development | COTA | BM | A34 | -11% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | |
| | and the second sec | Licensed Voc Nurse (samo) | BM?? | A34 | 11/0 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -470 | |
| | | Health Office Specialist | BM | A25 | -5% | -2% | -1% | 0% . | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | and the second se | Paraeducator-2 | BM | A23 | -17% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | |
| and the second later | investment and a second s | Nutrition Specialist | BM?? | A36 | 11/0 | 12/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -/ 70 | |
| | | Prod Kitch Coord | BM | A29 | -13% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | |
| | | Site Food Services Coord | Prod Kitch | A29 | -13% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | |
| | | Cafeteria Cook/Baker | CWII | A18 | -4% | -16% | -15% | -12% | -13% | -10% | -11% | |
| | | Cafeteria Worker/Transp | SPECIAL | A13 | 0% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -13% | -12% | -11% | |
| | | Cafeteria Worker II | BM | A13 | -4% | -16% | -15% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | |
| _ | | Cafeteria Worker I | BM | A11 | 4% | -12% | -11% | -14% | -15% | -12% | -11% | |
| of the local division of the local divisiono | Guidance | Student Outreach Spec | BM | A44 | 12% | 15% | 0 | 0 | 0 | -670 | | |
| | Guidance | ROP Coordinator | | A41 | 12/0 | 1370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| - | Guidance | College & Career Advisor | BM | A30 | -3% | -1% | 0% | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | |
| | Guidance | Translator (samo) | Divi | A28 | -3/0 | -1/0 | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Guidance | Bilingual Comm Liaison | Comm Liaison | A25 | -12% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | 0 | 0 | |
| | Guidance | Campus Security Officer | BM | A25 | -4% | -3% | -2% | -8% | -1% | -6% | -5% | |
| | Guidance | Job Develpmnt Placement | BM | A25 | -4/0 | -21% | -20% | -1% | -18% | 0 | 0 | |
| | Guidance | Community Liaison | BM | A23 | -12% | -21% | -20% | -19% | | -17% | -16% | |
| Construction of the local division of the lo | Guidance | Braille Transcriber | SPECIAL | A21 | -1270 | -10% | -9% | | -7% | -6% | -5% | |
| | Instructional | IA-Sign Language Interpr | SPECIAL | A37 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Instructional | Laboratory Technician | IA-Classroom | A26 | -4% | -6% | -5% | -4% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Instructional | Paraeducator-3 | BM | A26 | -4% | -0% | | | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| COLUMN DISTANT | Instructional | IA-Bilngual (Spanish) | IA-Classroom | A20 | -0% | -5% | -2% | -1% | 0% | 0 | 0 | |
| | Instructional | Paraeducator-1 | BM | A20 | -4% | | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| | Instructional | CCA-3 | BM | A19 | | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% | |
| _ | Instructional | CCA-2 | CCA-3 | | -6% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | |
| | Instructional | IA-Classroom | BM | A18 | -6% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | |
| | Instructional | CCA-1 | BM | A18 A17 | -4% 2% | -6% 0% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| And in case of the local division of the loc | Library Suppor | And a second day of the second s | BM | A17 | -4% | -4% | -3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Library Suppor | | BM | A26 | -14% | -9% | | -2% | -1% | 0% | 0 | |
| | Library Suppor | | BM | A22 | -14% | -4% | -8% -3% | -7% -2% | -6% | -5% | -4% | |
| | Music Support | | BM | A31 | -8% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0% | 0 | |
| | Music Support | | BM | A20 | -8% | -3% | -2% | -1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Lead Veh & Equip | Veh & Equip | A39 | -14% | -10% | -2% | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | Vehicle & Equipment | BM | A36 | -14% | | | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | |
| | Transportation | | BM | A30 | -14% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | |
| JUNE | mansportation | Stock & Delivery Clerk | DIVI | AZO | -2270 | -1/% | -16% | -15% | -14% | -13% | -12% | |

| SMMUSD Classification Plan | | | | | | +/- From Market | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Job Fam | Job Sub- Family | Classification | Benchmark Link | Range | MIN SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From 0% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -1% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -2% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -3% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -4% Under Market | MAX SAL % From -5% Under Market | |
| Fiscal | Accounting | Dir. Fiscal | BM | M64 | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| Perso | HR/Personnel | Dir. Classified Personnel | BM | M64 | -13% | -9% | -8% | -7% | 6% | -5% | -4% | |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Director of M&O | BM | M60 | -1% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| Facilit | Technology Su | Dir. Info Svcs | BM | M60 | -17% | -19% | -18% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% | |
| Facilit | Performing Art | Dir. Theater Facilities | BM | M55 | -1% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | -1% | |
| Fiscal | Purchasing | Dir. Purchasing | BM | M55 | -17% | -18% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% | -13% | |
| Stude | Food Services | Dir. Food Svcs | BM | M55 | -14% | -18% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% | -13% | |
| Stude | Transportation | Dir. Transportation | BM | M51 | -27% | -24% | -23% | -22% | -21% | -20% | -19% | |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Mgr Maint Constr | BM | M50 | -10% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | |
| Facilit | Operations | Mgr Buildings Grounds | BM | M50 | 0% | 2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Facilit | Technology Su | Asst. Dir Info Svcs | BM | M50 | -21% | -28% | -2.7% | -26% | -25% | -24% | -23% | |
| Fiscal | Accounting | Asst. Dir Fiscal | BM | M50 | -16% | -18% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% | -13% | |
| Perso | HR/Personnel | Personnel Analyst | BM | M46 | -4% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Constr Supervisor | Maint Supv | M45 | -4% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | |
| Facilit | Maintenance | Maint Supervisor | BM | M45 | -4% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | |
| Facilit | Technology Su | Supervisor, Comp Svcs | BM | M45 | -18% | -21% | -20% | -19% | -18% | -17% | -16% | |
| Facilit | Operations | Plant Supervisor | BM | M41 | 5% | 3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Facilit | Performing Ar | Facility Permit Supv | BM | M41 | -11% | -15% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | |
| Fiscal | Accounting | Fiscal Services Supervisor | BM | M41 | -9% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | |
| Perso | HR/Personnel | Credential Analyst | Pers Analyst | M36 | -4% | -8% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | |
| Stude | Food Services | Food Svcs Operations | BM | M36 | -8% | -11% | -10% | -9% | -8% | -7% | -6% | |
| Office | Secretarial | Asst. to the Supt. | BM | C39 | -22% | -17% | -16% | -15% | -14% | -13% | -12% | |
| Perso | HR/Personnel | HR Specialist (Conf) | HR Specialist | C36 | -10% | -7% | -6% | -5% | -4% | -3% | -2% | |
| Office | Secretarial | Senior Admin Asst (Conf) | Senior Admin | C34 | -16% | -14% | -13% | -12% | -11% | -10% | -9% | |

Results

The newly adopted collective bargaining agreement addresses many of the issues we were asked to look at, as it adjusts the salary scale to accommodate higher starting salaries as well as many of the market valuation discrepancies that had existed. See the next 2 pages which show the new ranges/steps after the collective bargaining process.

CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEE'S MONTHLY SALARY SCHEDULE A EFFECTIVE 1/1/2016 - INCLUDES 6% INCREASE

| 2 | | | | | | | <u>1/1/2016</u> |
|--------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | 8 | | | | | Hourly |
| RANGE 1 | <u>step a</u> 1,551 | <u>step в</u> 1,628 | <u>step с</u> 1,710 | <u>step d</u> 1,795 | <u>step e</u> 1,885 | <u>STEP F</u> | <u>STEP A</u> 8.95 |
| 2 | 1,590 | SECTION SELECTION | Been led to be a second | 1,795 | 1,932 | 1,979 | 9.17 |
| - CONSCRETENING CO | 1,628 | 1,669 | 1,753 | New CE Instantial Contract of S | 1,932 | 2,029 | ETCHNING WARAS STRAFT |
| 3 | CREATE AND A DATE OF | una de la companya d | I GENERAL INCOMENTS | 1,885 | CANDING STATES OF LOSS | 2,078 | 9.39 |
| 4 | 1,669 1,710 | 1,753 1,795 | 1,840 | 1,932 | 2,029 | 2,130 | 9.63 |
| 5 | AND AND A DESCRIPTION | 1,840 | 1,932 | 1,979 2,029 | 2,078 | 2,182 | 9.86 |
| 6 7 | 1,753 1,795 | 1,885 | | ACTURE BROCKERS OF | 2,130 | 2,237 | 10.11 |
| 8 | 1,795 | 1,005 | 1,979 | 2,078 | 2,182 2,237 | 2,291 2,349 | 10.36 |
| 9 | 1,885 | 1,979 | 2,023 | CALLSON, CAN'T L ON COMPACTIVE | 2,291 | | 10.62 |
| 9 10 | 1,932 | 2,029 | 2,078 | 2,182 2,237 | A GURDAD alte & Felth - A later | 2,406 2,465 | 10.88 |
| 11 | 1,979 | Contraction of the second second | i a 1954 Statistica Charlesson (* 75 | Frank and the second | 2,349 | ER STATE AND A DE COMMENTANE | 11.15 |
| STOCK NO. STOCK | 2,029 | 2,078 2,130 | 2,182 | 2,291 | 2,406 | 2,526 2,590 | 11.42 11.70 |
| 12 | | CONTRACTORY STATES | Filling and the second s | 2,349 | 2,465 | Service of the Street of Contract of Street | Servers or a San San Don |
| 13 14 | 2,078 2,130 | 2,182 2,237 | 2,291 | 2,406 2,465 | 2,526 2,590 | 2,652 | 11.99 12.29 |
| 15 | 2,182 | 2,291 | 2,406 | 2,403 | | 2,719 | Permit Constant of Manager Street Street |
| 15 | 2,102 | 2,291 | 2,400 | 2,520 | 2,652 2,719 | 2,785 2,855 | 12.59 12.90 |
| 17 | 2,291 | 2,406 | 2,526 | i de la deservation de la deservation de la 🗗 | | 2151201002525263865 | Contraction in the state of the |
| 1/ | 2,291 | 2,400 | 2,520 | 2,652 2,719 | 2,785 | 2,925 2,997 | 13.22 13.55 |
| 19 | 2,406 | 2,526 | 2,652 | 2,785 | 2,925 | 3,071 | 13.88 |
| 20 | 2,465 | 2,590 | 2,032 | 2,855 | 2,923 | 3,147 | 14.22 |
| 21 | 2,526 | 2,652 | 2,785 | 2,925 | 3,071 | 3,224 | 14.57 |
| 22 | 2,590 | 2,032 | 2,855 | 2,923 | 3,147 | 3,304 | 14.94 |
| 23 | 2,652 | 2,785 | 2,925 | 3,071 | 3,224 | 2019 81 8 0 8 M 8 M 8 D 8 D 8 D 8 D 8 D 8 D 8 D 8 D | 15.30 |
| 24 | 2,032 | 2,855 | 2,925 | 3,147 | apart for the state which a | 3,384 3,469 | 15.68 |
| 25 | 2,785 | 2,925 | and and some states of a state of | I COMPANIES IN FURTHER | 1999 (C. 1999) (C. 1999) (C. 1999) (C. 1999) | | 16.07 |
| 26 | 2,855 | 2,923 | Cold 7 middl Mathematics for Furth | s in respects to the Respect to the | an from South Marine & Marine B | 3,644 | 16.47 |
| 27 | 2,925 | 3,071 | | 3,384 | 3,555 | 3,732 | 16.87 |
| 28 | 2,997 | 1811-942-942-942-942-9 | 3,304 | 3,469 | 3,644 | li (Norle) (derkover mere | 17.29 |
| 29 | 3,071 | 3,224 | 3,384 | 3,555 | 3,732 | 51.006224C20D6000064 | 17.71 |
| 30 | 3,147 | AND AN AN AND A | A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR | ATTREE STREETS AND | 3,825 | 4,016 | 18.16 |
| 31 | 3,224 | 3,384 | 3,555 | 3,732 | 3,919 | 4,010 | 18.60 |
| 32 | 3,304 | COLORADA DOLLARS | 3,644 | 3,825 | 4,016 | A TA CALL AND A DAMAGE AND A DAMAGE AND A | 19.06 |
| 33 | 3,384 | 1.) - 5. Sector (19.75) 2. 2008 (19.55) | 3,732 | 3,919 | 4,010 | 4,210 | 19.53 |
| 34 | 3,469 | STELLING REPORTS | 3,825 | 4,016 | CONTRACTOR OF THE | 600000000000000000000000000000000000000 | FREEZENSCEN (555) |
| 34 | 0,403 | 3,044 | -0,020 | 4,010 | 4,218 | 4,428 | 20.02 |

| | | | | | | | Hourly |
|-------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| RANGE | STEP A | STEP B | STEP C | STEP D | <u>STEP E</u> | <u>STEP F</u> | STEP A |
| 35 | 3,555 | 3,732 | 3,919 | 4,115 | 4,321 | 4,537 | 20.51 |
| 36 | 3,644 | 3,825 | 4,016 | 4,218 | 4,428 | 4,649 | 21.02 |
| 37 | 3,732 | 3,919 | 4,11 <u>5</u> | 4,321 | 4,537 | 4,76 <u>3</u> | 21.53 |
| 38 | 3,825 | 4,016 | 4,218 | 4,428 | 4,649 | 4,883 | 22.07 |
| 39 | 3,919 | 4,115 | 4,321 | 4,537 | 4,763 | 5,001 | 22.61 |
| 40 | 4,016 | 4,218 | 4,428 | 4,649 | 4,883 | 5,126 | 23.17 |
| 41 | 4,115 | 4,321 | 4,537 | 4,763 | 5,001 | 5,251 | 23.74 |
| 42 | 4,218 | 4,428 | 4,649 | 4,883 | 5,126 | 5,383 | 24.34 |
| 43 | 4,321 | 4,537 | 4,763 | 5,001 | 5,251 | 5,514 | 24.93 |
| 44 | 4,428 | 4,649 | 4,883 | 5,126 | 5,383 | 5,651 | 25.54 |
| 45 | 4,537 | 4,763 | 5,001 | 5,251 | 5,514 | 5,789 | 26.17 |
| 46 | 4,649 | 4,883 | 5,126 | 5,383 | 5,651 | 5,935 | 26.82 |
| 47 | 4,763 | 5,001 | 5,251 | 5,514 | 5,789 | 6,080 | 27.48 |
| 48 | 4,883 | 5,126 | 5,383 | 5,651 | 5,935 | 6,231 | 28.17 |
| 49 | 5,001 | 5,251 | 5,514 | 5,789 | 6,080 | 6,383 | 28.85 |
| 50 | 5,126 | 5,383 | 5,651 | 5,935 | 6,231 | 6,543 | 29.57 |
| 51 | 5,251 | 5,514 | 5,789 | 6,080 | 6,383 | 6,702 | 30.29 |
| 52 | 5,383 | 5,651 | 5,935 | 6,231 | 6,543 | 6,869 | 31.05 |
| 53 | 5,514 | 5,789 | 6,080 | 6,383 | 6,702 | 7,037 | 31.81 |
| 54 | 5,651 | 5,935 | 6,231 | 6,543 | 6,869 | 7,213 | 32.60 |
| 55 | 5,789 | 6,080 | 6,383 | 6,702 | 7,037 | 7,389 | 33.40 |
| 56 | 5,935 | 6,231 | 6,543 | 6,869 | 7,213 | 7,574 | 34.24 |
| 57 | 6,080 | 6,383 | 6,702 | 7,037 | 7,389 | 7,759 | 35.07 |
| 58 | 6,231 | 6,543 | 6,869 | 7,213 | 7,574 | 7,953 | 35.95 |
| 59 | 6,383 | 6,702 | 7,037 | 7,389 | 7,759 | 8,147 | 36.82 |
| 60 | 6,543 | 6,869 | 7,213 | 7,574 | 7,953 | 8,350 | 37.75 |
| 61 | 6,702 | 7,037 | 7,389 | 7,759 | 8,147 | 8,554 | 38.66 |
| 62 | 6,869 | 7,213 | 7,574 | 7,953 | 8,350 | 8,767 | 39.63 |
| 63 | 7,037 | 7,389 | 7,759 | 8,147 | 8,554 | 8,982 | 40.60 |
| 64 | 7,213 | 7,574 | 7,953 | 8,350 | 8,767 | 9,207 | 41.61 |
| 65 | 7,389 | 7,759 | 8,147 | 8,554 | 8,982 | 9,431 | 42.63 |
| 66 | 7,574 | 7,953 | 8,350 | 8,767 | 9,207 | 9,667 | 43.70 |
| 67 | 7,759 | 8,147 | 8,554 | 8,982 | 9,431 | 9,902 | 44.76 |
| 68 | 7,953 | 8,350 | 8,767 | 9,207 | 9,667 | 10,150 | 45.88 |

Summary of Analysis:

Much of the research conducted by the sub-committee lead to discoveries that have been addressed, at least to some degree, by the passage of the new collective bargaining agreement. The wide gap that existed between market rate and actual wages in SMMUSD has narrowed significantly. See the chart below which shows the changes in ranges as a result of moving toward a more market rate structure. A full scale shift of the salary range to bring the bottom salary ranges up to a living wage and keep all steps/columns proportionally the same, would create an undue burden on the district finances and should be discarded as an option to address the issues.

| Classification | Range | New Range | MAX SAL % from 0% Under Market | MAX SAL Distance from Market After +6% Adjustment | Range Adjustment | Distance Off Market After Range Adj |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| Cafeteria Cook/Baker | 18 | 22 | -16% | -10% | 4 | 0% |
| Cafeteria Worker II | 13 | 17 , | -16% | -10% | 4 | 0% |
| Cafeteria Worker I | 11 | 13 . | -14% | -8% | 2 | -3% |
| Cafeteria Worker/Transp | 13 | 15 | -14% | -8% | 2 | -3% |
| Custodian | 22 | 24 | -14% | -8% | 2 | -3% |
| Sports Facility Attendant | 22 | 24 | -13% | -7% | Ź | -2% |
| Swimming Instructor/ Lifeguard | 21 | 21 * | -10% | -4% | 0 | -4% |
| CCA-2 | 18 | 18 | -8% | -2% | 0 | -2% |
| CCA-3 | 19 | 19 7 | -8% | -2% | 0 | -2% |
| Library Asst I | 22 | 22 [°] | -7% | -1% | 0 | -1% |
| Office Specialist | 22 | ∛ż2 ^ŧ | -7% | -1% | 0 | -1% |
| Reprographics Operator | 23 | 23 r | -7% | -1% | 0 | -1% . |
| IA-Classroom | 18 | 3 18) | -6% | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| IA-Bilngual (Spanish) | 20 | 2 0 · | -6% | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Paraeducator-1 | 20 | 20 | -5% | 1% | o | 1% |
| IA-Music | 20 | 20 ^k | -3% | 3% | . 0 | 3% |
| IA-Physical Education | 20 | ia 20 · 4 | -1% | 5% | 0 | 5% |
| CCA-1 | 17 | 17 | 0% | 6% | 0 | 6% |

SMMUSD Classification Plan

There is still a need for bringing our lowest wage earners to a living wage in the future in order to remain a competitive employer in Santa Monica and Malibu. Our SEIU workers now have reached a minimum wage of \$13.78 per hour effective with the new agreement (but retroactive to 1/1/16). The largest unresolved issue remains the "step compression", as starting hourly wages for the range 18-24 job categories once compressed would shrink the differentiation between the ranges. Those listed below are the jobs that would be affected by the compression strategy:

The classifications at 18 and above that are receiving the benefit of the SMMUSD minimum level are:

Café Worker II Café Worker I Café Worker/Transportation CDS Assistant – 2 CDS Assistant - 1

The classification between 19 and 24 are:

Cafeteria Cook/Baker Custodian Sports Fac. Attendant CDS Assistant – 3 Library Asst. 10ffice Specialist Reprographics Operator Instructional Asst. Bilingual Paraeducator - SPED Instructional Asst. Music Instructional Asst. PE

The FOC recommends the following actions:

Consider addressing the minimum wage exempt employees earlier than the minimum wage incremental increase calls for. These jobs are hard to fill and we are at a competitive disadvantage for these positions. They are part-time and pay less than equivalent jobs within the City of Santa Monica, thereby making it more difficult to fill these spots.

SMMUSD needs to keep salaries in line with market valuation in a more timely manner, so we retain our competitive advantage.

The District needs to continue to work with the bargaining units to address the differential between minimum and living wages, while considering the implication at its lower levels of compressing the salary structure.