GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT PHASE I AND PHASE II SANTA MONICA HIGH SCHOOL NORTH CAMPUS IMPROVEMENTS 601 PICO BOULEVARD SANTA MONICA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District

2828 Fourth Street Santa Monica, California 90405-4308

Project No. 11428.007

August 29, 2017 Revised October 27, 2017





August 29, 2017 Revised October 27, 2017

Project No. 11428.007

Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District 2828 Fourth Street Santa Monica, California 90405-4308

Attention: Mr. Kevin Klaus, Deputy Bond Program Manager

Subject: Geotechnical Investigation Report, Phase I and Phase II Santa Monica High School North Campus Improvements 601 Pico Boulevard Santa Monica, Los Angeles County, California

In accordance with our March 29, 2017 proposal authorized on May 16, 2017, and supplemental exploration Leighton Consulting, Inc. (Leighton) is pleased to present this revised geotechnical exploration report for the proposed Phase I and II Campus Plan North Campus Improvements for the Santa Monica High School (Samohi) campus located at 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California. Leighton Consulting, Inc. (Leighton) has completed this geotechnical exploration report for (1) the approval by the California Geological Survey (CGS) and (2) provide design parameters to the design team for design approval of the subject project by the California Division of the State Architect (DSA). This report is intended to meet requirements of Section 1803A.2 of the 2016 California Building Code (CBC) and the CGS's Note 48 check list for review of engineering geology and seismology reports for California public schools. The main focus of revision is inclusion of a second level subterranean (B2) and reconfiguration of the pool design including excavation along the Olympic Boulevard retaining wall foundation in two locations. We understand it is the intent to leave a portion of the existing retaining wall along Olympic Boulevard in place to serve as a free-standing wall once design grade is lowered to support the pool and semi-subterranean construction.

This site is <u>**not**</u> located within a currently designated Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone for surface fault rupture. This site is also <u>**not**</u> within a currently designated liquefaction hazard zone. However, as is the case for most of Southern California, strong ground shaking has and will occur at this site.

Specific recommendations for site grading, foundations, and other geotechnical aspects of the project are presented in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can be of further service, please call us at your convenience at **(866)** *LEIGHTON*, directly at the phone extensions or e-mail addresses listed below.



Respectfully submitted,

LEIGHTON CONSULTING, INC.

Joe Roe, PG, CEG 2456 Principal Geologist Ext 4257; jroe@leightongroup.com



JAR/CK/EMH/gv

Distribution: (1) Addressee

Carl Kim, PE, GE Senior Principal Engineer Ext 1682; <u>ckim@leightongroup.com</u>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	ion		<u>Pa</u>	<u>ige</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION			
	1.1 1.2 1.3	Site D Previc Purpo	escription and Proposed Development ous Investigations se and Scope of Exploration	1 2 4
2.0	GEO	TECHN	ICAL FINDINGS	7
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	Geolo Local Corros Expar Grour Infiltra	gic Setting Geologic Units and Subsurface Conditions sion nsive Soils ndwater ition Rate	7 9 .10 .11 .12
3.0	GEO	LOGIC/	SEISMIC HAZARDS	.14
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	Faultin Histor Liquef Seism Seism Floodi Seich	ng ical Seismicity action and Lateral Spreading ically-Induced Settlement incally-Induced Landslides ing es and Tsunamis	14 17 17 18 18 19 19
4.0	FIND	INGS A	ND CONCLUSIONS	. 20
5.0	RECO		IDATIONS	. 22
	5.1	Gradi	ng	22
		5.1.1 5.1.2 5.1.3 5.1.4 5.1.5 5.1.6 5.1.7	Site Preparation Earthwork Observation and Testing Fill Placement and Compaction Reuse of Concrete and Asphalt In Fill Temporary Excavations Trench Backfill Corrosion Protection Measures	22 23 24 25 25 26 27
	5.2	Found	lations	27
		5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5	Shallow Spread Footings Modulus of Subgrade Reaction Deep Foundations Settlement Construction	27 29 29 33 33
	5.3 Seismic Design Parameters			



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>on</u>			<u>Page</u>
	5.4	Slabs	-on-Grade	
		5.4.1	Utilities and Trenches	
	5.5	Latera	al Earth Pressures	
		5.5.1 5.5.2	Sliding and Overturning Drainage	
	5.6	Paver	ment Design	
		5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3	Base Course Asphalt Concrete Portland Cement Concrete Paving	
6.0	CON	STRUC	TION CONSIDERATIONS	41
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Excav Temp Cast-l Geote	vations orary Shoring In-Place Concrete Piles Construction echnical Services During Construction	
7.0	LIMIT		IS	
8.0	REFE		ES	

TABLES

Table 1 - Soil Corrosivity as a Function of Resistivity	9
Table 1A - Sulfate Concentration and Exposure	10
Table 2 - Corrosivity Test Results	10
Table 3 – Soil Geotechnical Properties Synopsis	11
Table 4 – Measured Infiltration Rate	13
Table 5 - 2016 CBC Site-Specific Seismic Parameters	
Table 6 - Retaining Wall Design Earth Pressures	

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Important Information About Your Geotechnical Engineering Report	Rear of Text
Figure 1 – Site Location Map	Rear of Text
Figure 1a – Site Topography Map	Rear of Text
Figure 2 – Regional Geology Map	Rear of Text
Figure 3 – Regional Fault and Historical Seismicity Map	Rear of Text
Figure 4 – Seismic Hazard Map	Rear of Text
Figure 5 – Flood Hazard Zone Map	Rear of Text



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section

<u>Page</u>

Figure 6 – Dam Inundation Map	Rear of Text
Figure 7 – Retaining Wall Backfill and Subdrain Detail	Rear of Text

Appendix A – Field Exploration Logs

Appendix B – Laboratory Test Results

Appendix C – Seismicity Data

Appendix D – General Earthwork and Grading Recommendations

Appendix E – Soil Loading Basement Wall Evaluation

Plate 1 – Exploration Location Map

Plate 2 – Geotechnical Cross Sections AA and BB



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 <u>Site Description and Proposed Development</u>

The Santa Monica High School (Samohi) campus, located at 601 Pico Boulevard in the City of Santa Monica, is situated within a densely developed residential and commercial neighborhood on a topographic high overlooking the Pacific Ocean to the south and west. The location of the proposed Phase I and Phase II North Campus improvements (Site) is located in the northwest region of the Campus spanning a large area occupied by the 3-story Technology Building, 2-Story Science Building, Edison transformer (Electrical Utility Building) and restroom buildings.

According to the Psomas (2008), various utilities, including 4- to 15-inch-diameter storm drains, a 6-inch-diameter sanitary sewer, and electrical/telecommunications lines are present within the Site footprint. The northern portion of the Site is bordered by a cast-in-place concrete retaining wall to the northwest (fronting Olympic Boulevard) and southwest (fronting 6th Street) retaining approximately 10 feet to 15 feet, respectively. *The foundation (depth and type) of the existing retaining wall along Olympic Boulevard has been generally measured locally at two locations as shown on Plate 1.*

The Site is paved with asphalt concrete with the ground surface ranging from approximately Elevation (El.) +94 feet mean sea level (msl) to El. +99 feet msl. The ground surface of the 6th Street access road ranges from El. +80 feet msl to El. +86 feet msl. The site location (latitude 34.01272°, longitude -118.48679°) and immediate vicinity are shown on Figure 1, *Site Location Map.*

The existing campus improvements constructed over the last 100 years, which include the historical Greek Theatre and Barnum Hall, were built on topographic high points overlooking Santa Monica Bay, see figure 1a, Site Topography Map. This early 1923-1925 topography (Hoots, 1931) provided from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) indicate the campus is constructed on marine terrace deposits that have been incised by Ballona Creek Drainage on the northern and southern edges. These marine terraces form relatively flat surfaces elevated above the surrounding topography dipping gently towards the Pacific Ocean (approximately 1°-2°). Construction of the school campus appears to have required minor cut and fill grading and retaining walls to achieve current elevations as the original topography is evident throughout the school campus.



Review of HED (July 14, 2017), indicates the *Samohi North Campus* building improvements are proposed as follows:

- Aquatic Center with enclosed, 9-foot deep, 50-meter swimming pool fronting Olympic Boulevard with a finish pool deck at elevation (EI.) 88 feet mean sea level (msl);
- Three level North Building 44 plus feet in height;
- Relocated 6th Street and surface parking ranging west to east from EI. 84 feet msl to EI. 87 feet msl;
- 2 level semi-subterranean parking with B1 level at El. 85 feet msl, and B2 level at and El. 74 feet msl, respectively; and
- Fire engine turnabout and hammerhead, lower plaza and loading dock, elevators connecting all levels with associated utility infrastructure.

The approximate building layout provided by HED is shown on Plate 1, *Exploration Location Map.* Existing buildings (3-story Technology Building, 2-story Science Building), 6th street retaining wall and first 100-feet of the Olympic Boulevard Wall east of 6th street, paved parking, and utility infrastructure will be demolished to make way for new construction.

The existing Utility-Edison Transformer Building located north of the proposed project site will remain. This building is understood to have its first floor at about EI. +98 feet msl with a basement level anticipated at about EI. +85 feet msl and level (B2) anticipated at EI. +74 feet msl. The Utility Building will border the northeast limit of the Pool Deck construction.

We understand that maximum column loads will not exceed 1,000 kips. Once the development plans are finalized, they should be provided to Leighton Consulting, Inc. (Leighton) to ensure our recommendations remain appropriate.

1.2 <u>Previous Investigations</u>

Several previous geotechnical explorations have been performed on the Samohi campus since 2008. Applicable findings and geotechnical data from previous explorations listed below have been incorporated into this report. Full report references can be found in *References* section at the end of this report.



Relevant borings are presented on Plate 1, *Exploration Location Map.* The boring logs are included in Appendix A, *Field Exploration Logs.*

MACTEC (2008): MACTEC performed geotechnical exploration in 2008 for a proposed science and technology building located within the northeast corner of the project site. A total of eight hollow-stem auger borings were drilled and sampled during this exploration (Borings B-1 through B-8). Additionally, four hollow-stem auger borings performed by LeRoy Crandall & Associates in 1954 (Borings B-1 through B-4) were presented. A field infiltration test (T-1, Plate 1-current) was performed within the northeast portion of the project site in the former softball fields as part of the investigation. See Section 2.6 for infiltration data.

Leighton (2011): Leighton performed a field exploration program in December 2010 and January 2011 for the conceptually proposed Civic Center Joint Use Project (CCJUP) as presented in our previous report (Leighton, 2011). A total of 10 hollow-stem auger borings were drilled and sampled during this exploration, and the locations of the borings performed as a part of the study (Borings EIR-B1 through EIR-B10) were limited to open areas around existing campus structures and athletic facilities. Five hollow stem auger borings were drilled to approximately 75 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs) and five hollow stem borings were drilled to approximately 40 feet depth bgs.

Leighton (2012a): Leighton performed additional subsurface explorations at the project site as an addendum to our 2011 study for Siting Study II for the CCJUP, which consisted of a new gymnasium and new paved parking areas, generally located at the southeastern portion of the school campus between the Greek Theater and Pico Boulevard. A total of four hollow-stem auger borings were drilled and sampled to depths ranging from approximately 50 to 101 feet bgs in the southeastern portion of the site during this exploration (Borings LB-1 through LB-4).

Leighton (2012b): Leighton performed an additional subsurface exploration at the project site for a proposed electrical transformer enclosure. One boring was hand-augered to a depth of 11½ feet bgs to characterize subsurface materials and to obtain bulk samples for laboratory testing.

Leighton (2016b): Leighton prepared an updated geotechnical exploration report for the proposed CCJUP based on previous explorations (Leighton, 2011, 2012a and 2012b; MACTEC, 2008). The report presented our findings,



conclusions, and updated recommendations for the design and construction of the project in general conformance with the 2013 California Building Code (CBC). Improvements that were the primary focus of the updated report include a softball field, basketball courts, portable restroom, concrete flatwork, 10- to 25-foot-high perimeter fencing, and associated utility improvements, Americans with Disabilities (ADA) improvements to existing concrete stairways adjacent to 7th Street, new concrete stairs, asphalt paved parking, modifications to existing retaining walls and paver construction.

1.3 <u>Purpose and Scope of Exploration</u>

The purpose of our geotechnical explorations was to evaluate the soil, groundwater and Olympic Boulevard retaining wall conditions at the Phase I and Phase II North Campus improvements site through review of available data and subsurface explorations in order to provide geotechnical recommendations to aid in design and construction for the project as currently proposed (see Section 1.1). The scope of this geotechnical exploration included the following tasks:

- <u>Background Review</u> A background review was performed of readily available, relevant geotechnical, civil and geological literature pertinent to the project site. References reviewed in preparation of this report are listed at the end of this text.
- <u>Field Exploration</u> Our field exploration was performed on June 13, 2017, and consisted of five (5) hollow-stem auger borings (designated LB-1 through LB-5) drilled to approximate depths ranging from of 31½ feet to 51 ½ feet bgs.

Five (5) cone penetrometer test (CPT) soundings (designated CPT-1 through CPT-5) were each advanced to an approximate depth ranging from 24.5 feet to 51.3 feet bgs.

Two (2) backhoe test pit excavations (TP-1 and TP-2) were excavated on September 29 and October 2, 2017, adjacent to the Olympic Boulevard retaining wall to expose and measure the foundations dimensions.

Prior to the field exploration, the borings, CPT's and test pits were marked and Underground Service Alert (USA) was notified for utility clearance. In addition, a private utility locator was utilized to locate any unknown or unmarked utilities in the areas of the proposed boring locations prior to drilling.



During drilling of the hollow-stem auger borings (LB-1 through LB-5), both bulk and relatively undisturbed drive samples were obtained from the borings for geotechnical laboratory testing. Relatively undisturbed samples were collected from the borings using a Modified California Ring sampler conducted in accordance with ASTM Test Method D3550. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) were also performed within the hollow-stem auger borings in accordance with ASTM Test Method D1586. The samplers were driven for a total penetration of 18 inches, unless practical refusal was encountered, using a 140-pound automatic hammer falling freely for 30 inches. The number of blows per 6 inches of penetration was recorded on the boring logs.

The borings and test pit were logged in the field by a professional geologist. Each soil sample collected was reviewed and described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The samples were sealed and packaged for transportation to our laboratory. After completion of drilling, all of the borings and test pits were backfilled with excess soils generated during the explorations. The boring logs are presented in Appendix A, *Field Exploration Logs*. The approximate locations of the explorations including test pit logs (TP-1 and TP-2) are shown on Plate 1, *Exploration Location Map* (in pocket).

- <u>Laboratory Testing</u> Geotechnical laboratory tests were conducted on selected bulk and undisturbed soil samples obtained from our borings. This laboratory testing program was designed to evaluate geotechnical (physical) characteristics of site soil. A description of geotechnical laboratory testprocedures and results are presented in Appendix B, *Laboratory Test Results*. The following laboratory tests were performed:
 - In-situ Moisture Content and Dry Density (ASTM D2216 and ASTM D2937);
 - Expansion Index (ASTM D4829);
 - Modified Proctor Compaction Test (ASTM D1557);
 - Direct Shear (ASTM D 3080);
 - R Value (DOT CA Test 301);
 - Consolidation (ASTM D2435); and



 Corrosivity (Soluble Sulfate ASTM C1580, Soluble Chloride ASTM C1411-09, pH ASTM D4972, and Resistivity ASTM G187-12a).

The in-situ moisture and density of soil samples at depths are shown on the borings logs included in Appendix A. The results of the remaining laboratory tests are presented in Appendix B – *Laboratory Test Results*.

- <u>Engineering Analysis</u> Data obtained from field explorations and geotechnical laboratory testing was evaluated and analyzed to develop geotechnical conclusions and provide recommendations in accordance with the California Geological Survey (CGS) Note 48 (October 2013 version). Geologic cross sections prepared for this campus and revised to accommodate current concept and profile presented on Plate 2, *Geotechnical Cross Sections AA' and BB'* (in pocket).
- <u>Report Preparation</u> Results of our geologic hazards review and geotechnical exploration have been summarized in this report, presenting our findings, conclusions and preliminary geotechnical design recommendations for design and construction of the new North Campus Phase I and Phase II improvements as currently proposed.

It should be noted that the recommendations in this report are subject to the limitations presented in Section 7.0 of the report.



2.0 GEOTECHNICAL FINDINGS

2.1 <u>Geologic Setting</u>

The site is located in the Ocean Park Plain, a subdivision of the greater Santa Monica Plain, an alluvial surface within the southwestern block of the Los Angeles Basin (Poland and Piper, 1956). The Los Angeles Basin, a structural trough, is a northwest-trending alluviated lowland plain approximately 50 miles long and 20 miles wide. Mountains and hills that generally expose Late Cretaceous to Late Pleistocene-age sedimentary and igneous rocks bound the Basin along the north, northeast, east and southeast (Yerkes, 1965). The Basin is part of the Peninsular Ranges geomorphic province of California characterized by sub parallel blocks sliced longitudinally by young, steeply dipping northwest-trending fault zones. The Basin, located at the northerly terminus of the Peninsular Ranges, is the site of active sedimentation and the strata are interpreted to be as much as 31,000 feet thick in the center of the synclinal trough of the Central Block of the Los Angeles Basin.

The Santa Monica and Ocean Park Plains consist of an alluvial aggradation of dissected sediments composed largely of gravel, sands and silts laid down by the ancestral Los Angeles River and by streams flowing south from the Santa Monica Mountains (Figure 2, *Regional Geology Map*). The Ocean Park Plain lies mostly at a southwest angle to Pico Boulevard and Bundy Drive, extends inland from the coast approximately 3 miles, is between 1 to 2 miles wide of which its surface is composed mostly of marine deposits of late-Pleistocene age (Poland and Piper, 1956). The plain has been dissected by the Ballona Creek Drainage along its northern and southern borders, uplifted and locally infilled with Holocene age alluvial deposits.

2.2 Local Geologic Units and Subsurface Conditions

Presented below are brief descriptions of the geologic units encountered in the exploratory borings completed at the site by Leighton and others. Detailed descriptions of the geologic units encountered are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A. Geotechnical conditions described on the logs represent the conditions at the actual exploratory excavation locations. Other variations may occur beyond and/or between the excavations. Lines of demarcation between the geologic units and the various earth materials on the logs represent approximated boundaries, and (unless otherwise noted) actual transitions may



be gradual. The locations of the subsurface explorations are shown on Plate 1, *Exploration Location Map* and a subsurface profile based on data obtained and interpreted from the borings and available as-built maps including conceptual planned basement levels is shown on Plate 2, *Geologic Cross-Sections A-A' and B-B'*.

Campus site development (buildings and retaining walls) mask over some surface exposures of natural geologic units, topography and structure. Artificial fill (Af) materials were encountered underlying existing pavements within exploratory borings. Local geology was interpreted from published regional geologic maps of the area (Yerkes and Campbell, 2005; Dibblee, 1991). Figure 2, *Regional Geology Map*, illustrates the approximate distribution of geologic units at the site. Native geologic units underlying the artificial fill materials consist of a formation of successive Quaternary age marine terrace deposits (map symbol: Qom) laid down on marine abrasion platforms. These deposits, derived locally from erosion of the Santa Monica mountains are characterized as slightly to moderately consolidated silt, sand, and gravels, abraded by wave action during the Pleistocene epoch, and incised by the Ballona Creek drainage.

Undocumented Artificial Fill: (Map Symbol: Afu)

Artificial fill materials were encountered at depths ranging from 5 to 7½ feet bgs. One boring (LB-2, Plate 1) encountered up to approximately 4 feet of angular gravel reported to be part of an onsite infiltration system. Fill, as encountered consists of medium dense, yellowish brown to brown silty sand with gravel and man-made debris (concrete, asphalt) to stiff, dark brown, clayey sandy silt with miscellaneous concrete debris. No documentation or records related to fill placement was available at the time of this report preparation, therefore, for purposes of this report all fill encountered onsite and anticipated in future explorations is considered undocumented and unsuitable for support of new improvements in its current condition. The exact location and footprint of the reported infiltration trench is unknown.

Quaternary Old Marine Deposits (Map Symbol: Qom)

The Quaternary age old marine deposits beneath the artificial fill materials as encountered in our exploratory borings generally consists mostly of tan, brown to orange brown, moist, medium dense to dense silty sand and sand with varying proportions of gravel, clay and small cobbles to maximum depths explored.



The stratigraphy of the subsurface soils encountered in each soil boring is presented in the boring logs (Appendix A). The general subsurface conditions across the site, interpreted from the boring and CPT data are depicted on Plate 2, *Geologic Cross-Sections A-A' and B-B'*.

2.3 <u>Corrosion</u>

Corrosion: In general, soil resistivity, which is a measure of how easily electrical current flows through soils, is the most influential factor for ferrous corrosivity. Based on findings of studies presented in the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) STP 1013 titled "Effects of Soil Characteristics on Corrosion" (February, 1989), an approximate relationship between soil resistivity and soil corrosiveness was developed as shown in Table 1 below.

Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Classification of Soil Corrosiveness	
0 to 900	Very severe corrosion	
900 to 2,300	Severely corrosive	
2,300 to 5,000	Moderately corrosive	
5,000 to 10,000	Mildly corrosive	
10,000 to >100,000	Very mildly corrosive	

Table 1 - Soil Corrosivity as a Function of Resistivity

Sulfate Exposure: Sulfate ions in the soil can lower the soil resistivity and can be highly aggressive to Portland cement concrete by combining chemically with certain constituents of the concrete, principally tricalcium aluminate. This reaction is accompanied by expansion and eventual disruption of the concrete matrix. A potentially high sulfate content could also cause corrosion of reinforcing steel in concrete. Section 1904A of the 2016 California Building Code (CBC) defers to the American Concrete Institute's (ACI's) ACI 318-14 for concrete durability requirements. Table 19.3.1.1 of ACI 318-14 lists "*Exposure categories and classes*," including sulfate exposure as follows:



Soluble Sulfate in Water (parts-per-million)	Water-Soluble Sulfate (SO4) in soil (percentage by weight)	ACI 318-14 Sulfate Class
0-150	0.00 - 0.10	S0 (negligible)
150-1,500	0.10 - 0.20	S1 (moderate*)
1,500-10,000	0.20 - 2.00	S2 (severe)
>10,000	>2.00	S3 (very severe)

Table 1A -	Sulfate	Concentration	and Exposure
------------	---------	---------------	--------------

*or seawater

Representative composite, near surface (0-5 feet) bulk soil samples were tested to evaluate corrosion potential. The chemical analysis test results for the onsite soil from our geotechnical exploration are included in Appendix B, *Laboratory Test Results* of this report and are summarized below.

Toot Doromotor	Test Results			General Classification of	
Test Parameter	EIR-1	LB-1	LB-5	Hazard	
Water-Soluble Sulfate- SO ₄ in Soil (ppm)	93	255	237	Negligible to Moderate sulfate	
Percent by Weight SO4	0.0093	.0255	.0237	exposure to buried concrete	
Water-Soluble Chloride in Soil (ppm)	41	41	101	Non-corrosive to buried concrete (per Caltrans	
Percent by Weight (Chl ⁻)	.0041	.0041	.0101	Specifications)	
pН	6.97	8.06	7.83	Mildly alkaline	
Minimum Resistivity (saturated, ohm-cm)	4450	5100	1080	Severely to Moderately Corrosive to buried ferrous pipes	

Table 2 - Corrosivity Test Results

Additional corrosion testing should be performed upon completion of grading to confirm the preliminary findings and conclusions presented above.

2.4 Expansive Soils

Expansion Index (EI) testing of two representative bulk samples from the upper 5 feet at the site indicated that the site soils tested are not expansive with EI values of zero. Prior testing of three representative bulk samples from the upper 9 to



23 feet at the campus indicated site soils have EI values ranging from 7 to 98 (Leighton, 2011, 2012a and 2012b). These combined test results indicate a very low to high expansion potential for onsite materials. For purposes of this report the expansion properties of the soil below the North Campus improvement footprint can be considered as low. Additional testing of soils upon completion of grading should be performed to confirm the results of the initial testing.

Based on geotechnical laboratory testing performed on selected soil samples collected from the site and review of previous laboratory test results, a synopsis of geotechnical properties of the site soils is provided in Table 3 below. Geotechnical laboratory testing results are presented in Appendix B, *Laboratory Test Results*.

Parameters	Soil Properties		
In-situ Moisture:	Dry to moist		
In-situ Density:	Medium dense to dense		
Swell/Expansion Potential:	Mostly granular, swell/expansion potential is low.		
Collapse Potential:	Not susceptible to collapse when wetted		
Strength:	Adequate to provide structural support		
Corrosivity:	No sulfate attack of concrete but corrosive to ferrous metals.		

 Table 3 – Soil Geotechnical Properties Synopsis

2.5 <u>Groundwater</u>

Groundwater was not encountered during the current exploration. Groundwater was encountered in prior explorations (Leighton, 2011 and 2012a) performed at the Campus at about 75 and 79.5 feet bgs in Borings LB-1 and LB-2, corresponding to elevations El. 25 feet and El. 25.5 feet, respectively. Groundwater was encountered during our 2011 exploration at depths ranging from 50, 55 and 59 feet bgs in borings EIR-B1, EIR-B2 and EIR-B4, corresponding to elevations of El. 24 feet, El. 26 feet and El. 21 feet, respectively.

Review of groundwater level data reported through the State Water Resources Control Board (see <u>http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/</u>) in a boring drilled in support of underground storage tank cleanup activities indicate groundwater levels recorded at 1866 Lincoln Boulevard on February 9, 2005 were reported at approximately El. 22 feet.



Historic groundwater levels, as interpreted from the Beverly Hills 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California (CGS, 1998) indicate historic high groundwater at levels of approximately 40 feet below ground surface. Landscaping irrigation at the site, infiltration of stormwater runoff, fluctuations in rainfall, seasonal and/or otherwise may cause temporary perched water zones to exist below the site.

During the investigation, boring LB-2 (Plate 1) encountered approximately 4 feet of crushed free draining aggregate reported to Leighton as an infiltration pit/trench. The details of the construction, i.e. lateral extent, depth, width is unknown. Therefore, during construction excavation very moist, wet or saturated soils may be encountered either requiring drying out or stabilization prior to placement of structural fill should this reported infiltration device be receiving onsite runoff.

2.6 Infiltration Rate

In-situ percolation testing was performed at the site in general accordance with the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works (LADPW) *Guidelines for Design, Investigation, and Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration* (LADPW, 2014).

Boring PB-1 located (See Plate 1) was converted to temporary percolation test well upon completion of drilling and sampling. A 2-inch-diameter perforated PVC pipe was placed in the borehole within the zone to be tested (See table 4 below) and solid PVC pipe was placed above the perforated section. The annulus of the borehole was filled with clean sand (#3 Monterey Sand) to approximately 1-foot above the screen zone. The percolation test well was pre-soaked prior to the testing in an attempt to model the behavior of the stormwater quality control measure during a design storm event. After the conclusion of the percolation testing, the PVC pipe was removed and the test holes backfilled with excess soil cuttings.

Boring PB-1: The falling head procedure was conducted, which records the drop of water levels inside the well over the testing period. The measured and un-factored infiltration rate for PB-1 was calculated by dividing the rate of discharge (cubic inches per hour) by the infiltration surface area, or flow area (square inches). Discharge volume was calculated by adding the total volume of water that dropped within the PVC pipe and annulus incorporating a porosity reduction factor to account for the filter pack material. The flow area was based



on the average water height within the slotted pipe section of the test well only. The results of the infiltration testing are included in Table 4 below.

MACTEC T-1: Field infiltration testing was performed at one location near a proposed drywell to estimate the infiltration rate of the subgrade soils. A pit was manually excavated to approximately 2.5 feet below existing ground surface. Testing was performed using the double ring infiltrometer method (ASTM D3385). The results of the infiltration testing are included in Table 4 below. The location of the test performed (Mactec, 2008) is shown on Plate 1, *Exploration Location Map.*

Percolation Test Boring/Well Designation	Approximate Depth of Test Zone Below Ground Surface (feet)	Unfactored* Infiltration Rate (inches per hour)
PB-1	5-10	18.4
MACTEC T-1	2.5	1.0

Table 4 – Measured Infiltration Rate

Note: Invert of any stormwater infiltration shall be set back at least 15 feet, and outside a 1:1 plane drawn down and out from the bottom of adjacent foundations.

Based on our current subsurface exploration, the site is generally underlain by sand, sand with silt and silty sand. The material is favorable for infiltration purposes.

The test at the location of PB-1 was performed in the screened interval (test zone) within predominantly sandy granular soils; however, infiltration rates can and will vary across the site as indicated by the variance in site soils (Appendix A) and infiltration rates presented above. Therefore, care must be used in the selection of infiltration rate for use in design and the potential for variances in soil conditions that could significantly affect field performance. Additional testing is warranted once the final design and invert depth have been established by the design team. *Testing should be performed at the specific location and invert depth.*



3.0 GEOLOGIC/SEISMIC HAZARDS

Geologic and seismic hazards include surface fault rupture, seismic shaking, liquefaction, seismically-induced settlement, lateral spreading, seismically-induced landslides, flooding, seismically-induced flooding, seiches and tsunamis. The following sections discuss these hazards and their potential impact at the project site.

3.1 Faulting

There are no active or potentially active faults known to cross the project site and the site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone (CGS, 1986; Bryant and Hart, 2007) and as such, the potential for surface fault rupture at the site is considered low. However, several active and potentially active faults are mapped within 10 km (6.2 miles) of the site. Figure 3, *Regional Fault and Historical Seismicity Map*, shows the proximity of known active and potentially active faults within the region.

Santa Monica Fault: Although not yet recognized or well defined by the State of California as a Special Studies Zone, the SMFZ is the closest known fault to the site, at a distance of approximately 3.0 miles (4.9 km) northerly of the site. The SMFZ is considered, but <u>not</u> proven to be active, mapped as being located primarily along Santa Monica Boulevard. This fault zone trends east-west along the southern boundary of the Santa Monica Mountains for more than 24.8 miles (40 km) and is included as part of the Transverse Ranges Southern Boundary fault system, which consists of east-west trending, left-lateral and oblique-reverse movements along several active faults. The SMFZ consists of one or more strands, is about 40 km (24.8 miles) in length, and is one of a series of east-southeast trending reverse, left-lateral oblique-slip structures that extend more than 200 km (125 miles) across southern California and accommodate westward motion of the Transverse Ranges (Dolan *et al.*, 1997). It has been delineated locally at depths of several-thousand feet through exploratory oil well drilling and oil field development (Wills *et al.*, 2008).

High resolution seismic reflection profiles across the SMFZ were acquired (Pratt, *et al.*, 1998) as part of an integrated hazard assessment of this fault, which showed a series of near vertical strike-slip faults beneath topographic scarps inferred to be caused by thrust faulting. Pleistocene or Holocene movement had been postulated, but <u>not</u> directly proven along some upper plate secondary fault segments related to the SMFZ (Dolan *et al.*, 2000). Recurrence interval and recency of movement along many fault segments are neither well documented



nor understood, mainly because intense urbanization has modified or destroyed any surface traces of the fault (Hill *et al.*, 1979). Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC) identifies the most recent rupture as Late Quaternary with intervals between events unknown.

North-dip, west-slip rate across the SMFZ is estimated to vary with location along en-echelon faults to be minimally on the order of 0.6 mm/year (Dolan et. al., 2000) and as high as 3.9 to 5.9 mm/year (Davis and Namson, 1994). A deterministic estimated maximum magnitude earthquake is generally modeled between Magnitude (M_w) 6.0 and 7.0 if the entire SMFZ ruptured at once.

The City of Santa Monica Geologic Hazards map (City of Santa Monica, 2014) indicates two branches of the Santa Monica Fault zone are located within a "Fault Hazard Management Zone" that is less than a mile to the north of the project site. Sites located within the FHMZ require fault studies be conducted prior to approval of building permits.

The State of California Geological Survey (CGS) has recommended to establish an Earthquake fault Zone based on the criteria of "sufficiently active" and "well defined" (Bryant and Hart, 2007) in their Fault Evaluation Report (FER 259) dated June 28, 2017.

Palos Verdes Fault: The Palos Verdes fault is considered active and is located approximately 5.2 miles (8.3 km) southwest of the project site forming the western boundary of the Los Angeles basin. The Palos Verdes fault is made up of a system of three segments which collectively form a complex right-lateral reverse displacement (Brankman and Shaw, 2009). The modeled right-lateral slip rate along the zone is between 2.5 and 3.8 mm/yr, and reverse slip rate is between 0.26 and 0.38 mm/yr (Cooke and Marshall, 2006). Calculated slip rates within the northern portion of the Palos Verdes fault zone are estimated to be 0.35 mm/yr reverse slip rate and 1.1 mm/yr right-lateral slip rate (Shaw, 2007). Estimated maximum moment magnitude along this fault complex is on the order of 7.3.

Newport Inglewood Fault: The Newport-Inglewood fault, located approximately 7.0 miles (11.2 km) east of the project site is an active, zoned, northwest-trending, approximately 2- to 4-mile-wide belt of anticlinal folds and faults disrupting early Holocene to Late Pleistocene-age and older deposits (Barrows, 1974). The NIFZ is characterized by trends related to right-lateral shearing at depth (Moody and Hill, 1956). The zone defines the boundary between the



western basement complex of Catalina type schist and related rocks to the and the eastern basement complex of metasedimentary, southwest. metavolcanic and plutonic rocks to the northeast (Yerkes, et al., 1965). Rightlateral, strike-slip displacement of 3,000 to 5,000 feet has been measured in Lower Pliocene strata along the NIFZ (Dudley, 1954; Hill, 1954; Poland, et al., 1959). Apparent vertical offset across faults of the NIFZ ranges from 4,000 feet at the basement interface, to 1,000 feet in the Pliocene strata, and 200 feet at the Plio-Pleistocene boundary (Yerkes, et al., 1965). Movement along this structural zone is inferred to have been initiated during middle Miocene time (circa 15 million years ago), with seismic activity continuing to the present time. There is abundant seismic evidence that the zone is tectonically active; thus, the surrounding metropolitan area is subject to certain seismic risks. At least five earthquakes of magnitude 4.9 or larger have been associated with the NIFZ since 1920 (Barrows, 1974). Estimated maximum deterministic magnitude earthquake is generally modeled between Magnitude (M_w) 6.5 and 7.2.

Hollywood Fault: Located approximately 7.1 miles (11.5 km) northeast of the site, the Hollywood Fault begins near the Los Angeles River and eastern edge of the Santa Monica Mountains and extends westward for approximately $9\frac{1}{2}$ miles where it is thought to shift its locus of active deformation to the area of the West Beverly Hills Lineament (WBHL), where faulting takes a left step to the Santa Monica Fault. The Hollywood Fault is capable of producing a M_w 6.4 to 6.6 earthquake (Dolan et al., 1997). Investigators have estimated the lateral slip rate to be about 1.0 ±0.5 mm/year, with a vertical slip rate to be 0.25 mm/year (Dolan et al., 1997). Conversely, a lower slip rate of 0.04 - 0.4 mm/year (Ziony and Yerkes, 1985) leads to a long return period.

Recent detailed geologic and geotechnical studies have provided cumulative physical evidence for Holocene displacements resulting in an Alquist-Priolo Special Study Zone being established for the Hollywood Fault (CGS, 2014). Exposures identified in prior explorations (Crook and Proctor, 1992), coupled with bulk-soil radiocarbon ages provide scant evidence for an early to mid-Holocene age for the most recent surface rupture approximately 6,000 years to 11,000 years ago; suggesting a long period of quiescence between surface rupturing on the Hollywood Fault (Dolan, 1997, 2000) (Ziony and Yerkes, 1985).



3.2 <u>Historical Seismicity</u>

An evaluation of historical seismicity from significant past earthquakes related to the site was performed (see Figure 3, *Regional Fault and Historical Seismicity Map*). Peak ground accelerations (PGA) at the site resulting from significant past earthquakes between 1800 to 2016, with magnitudes M4.0 or greater, were estimated using the EQSEARCH computer program (Blake, 2000) with 2016 updates. This historical seismicity search was performed for a 100-kilometer (62-mile) radius from the project site, and is included in Appendix C, *Seismicity Data*. The largest earthquake magnitude found in the search was the M7.earthquake, known as the Arvin-Tehachapi quake that occurred on July 21, 1952 approximately 74.5 miles (120.0 kilometers) from the site producing an estimated site acceleration of approximately 0.046g. The largest estimated PGA found in the search was approximately 0.246g from an earthquake approximately 1.2 miles (1.9 kilometers) from the site.

Review of additional data publicly available from the Center for Engineering Strong Motion Data (CESMD) website (<u>http://strongmotioncenter.org/</u>) was reviewed for stations in the vicinity of the project site. The data reviewed indicates that a site (Santa Monica City Hall) less than ½ mile to the southwest of the project site experienced a peak ground acceleration of 0.901g from the M6.7 Northridge earthquake that occurred on January 17, 1994. This earthquake occurred less than 15 miles (24.1 km) north of the project site along a blind thrust fault damaging structures throughout Los Angeles, Ventura, Orange, and San Bernardino Counties.

3.3 Liquefaction and Lateral Spreading

Liquefaction is the loss of soil strength due to a buildup of excess pore-water pressure during strong and long-duration ground shaking. Liquefaction is associated primarily with loose (low density), saturated, relatively uniform fine- to medium-grained, clean cohesionless soils. As shaking action of an earthquake progresses, soil granules are rearranged and the soil densifies within a short period. This rapid densification of soil results in a buildup of pore-water pressure. When the pore-water pressure approaches the total overburden pressure, soil shear strength reduces abruptly and temporarily behaves similar to a fluid. For liquefaction to occur there must be:

(1) loose, clean granular soils,



- (2) shallow groundwater, and
- (3) strong, long-duration ground shaking.

Review of both the Beverly Hills Quadrangle Seismic Hazard Zone Map (CGS, 1999) and the City of Santa Monica Geologic Hazards map (City of Santa Monica, 2014) indicates that the site is not within an area potentially susceptible to liquefaction (Figure 4, *Seismic Hazard Map*).

SEE: http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/download/pdf/ozn_bevh.pdf

With groundwater at a depth greater than 50 feet below grade and relatively medium dense to dense sands below the site the potential for liquefaction to affect the site is considered low. Since the potential for liquefaction is considered low and the relatively flat nature of the site with no free faces, the potential for lateral spreading to occur at the site is also considered low.

3.4 <u>Seismically-Induced Settlement</u>

Seismically-induced settlement consists of dry dynamic settlement (above groundwater) and liquefaction-induced settlement (below groundwater). These settlements occur primarily within loose to moderately dense sandy soil due to reduction in volume during and shortly after an earthquake event.

Based on our analysis, the total seismically-induced settlement is expected to be on the order of ½ inch or less. Accordingly, seismically-induced differential settlement is expected to be on the order of ¼ inch over 30 feet.

3.5 <u>Seismically-Induced Landslides</u>

The proposed project site is not located in an area mapped as potentially susceptible to seismically-induced landslides (Figure 4, *Seismic Hazard Map*). No landslides are mapped or known to exist at the project site or vicinity. The topography of the site is relatively flat, and generally slopes to the west. Previous grading and construction at the site has created stepped level pads for activities fields, buildings, and parking lots. The potential for seismically induced landslides to affect the site is low.



3.6 Flooding

As shown on Figure 5, *Flood Hazard Zone Map*, the site is located outside of areas recognized by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to within 0.2% annual flood potential (FEMA, 2008). Earthquake-induced flooding can be caused by failure of dams or other water-retaining structures as a result of an earthquake. As shown on Figure 6, *Dam inundation Map*, the site is located outside of a dam inundation area due to the absence of such structures near the site, therefore the potential for earthquake-induced flooding at the site is considered low.

3.7 Seiches and Tsunamis

Seiches are large waves generated in enclosed bodies of water in response to ground shaking. Tsunamis are sea waves generated by large-scale disturbance of the ocean floor that induces a rapid displacement of the water column above. The most frequent causes of tsunamis are shallow underwater earthquakes and submarine landslides.

The site is <u>not</u> located within the tsunami run up area as mapped on the Los Angeles Tsunami Hazard: Maximum Runup map (CalEMA, 2010). The run up area indicates zones along the Pacific Coast below an elevation of 42 feet (msl) are susceptible to tsunami inundation. The project site is topographically at least 40 feet above the areas identified to have a potential for Tsunamis impact. In addition, the site is not located within a tsunami inundation area as mapped by the State of California (CGS, 2009).

Based on the site's elevation of 85 to 99 feet above sea level and the lack of nearby enclosed water bodies, the risks associated with tsunamis and seiches are considered negligible.



FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS 4.0

Presented below is a summary of findings and conclusions based upon the results of our evaluation of the project site:

- This site is **not** located within a currently designated Alguist-Priolo Special Studies Zone for surface fault rupture. However, as is the case for most of Southern California, strong ground shaking has and will occur at this site. This site is also not within a currently designated (March 25, 1999) liquefaction hazard zone. Due to primarily dense sands and deep groundwater, damaging liquefaction is unlikely to occur at this site. The site is not located in any geologic or seismic hazard zone that could preclude the development of the proposed project.
- The site is underlain by undocumented artificial fill ranging in thickness from 5 to 7 feet overlying native old marine terrace deposits generally consisting of dense to very dense sands to sands with gravel and varying proportions of silt and clay.
- Groundwater was not encountered during the current exploration. Groundwater is not expected to pose a constraint to construction. The historic high groundwater level at the site was reported to be on the order of 40 feet bgs.
- An infiltration pit/trench was encountered at the location of boring LB-2 during drilling. Dimensional details and depth are unknown. Very moist, wet or saturated soils could be encountered during excavation requiring either drying out or stabilization prior to placement of structural fill.
- The potential for liquefaction and liquefaction-induced ground failure to occur at the site is considered low.
- The potential seismically-induced settlement at the site is estimated to be on the order of 1 inch or less.
- Based on our observations and testing, the onsite soils that will be in contact with the planned structures are expected to have a very low expansion potential. Additional testing is recommended during design stage or at completion of grading.
- Concrete in contact with the onsite soil is expected to have negligible exposure to water-soluble sulfates and low exposure to chloride in the soil. The onsite soil, however, is considered corrosive to ferrous metal.



- The subsurface materials are anticipated to be readily excavated using conventional earthmoving equipment in good working condition.
- The proposed improvements may be supported on conventional shallow footing foundation systems established on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils.

Based upon the results of our geotechnical evaluation of the site, the proposed improvements are considered feasible from a geotechnical standpoint.



5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following recommendations have been developed based on the exhibited engineering properties of the onsite soils and their anticipated behavior during and after construction. Recommendations are specifically provided for design of foundations, seismic design considerations, floor slabs, paving, and grading. The proposed structures may be supported on spread-type shallow footing foundation systems established on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils. Leighton should review the grading plan, foundation plans, shoring plans and specifications and pool plans when they are available to verify that the recommendations presented in this report have been properly interpreted and incorporated.

5.1 <u>Grading</u>

Project earthwork is expected to include complete demolition/removal of existing surface pavements (e.g. parking, concrete flatwork, structures, retaining walls along 6th Street, utilities) and complete overexcavation and recompaction of any remaining undocumented fill soils below new improvement footprints as described in the following subsections. A portion of an existing retaining wall along Olympic Boulevard is to remain and repurposed as a free standing to partial retaining wall. The existing Utility Building will also remain and border the northeastern limit of the pool construction.

5.1.1 <u>Site Preparation</u>

After the site is cleared, the soils should be carefully observed for the removal of all unsuitable deposits. We recommend that after removal of pavements and hardscape, and complete demolition of existing structures and utilities (Psomas, 2008) within the proposed improvement footprint, all undocumented fill soils should be excavated from these proposed improvement footprints. Undocumented fill was encountered as deep as $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet bgs in our borings. Deeper fill may be encountered between boring locations.

This overexcavation bottom should extend horizontally either the thickness of fill below spread footings or at least 5 feet horizontally beyond the outside edges of proposed footings, whichever is deeper. Overexcavation is not required for footings established directly on undisturbed natural soils. Any underground obstructions encountered should be removed. Efforts should be made to locate any and all existing utility lines (Psomas,



2008). Those lines should be removed or rerouted where interfering with proposed construction. It is essential that excavation not undermine foundations of the existing Utility Building. The As-Built details of any retaining walls to remain should be provided to Leighton and the structural engineer prior to incorporation into the new design.

Areas outside Phase I Phase II North Campus footprint limits, planned for new asphalt and/or concrete pavement, should be over-excavated to a minimum depth of 24 inches below existing or finish grade, or 18 inches below proposed pavement sections; whichever is deeper.

Resulting removal excavation bottom-surfaces should be observed by Leighton Consulting, Inc., prior to placement of any backfill or new construction. After these over-excavations are completed, and prior to fill placement, exposed surfaces should be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches, moisture-conditioned to or slightly above optimum moisture content, and recompacted (proof rolled) to a minimum 90 percent relative compaction as determined by ASTM D 1557 standard test method (modified Proctor compaction curve).

5.1.2 Earthwork Observation and Testing

Leighton Consulting, Inc. should observe and test all grading and earthwork, to check that the site is properly prepared, the selected fill materials are satisfactory, and that placement and compaction of fills has been performed in accordance with our recommendations and the project specifications. Sufficient notification to us prior to earthwork is essential. Project plans and specifications should incorporate recommendations contained in the text of this report.

Variations in site conditions are possible and may be encountered during construction. To confirm correlation between soil data obtained during our field and laboratory testing and actual subsurface conditions encountered during construction, and to observe conformance with approved plans and specifications, it is essential that we be retained to perform continuous or intermittent review during earthwork, excavation and foundation construction phases. Therefore, conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are contingent upon us performing construction observation services.



5.1.3 Fill Placement and Compaction

Onsite soils free of organics, debris and oversized material (greater-than 6 inches in largest dimension) are suitable for use as compacted structural fill. However, any soil to be placed as fill, whether onsite or imported material, should be first viewed by Leighton and then tested if and as necessary, prior to approval for use as compacted fill. All structural fill must be free of hazardous materials.

All fill soil should be placed in thin, loose lifts, moisture-conditioned, as necessary, to within 2 percent of optimum moisture content, and compacted to a minimum 95% relative compaction as determined by ASTM D 1557 standard test method (modified Proctor compaction curve) within building footprints. Aggregate base for pavement sections should be compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. At least the upper 12 inches of the exposed soils in roadways and access drives, parking lots and (concrete –paver) flatwork areas, should be compacted to a tleast 95 percent relative compaction based on ASTM Test Method D 1557.

Fill Materials: The onsite soils, less any deleterious material or organic matter, can be used in required fills. Cobbles larger than 6 inches in largest diameter should not be used in the fill. Any required import material should consist of relatively non-expansive soils with an Expansion Index (EI) less than 20 (EI<20). The imported materials should contain sufficient fines (binder material) so as to be relatively impermeable and result in a stable subgrade when compacted. All proposed import materials should be approved by the geotechnical engineer of record prior to being placed at the site.

Surface Drainage: Water should not be allowed to pond or accumulate anywhere except in detention basins. Pad drainage should be designed to collect and direct surface water away from structures to approved drainage facilities. Hardscape drains should be installed and drain to storm water disposal systems. Drainage patterns approved at the time of fine grading should be maintained throughout the life of proposed structures. Irrigation and/or percolation should not be allowed for at least 10 feet horizontally around buildings.



5.1.4 <u>Reuse of Concrete and Asphalt In Fill</u> Pulverized demolition concrete free of rebar and other materials and demolished asphalt pavement can be pulverized to particles no-larger-than (≤) 3-inches, and mixed with site soils for use in compacted fill. Blended pulverized concrete and asphalt should be mixed with at least 25% soils by weight. Such materials must be free of and segregated from any hazardous materials and/or organic material of any kind.

5.1.5 <u>Temporary Excavations</u>

All temporary excavations, including utility trenches, retaining wall excavations, and other excavations should be performed in accordance with project plans, specifications and all State of California Occupational Safety and Health Administration (CalOSHA) requirements.

No surcharge loads should be permitted within a horizontal distance equal to the height of cut or 5 feet, whichever is greater from the top of the slope, unless the cut is shored appropriately. Excavations that extend below an imaginary plane inclined at 45 degrees below the edge of any adjacent existing site foundations should be properly shored to maintain support of these structures.

Temporary excavations should be treated in accordance with CalOSHA excavation regulations. The sides of excavations should be shored or sloped accordingly. CalOSHA allows the sides of unbraced excavations, up to a maximum height of 20 feet, to be cut to a ³/₄:1 (horizontal:vertical) slope for Type A soils, 1:1 for Type B soils, and 1½:1 for Type C soils.

The onsite soils within the proposed structural depths generally conform to CalOSHA Type C soils. CalOSHA regulations are applicable in areas with no restriction of surrounding ground deformations. Shoring should be designed for areas with deformation restrictions. The soil type should be verified or revised based on geotechnical observation and testing during construction, as soil classifications may vary over short horizontal distances. Heavy construction loads, such as those resulting from stockpiles and heavy machinery, should be kept a minimum distance equivalent to the excavation height or 5 feet, whichever is greater, from the excavation unless the excavation is shored and these surcharges are considered in the design of the shoring system.



5.1.6 Trench Backfill

Pipeline trenches should be backfilled with compacted fill in accordance with this report, and applicable *Standard Specifications For Public Works Construction* (Greenbook), current edition standards. Backfill in and above the pipe zone should be as follows:

- Pipe Zone: Any proposed pipe should be placed on properly placed bedding materials. Pipe bedding should extend to a depth in accordance to the pipe manufacturer's specification. The pipe bedding should extend to least 1 foot over the top of the conduit. The bedding material may consist of compacted free-draining sand, gravel, or crushed rock. If sand is used, the sand should have a sand equivalent greater than 30. As an alternate the pipe bedding zone can be backfilled with Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) consisting of at least one sack of Portland cement per cubic-yard of sand, conforming to Section 201-6 of the 2015 Edition of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Greenbook). CLSM bedding should be placed to 1 foot over the top of the conduit, and vibrated. CLSM should not be jetted.
- **Over Pipe Zone**: Above the pipe zone, trenches can be backfilled with excavated on-site soils free of debris, organic and oversized material larger than 3 inches in largest dimension. As an option, the whole trench can be backfilled with one-sack CLSM same as presented above for the pipe bedding zone. Oversized rock (cobbles and/or boulders) should either be removed from any backfill, or pulverized for use in backfill only above the pipe zone. Gravel larger than ³/₄-inch in diameter should be mixed with at least 80 percent soil by weight passing the No. 4 sieve. Native soil backfill over the pipe-bedding zone should be placed in thin lifts, moisture conditioned, as necessary, and mechanically compacted using a minimum standard of 95% relative compaction (relative to the laboratory modified Proctor maximum dry density), relative to the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum dry density within building footprints, or 90% under hardscape areas to within 12-inches of the surface. The upper 12inches under hardscape, parking, paver etc. should be compacted to 95% relative compaction. Backfill above the pipe zone should not be jetted. In any case, backfill above the pipe zone (bedding) should be observed and tested by Leighton.



5.1.7 Corrosion Protection Measures

Water-soluble sulfates in soil can react adversely with concrete. As referenced in the 2016 California Building Code (CBC), Section 1904A, concrete subject to exposure to sulfates shall comply with requirements set forth in ACI 318. Based on laboratory testing results of the onsite soils from recent and prior investigations, concrete structures in contact with the onsite soil will likely have "**negligible to moderate**" exposure to water-soluble sulfates in the soil. Therefore, common Type II Portland cement may be used for concrete construction in contact with site soils. Subgrade soil should be tested for water-soluble sulfate content prior to final design of the concrete structures. Import fill soil should be tested for corrosivity and sulfate attack before import to the site. Further testing of the subgrade soils near finish grade should be performed to verify these results.

Based on corrosivity test results of the onsite soils from recent and prior investigations, the onsite soil is considered severely corrosive to ferrous metals. Therefore, based on these results, ferrous pipe buried in moist to wet site earth materials should be avoided by using high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and/or other non-ferrous pipe when possible. Ferrous pipe can also be protected by polyethylene bags, tap or coatings, di-electric fittings or other means to separate the pipe from on-site soils. A qualified corrosion engineer should be consulted for necessary mitigations for corrosive soil.

5.2 <u>Foundations</u>

Based on our preliminary investigation and our experience in the region, the proposed new structures may be supported on a shallow spread footing foundation system established on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils. Any proposed light pole foundations should be designed in accordance with Section 1807A.3 of the 2016 California Building Code.

5.2.1 Shallow Spread Footings

Based on our preliminary investigation, footings for proposed permanent structures should have a minimum embedment of 12 inches and have a minimum width of 12 inches. Footings for proposed temporary structures may be supported directly on grade.



Bearing Value: Footings or post-tensioned concrete slabs with thickened edges established on engineered fill may be designed to impose an allowable bearing pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot (psf). A one third increase in the bearing value for short duration loading, such as wind or seismic forces may be used.

Foundations established deeper than 10 and 20 feet below the lowest existing adjacent grade may be designed to impose allowable bearing pressures of 4,000 psf and 5,000 psf, respectively.

The excavations should be deepened as necessary to extend into satisfactory soils.

The ultimate bearing capacity can be taken as 9,000 psf (12,000 psf and 15,000 psf for foundations deeper than 10 feet and 20 feet below the lowest adjacent grade, respectively). This value does not incorporate a factor of safety and may only be used for an ultimate bearing capacity check with appropriate factored loads.

A one-third increase in the allowable bearing may be used for wind or seismic loading. The recommended bearing value is a net value, and the weight of concrete in the footings can be taken as 50 pounds per cubic foot (pcf); the weight of soil backfill can be neglected when determining the downward loads.

Settlement: The above recommended allowable bearing capacities are generally based on a total post-construction settlement of about 1 inch for column loads not exceeding 675 kips for dead plus sustained live loads when bearing at or below 10 feet bgs, or for 1,000 kips when bearing at or below 20 feet bgs.

Differential settlement due to static loading is generally estimated at ¹/₂ inch over a horizontal distance of 40 feet. Once developed by the structural engineer, we should review total dead and sustained live loads for each column including plan location and span distance, to evaluate if differential settlements between dissimilarly loaded columns will be tolerable. Excessive differential settlement can be mitigated with the use of reduced bearing pressures, deeper footing embedment, possibly changing overexcavation schemes and using imported base material under spread footings, or possibly other methods.



Lateral Resistance: Soil resistance available to withstand lateral loads on a shallow foundation is a function of the frictional resistance along the base of the footing and the passive resistance that may develop as the face of the structure tends to move into the soil. The frictional resistance between the base of the foundation and the subgrade soil may be computed using a coefficient of friction of 0.35. The passive resistance may be computed using an equivalent fluid pressure of 300 pounds-percubic-foot (pcf), assuming there is constant contact between the footing and undisturbed soil. The passive resistance can be increased by onethird when considering short-duration wind or seismic loads. The friction resistance and the passive resistance of the soils can be combined without reduction in determining the total lateral resistance.

Uplift Resistance: To evaluate uplift resistance provided by the dead weight of soils above the footing, the frustum of soil above the footing may be estimated by a 30 degree outward projection from vertical. A unit weight of 120 pcf may be used for the soil volume within the frustum.

To evaluate uplift resistance provided by the shear resistance soils above the footing, a shear value of 50 psf may be used along vertical shear planes from the bottom of the footing to the ground surface along the perimeter the footings.

5.2.2 Modulus of Subgrade Reaction

For design of combined foundations, we recommend that a lower bound and upper bound values of K (modulus of subgrade reaction) be considered to optimize foundation performance. For combined foundations established in undisturbed natural soil or engineered fill, an initial K value of 45 pounds per cubic inch (pci) may be used. The K values presented herein incorporate consolidation settlement and foundation size effects.

5.2.3 Deep Foundations

Cast-In-Drilled Hole (CIDH) concrete piles may also be used to support proposed flagpole type columns, such as shade canopy structures, signs, and fencing.



Axial Capacity of CIDH Pile

The capacities below may be used for design of drilled, cast-in-place concrete piles (CIDH).

Depth of Pile Tip (feet)	18-inch-diameter	36-inch-diameter
30	100	160
40	150	230
50	200	300

Downward Capacity of CIDH Piles (kips)

The values above may be interpolated for other pile diameters. Deadplus-live load capacities are shown. A one-third increase may be used for wind or seismic loads. A factor of safety of 2 was used for shaft friction and end bearing was neglected in determining the pile capacities.

Uplift capacities may be taken as equal to 50 percent of the downward capacities. The capacities presented are based on the strength of the soils; the strength of the pile section should be checked to verify the structural capacity of the piles.

Piles in groups may be spaced at 2½ pile diameters on-centers. If the piles are so spaced, no reduction in axial capacity due to group action need be considered in the design.

Lateral Capacity of CIDH Pile

Lateral loads may be resisted by the piles and by the passive resistance of the soils. The lateral capacity of the piles will depend on the pile type and size, the permissible deflection, and on the degree of fixity at the top of the pile.

We have calculated lateral load, maximum moments, and depths to maximum moment for CIDH concrete piles using the computer program LPILE by ENSOFT, Inc. We have assumed a concrete compressive strength value (f'_c) of 5,000 pounds per square inch (psi).


Pile Head Deflection (inches)	Fixity	Shear Force at Pile Top (kips)	Maximum Bending Moment (kips- in)	Depth to Maximum Moment (feet)
1⁄4	Free Head	6	500	10
1/2	Free Head	9	770	12
1⁄4	Fixed Head	13	1,300	0
1/2	Fixed Head	20	2,200	0

Lateral Capacities of 18-Inch-Diameter CIDH Pile

Lateral Capacities of 24-Inch-Diameter-CIDH Pile

Pile Head Deflection (inches)	Fixity	Shear Force at Pile Top (kips)	Maximum Bending Moment (kips- in)	Depth to Maximum Moment (feet)
1⁄4	Free Head	8	600	12
1/2	Free Head	12	1,000	14
1⁄4	Fixed Head	17	1,700	0
1/2	Fixed Head	26	2,800	0

Lateral Capacities of 36-Inch-Diameter-CIDH Pile

Pile Head Deflection (inches)	Fixity	Shear Force at Pile Top (kips)	Maximum Bending Moment (kips- in)	Depth to Maximum Moment (feet)
1⁄4	Free Head	13	1,150	14
1/2	Free Head	19	1,900	15
1⁄4	Fixed Head	27	3,000	0
1/2	Fixed Head	42	5,200	0



The analyses performed uses the flexural stiffness of the piles computed from the modulus of elasticity (E) and moment of inertia (I). The modulus of elasticity (E) is derived based on the concrete compressive strength and the moment of inertia (I) is derived based on the pile cross-section geometry. The values of E and I are assumed constant along the entire length of the piles.

Lateral pile capacities considering a reduced moment of inertia due to cracked concrete pile sections should be evaluated after reinforcing details become available.

A resistance factor of 1 should be used for lateral capacity evaluation with factored loads.

The capacities presented in the table above are for pile lengths equal to or greater than 15 feet. This length is measured below the pile cap. The lateral capacity and reduction in the bending moment are based in part on the assumption that any required backfill adjacent to the pile caps and grade beams are properly compacted.

For piles in groups spaced at least $2\frac{1}{2}$ pile diameters on-center, no reduction in the lateral capacity need be considered for the first row of piles. For subsequent rows in the direction parallel to loading, piles in groups spaced closer than 7 pile diameters on-center will have a reduction in lateral capacity due to group effects. The lateral capacity of piles in groups spaced at $2\frac{1}{2}$ pile diameters on-center may be assumed to be reduced by half. The reduction for other pile spacings may be interpolated between no reduction for piles spaced at $2\frac{1}{2}$ pile diameters on-center.

The passive resistance of engineered fill against pile caps and grade beams will depend on the method of installation. The passive resistance of engineered fill may be assumed to be equal to the pressure developed by a fluid with a density of 300 pounds per cubic foot (pcf), up to a maximum pressure of 3,000 pounds per square foot. A one-third increase in the passive value may be used for wind or seismic loads. The lateral resistance of the piles and the passive resistance of the soils may be combined without reduction in determining the total lateral resistance.



5.2.4 Settlement

The settlement of the proposed improvements founded on piles in the manner recommended, will be approximately ½ inch or less. Differential settlement will be approximately ¼ inch or less. The differential settlement is anticipated to occur over a minimum span of 40 feet.

Settlement of piles, generally resulting from settlement of the supporting soils and elastic compression of piles, is expected to be on the order of 1/4 inch. The settlement analysis should be evaluated when the actual structural load and pile cap configuration become available.

5.2.5 Construction

The drilling of the pile shafts and placement of the steel reinforcement and concrete should be done under the continuous observation of the project geotechnical engineer. Localized caving within unsupported excavations should be anticipated. Casing may be required where/if sands layers occur. Excavations for piles that are spaced at less than five diameters (center to center) should not be open simultaneously. Concrete for closely spaced piles should be placed and allowed to set for at least 24 hours before initiating the excavation for any adjacent piles. Steel reinforcement and concrete should be placed as soon as possible after approval of the drill hole by the engineering geologist. Pile excavations should not be left open overnight. Tremie pipes should be utilized when placing the concrete to prevent the concrete from falling and/or striking the walls of the borehole.

5.3 Seismic Design Parameters

To accommodate effects of ground shaking produced by regional seismic events, seismic design can be performed by the project structural engineer in accordance with the 2016 Edition of the California Building Code (CBC 2016). Table 5, *2016 CBC Seismic Parameters* (below), lists seismic design parameters based on the 2016 CBC, Section 1613A.3 (ASCE 07-10) methodology:



Categorization/Coefficients	Code- Based ^{(1) (2)}
Site Longitude (decimal degrees) West	-118.48679
Site Latitude (decimal degrees) North	34.01272
Site Class	D
Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at 0.2s Period, S_s	1.980
Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at 1s Period, S_1	0.735
Short Period Site Coefficient at 0.2s Period, F_a	1.0
Long Period Site Coefficient at 1s Period, F_{v}	1.5
Adjusted Spectral Response Acceleration at 0.2s Period, S_{MS}	1.980
Adjusted Spectral Response Acceleration at 1s Period, S_{M1}	1.103
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at 0.2s Period, S_{DS}	1.320
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at 1s Period, S_{D1}	0.735

Table 5 - 2016 CBC Site-Specific Seismic Parameters

1. All were derived from the USGS web page: <u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php</u> All coefficients in units of g (spectral acceleration)

2. See Appendix D for details of the seismic evaluation.

5.4 Slabs-on-Grade

Concrete slabs-on-grade should be designed by the structural engineer in accordance with 2016 CBC requirements for soils with a low expansion potential. More stringent requirements may be required by the structural engineer and/or architect; however, slabs-on-grade should have the following minimum recommended components:

 Subgrade: The near-surface soils can be expansive and will shrink and swell with changes in the moisture content. Therefore, floor slabs-on-grade and adjacent concrete flatwork should be underlain by at least 18 inches of relatively non-expansive fill (EI<20). Existing soils at planned basement levels are anticipated to be relatively non-expansive. Accordingly, removal and replacement with non-expansive fill will likely not be required at basement levels.

Slab-on-grade subgrade soil should be moisture conditioned to or within 3% over optimum moisture content, to a minimum depth of 18 inches within building footprints, and compacted to 90% of the modified proctor (ASTM D 1557) laboratory maximum density prior to placing either a moisture barrier,



steel and/or concrete. Onsite soil may be suitable for this use, however additional expansion testing should be performed upon completion of grading to verify expansive properties of onsite soil.

- Moisture Barrier: A moisture barrier consisting of at least 15-mil-thick Stego-wrap vapor barriers (see: <u>http://www.stegoindustries.com/products/stego_wrap_vapor_barrier.php</u>), or equivalent, should then be placed below slabs where moisture-sensitive floor coverings or equipment will be placed.
- Reinforced Concrete: A conventionally reinforced concrete slab-on-grade with a thickness of at least 5 inches should within the building footprint and 6-inches for exterior SOG be placed in pedestrian areas without heavy loads. Reinforcing steel should be designed by the structural engineer, but as a minimum should be No. 3 rebar placed at 18 inches on-center, each direction (perpendicularly), mid-depth in the slab. A modulus of subgrade reaction (k) as a linear spring constant, of 75 pounds-per-square-inch per inch deflection (pci) can be used for design of heavily loaded slabs-on-grade, assuming a linear response up to deflections on the order of ³/₄ inch.

Minor cracking of concrete after curing due to expansion, drying and shrinkage is normal and will occur. However, cracking is often aggravated by a high water-to-cement ratio, high concrete temperature at the time of placement, small nominal aggregate size, and rapid moisture loss due to hot, dry, and/or windy weather conditions during placement and curing. Cracking due to temperature and moisture fluctuations can also be expected. The use of low-slump concrete or low water/cement ratios can reduce the potential for shrinkage cracking

Pool Considerations: the following design considerations are intended to reduce the impact of differential settlement and expansive soil related forces, but will not eliminate movement. The following items should be considered in the design and construction of the swimming pool. Once the plans are available they should be reviewed by the geotechnical engineer to ensure our recommendations remain appropriate, or, so additional recommendations can be provided based on the final design.

Heavy duty pipes and couplings should be used for the pool plumbing system to minimize leakage, which may cause the soil to expand and produce local high pressures to the pool shell.



Installation of a pressure-relief valve system below the pool bottom should be considered for potential hydrostatic pressures below the pool shell when the pool is empty.

Subdrains below the pool decking should be considered to capture seepage through construction joints or deck pool drains to minimize distortion to pool decking from potential expansive soil pressure.

The pool designer should assume moderately expansive soils and include adequate reinforcement to minimize potential for cracks to develop in the pool shell and decking.

5.4.1 Utilities and Trenches

Open or backfilled trenches paralleling any new or existing footings to remain shall not be below a 1:1 projection from outer lowest edge of footings or slab on grade. Where pipes cross under footings the footings shall be specifically designed by the engineer in charge. Pipe sleeves shall be provided where pipes cross through footings or footing walls and sleeve clearances shall be designed to account for potential settlement of not less than 1 inch around the pipe. Alternate and approved clearances can be provided by the design professional in charge of the utility.

5.5 <u>Lateral Earth Pressures</u>

Recommended lateral earth pressures are provided as equivalent fluid unit weights, in psf/ft. or pcf. These values do not contain an appreciable factor of safety, so the structural engineer should apply the applicable factors of safety and/or load factors during design.

On-site soils are likely suitable to be used as retaining wall backfill due to its low expansion potential, field and laboratory verification recommended before use. However, site soils can be variable in composition and expansive characteristics, See Section 2.4. Should site soil be desired for reuse behind retaining walls the material should be tested to ensure Expansion potential is less than 20 (EI<20). Recommended lateral earth pressures for retaining walls backfilled with sandy soils with drained conditions as shown on Figure 7 are as follows:



Retaining Wall Condition (Level Backfill)	Equivalent Fluid Pressure (pounds-per-cubic-foot)*
Active (cantilever)	35
At-Rest (braced)	55
Passive Resistance (compacted fill)	300
Seismic Increment (add to active pressure)	30

Table 6 - Retaining Wall Design Earth Pressures

*Only for level and drained properly compacted backfill

Walls that are free to rotate or deflect may be designed using active earth pressure. For the basement walls or walls that are fixed against rotation, the atrest pressure should be used. For seismic condition, the pressure should be distributed as an inverted triangular distribution and the dynamic thrust should be applied at a height of 0.6H above the base of the wall.

Retaining Wall Surcharges: In addition to the above lateral forces due to retained earth, surcharge due to above grade loads on the wall backfill, such as existing building foundations, should be considered in design of retaining walls. *The existing Utility Electrical Building is reported with finish floor elevation of El.* 98.7 feet and subterranean transformer vault finish floor elevation of El. 88.8 feet. According to the as-built plans reviewed by Leighton and provided by the District, conventional foundations bearing at approximately 24 inches below grade were constructed.

Vertical surcharge loads behind a retaining wall on or in backfill within a 1:1 (horizontal:vertical) plane projection up and out from the retaining wall toe, should be considered as lateral and vertical surcharge. Unrestrained (cantilever) retaining walls should be designed to resist one-third of these surcharge loads applied as a uniform horizontal pressure on the wall. Braced walls should also be designed to resist an additional uniform horizontal-pressure equivalent to one-half of uniform vertical surcharge loads. Consideration should be given to underpinning existing structures to remain in this zone, to reduce surcharge loads on the wall and to reduce the potential for inducing damaging settlement within these existing buildings, due to soil movement within the wall influence zone.



In areas where autos and pickup trucks will drive we suggest assuming a uniform vertical surcharge of 300 psf, which would result in active and at-rest horizontal surcharges of 100 psf and 150 psf, respectively. This should be doubled in areas of heavy construction traffic (such as concrete trucks, heavy equipment delivery-trucks, etc.). If crane outrigger loads or other point load sources are applied as wall surcharge, this will require additional analyses based on load source and location relative to the wall.

- **5.5.1** <u>Sliding and Overturning</u> Total depth of retained earth for design of walls and for uplift resistance, should be measured as the vertical height of the stem below the ground surface at the wall face for stem design, or measured at the heel of the footing for overturning and sliding. A soil unit weight of 120 pcf may be assumed for calculating the actual weight of the soil over the wall footing, if drained, or 60 pcf if submerged, for properly compacted backfill.
- **5.5.2** <u>Drainage</u> Adequate drainage may be provided by a subdrain system positioned behind the walls. Typically, this system consists of a 4-inch minimum diameter perforated pipe placed near the base of the wall (perforations placed downward). The pipe should be bedded and backfilled with pervious backfill material described in Section 300-3.5.2 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Green Book), 2015 Edition. This pervious backfill should extend at least 2 feet out from the wall and to within 2 feet of the outside finished grade. This pervious backfill and pipe should be wrapped in filter fabric, such as Mirafi 140N or equivalent, placed as described in Section 300-8.1 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Green Book), 2015 Edition. The subdrain outlet should be connected to a free-draining outlet or sump.

Miradrain, Geotech Drainage Panels, or Enkadrain drainage geocomposites, or similar, may be used for wall drainage as an alternative to the Class 2 Permeable Material or drain rock backfill, particularly where horizontal space is limited adjacent to shoring (where walls are cast against shoring). These drainage panels should be connected to the perforated drainpipe at the base of the wall.



5.6 <u>Pavement Design</u>

To provide support for paving, the subgrade soils should be prepared as recommended in Section 5.1, Grading. Compaction of the subgrade, including trench backfills, to at least 90 to 95 percent as recommended relative compaction based on ASTM Test Method D 1557 and achieving a firm, hard and unyielding surface will be important for paving support. The upper 12-inches of subgrade should be compacted to 95% relative compaction. The preparation of the paving area subgrade should be performed immediately prior to placement of the base course. Proper drainage of the paved areas should be provided since this will reduce moisture infiltration into the subgrade and increase the life of the paving.

5.6.1 Base Course

The base course for both asphalt concrete and Portland Cement Concrete paving should meet the specifications for Class 2 Aggregate Base as defined in Section 26 of the latest edition of the State of California, Department of Transportation, and Standard Specifications. Alternatively, the base course could meet the specifications for untreated base as defined in Section 200-2 of the latest edition of *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Greenbook). Crushed Miscellaneous Base (CMB) may be used for the base course provided the geotechnical consultant evaluates and tests it before delivery to the site.

5.6.2 Asphalt Concrete

The required asphalt paving and base thicknesses will depend on the expected wheel loads and volume of traffic (Traffic Index or TI). Assuming that the paving subgrade will consist of the sandy onsite or comparable soils with an R-value of at least 25 (Appendix B) compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction based on ASTM Test Method D 1557 below 12-inches and 95% relative compaction in the upper 12-inches, the minimum recommended paving thicknesses are presented in the following table:

Area	Traffic Index	Asphalt Concrete (inches)	Base Course (inches)
Light Truck	5	3	8
Heavy Truck	6	4	10
Main Drives	7	4	12



The asphalt paving sections were determined using the Caltrans design method. We can determine the recommended paving and base course thicknesses for other Traffic Indices if required. Careful inspection is recommended to verify that the recommended thicknesses or greater are achieved, and that proper construction procedures are followed.

5.6.3 Portland Cement Concrete Paving

Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) paving and walks may be supported directly on sandy onsite soils or compacted fill. PCC paving and walks supported on clayey onsite soils should be underlain by at least 18 inches of engineered fill consisting of relatively non-expansive (EI < 20) soils. We have assumed that such a subgrade will have an R-value of at least 40, which will need to be verified during grading.

PCC paving sections were determined in accordance with procedures developed by the Portland Cement Association. Concrete paving sections for a range of Traffic Indices are presented in the table below. We have assumed that the PCC will have a compressive strength (f_c) of at least 3,000 pounds per square inch (psi).

Area	Traffic Index	Portland Cement Concrete (inches)	Base Course (inches)
Light Truck	5	6½	4
Heavy Truck	6	7	4
Main Drives	7	7½	4

The paving should be provided with expansion joints at regular intervals no more than 15 feet in each direction. Load transfer devices, such as dowels or keys, are recommended at joints in the paving to reduce possible offsets. The paving sections in the above table have been developed based on the strength of unreinforced concrete. Steel reinforcing may be added to the paving to reduce cracking and to prolong the life of the paving.



6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 <u>Excavations</u>

Based on our field observations, caving of cohesionless strata and loose fill soils will likely be encountered in unshored excavations. To protect workers entering excavations, excavations should be performed in accordance with OSHA and Cal-OSHA requirements, and the current edition of the California Construction Safety Orders, see:

http://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/sb4a6.html

Contractors should be advised that fill soils should be considered Type C soils as defined in the California Construction Safety Orders. As indicated in Table B-1 of Article 6, Section 1541.1, Appendix B, of the California Construction Safety Orders, excavations less-than (<) 20 feet deep within Type C soils should be sloped back no steeper than 1½:1 (horizontal:vertical), where workers are to enter the excavation. This may be impractical near adjacent existing utilities and structures; so shoring may be required depending on trench locations. Stiff undisturbed native clays will stand steeper.

During construction, soil conditions should be regularly evaluated to verify that conditions are as anticipated. The contractor is responsible for providing the "competent person" required by OSHA standards to evaluate soil conditions. Close coordination between the competent person and Leighton Consulting, Inc. should be maintained to facilitate construction while providing safe excavations.

Basement (and all) excavation must <u>not</u> undermine existing foundations for existing Utility building and/or any retaining walls to remain. Excavations must not encroach within a 1:1 (horizontal:vertical) wedge extending down and out from existing shallow footings to remain. Shoring or underpinning of existing building foundations will likely be required to facilitate basement and/or pool excavation. Specifically, depending on excavation proximity to the existing adjacent Utility Building, shallow foundations for the southern edge of this building may have to be underpinned.

6.2 <u>Temporary Shoring</u>

Vertical cuts may be supported by several methods including cross-braced hydraulic shoring or conventional shields in utility trenches, or sheet piles, soldier



piles and wood lagging, and/or soil nailing for basement excavations. These choices should be left to the contractor's judgment since economic considerations and/or the individual contractor's construction experience may determine which method is more economical and/or appropriate. However, shoring systems should be designed by a California licensed civil or structural engineer. The contractor and shoring designer should also perform additional geotechnical studies as necessary to refine means and methods of shoring construction. The contractor should forward temporary excavation support system plans to us for pre-construction review.

Hollow-stem-auger borings were drilled, which avoid empirical observation of caving soils in drilled shafts. Therefore, this report does not have any empirical information regarding the potential for caving in drilled holes (e.g. shear wall piles, soldier piles and/or tie-backs), which should be considered by the contractor. The contractor may therefore choose to evaluate the potential for difficult drilling conditions and caving of piles, soldier pile and tie-back shafts by drilling pilot holes with the intended production drilling equipment. We expect some clean sand layers at this site are prone to caving.

Support of all adjacent existing structures and infrastructure without distress is the contractor's responsibility. Excavations that extend below an imaginary plane inclined at 45 degrees below the edge of any adjacent existing site foundation should be properly shored to maintain support of the adjacent structure. In addition, it should be the contractor's responsibility to undertake a preconstruction survey including (1) establishing surface survey monuments adjacent to existing sensitive structures and infrastructure to measure ground movement adjacent to excavations, and (2) photographing and otherwise documenting adjacent property conditions prior to excavation. Surface monuments should be established and read by a California licensed Professional Land Surveyor (PLS), with an accuracy on the order of 1/10th. of an inch.

As preliminary guidelines, the following geotechnical parameters can be used for shoring design:

Supported Earth Pressures: For site undocumented fill, an active equivalent fluid earth pressure of 35 pounds-per-cubic-foot (pcf) should be used for <u>temporary</u> deflecting cantilever shoring, or 50 pcf as an at-rest pressure for <u>temporary</u> braced shoring, only for drained shoring above groundwater with level backfill. Braced shoring can also be designed using a uniform rectangular soil pressure of 25H psf, where H is equal to the depth of



the excavation being shored, in feet. Braces, tie-backs or soil nails should be installed and pre-loaded as the excavation progresses to reduce shoring deflections. Determination of appropriate design conditions (active or at-rest) depends on shoring flexibility. If a rotation of more than 0.001 radian (0.06 degrees) at the base or at the top is allowed, active pressure conditions apply; otherwise, at-rest condition governs.

- Surcharge Loads: Surcharge loads (dead or live) should be added to the indicated lateral earth pressures and should be applied uniformly, if such loads are within a horizontal distance that is less-than the exposed shoring height. The corresponding lateral earth pressure will approximately be 33-percent of the vertical surcharge for active conditions, and 50-percent for atrest conditions. Surcharge pressures from concentrated loads should be evaluated after geometric constraints and loading conditions are determined on individual basis.
- Soldier Piles: Soldier piles typically consist of steel H-beams set in predrilled holes and backfilled with structural concrete below the proposed excavation level and then with lean-mix concrete to the ground surface. Lagging between the soldier piles is expected to be required. Soldier piles may be assumed to have a passive resistance below the lowest adjacent excavation (bottom of pile caps) of 600 pounds-per-square-foot (psf), per foot of embedment of the soldier pile encased in concrete in firm contact with the sands and silts. This passive pressure should not exceed 6,000 psf, and is based on the assumption that soldier piles will be spaced at least three diameters on center. Soldier piles can be problematic in some zones of the sand material that may make drilling and lagging installation difficult. Due to the potential for sand caving, drilled shafts should be poured the same day as drilled, and under no circumstances should be left open overnight. If water is encountered (assumed temporary due to former infiltration trench/pit), then it should be pumped out, and the "tremmie" method used in pouring concrete, which should be designed for an additional compressive strength of 1,000 psi above the dry shaft design strength. Casing should be made available during drilling.
- Tie-Back Design: At least one row of tie-back anchors or other bracing will be required for excavations exceeding approximately 15 feet in height. Unbonded portions of tie-back anchors should extend to the assumed failure plane. The failure plane is an imaginary line extending up from the bottom of



the excavation at a minimum inclination of 30° from the vertical. The anchors should be installed starting at no more than 8 feet below the surface of the adjacent retained soils and should be at inclinations between 15° and 45° from the horizontal. The locations and angles of these anchors may need to be adjusted to clear existing foundations and utilities (Psomas, 2008). Cement bond resistance on tie-back anchors may be assumed to be 40H pounds-per-square-foot (psf), where H is the average depth of the tie-back anchor portion (beyond the failure plane) in feet, but not to exceed 500 psf. Bond resistance values can be increased for pressure-injected (grouted) anchors.

- Tie-Back Testing: Tie-back capacities must be verified by testing before the next level of excavation can proceed. Actual anchor capacity of the tie-back anchor should be verified by testing all anchors to 150 percent of the design load (proof-testing). At least one anchor on each wall, but not more than 150 feet apart along the perimeter of the shoring (in plan view), should be tested up to 200 percent of the design load for 24 hours (as performance-testing). Anchor deflection after slack is removed from the strands or anchor rod, should not exceed 0.1 and 0.25 inches for the two types of tests, respectively. Testing jack calibration must be provided by the contractor to Leighton Consulting, Inc., and should not be more than one month old.
- Monitoring: Soldier piles should be monitored weekly for line and grade, surveyed by a California licensed Professional Land Surveyor (PLS). Survey results must be sent to Leighton Consulting, Inc., weekly, preferably by email. If total horizontal deflection inward (towards the excavation) exceeds one-inch, then excavation adjacent to excessively-deflecting soldier/sheet pile(s) should be halted immediately, and the shoring design at that location should reevaluated by the shoring designer, owner and Leighton Consulting, Inc. Any movement more than one inch will require remedial shoring at the location of excessive deflection, to prevent additional movement prior to further construction in that area.

6.3 Cast-In-Place Concrete Piles Construction

Bottoms of drilled cast-in-place pile excavations should be reasonably free of loose soil before reinforcing steel is installed and concrete is placed. We recommend that Leighton Consulting, Inc. observe pile drilling, in accordance with Section 1705A.8 of the 2016 California Building Code, to establish that piles



are founded in suitable undisturbed native materials and constructed in accordance with the recommendations presented in this report. The approved geotechnical report and the construction documents prepared by the registered design professionals shall be used to determine compliance. Cast-in-place piles should be constructed in accordance with Section 205-3.3.2 of the 2015 *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Green Book).

Due to the loose nature of fill and cohesionless alluvium, casing of each shaft may be necessary. If perched water is encountered and cannot be removed from excavations prior to concrete placement, then concrete will need to be placed by tremie pipe or concrete pump hose. The concrete should be tremied or pumped to the bottom of the hole keeping the tremie or pipe below the surface of the concrete to avoid entrapment of water in placed concrete. As concrete is poured, water is displaced out of the hole.

Care should be taken to avoid "blow-outs" into open drilled shafts when placing fresh concrete in adjacent closely spaced drilled shafts. Fluid pressure of uncured concrete under on-the-order-of (\approx) 40-feet of head can deform or displace soils into an adjacent closely spaced shaft. For new piles placed closer-than (<) 2½ diameters on center, construction sequencing should require curing concrete in one shaft before drilling the adjacent closely spaced shaft. Or, multiple (two or more) temporary steel casings can be used to stabilize multiple (two or more) adjacent closely-spaced shafts simultaneously. Other options can be considered as the means-and-methods of the foundation contractor.

6.4 <u>Geotechnical Services During Construction</u>

Our geotechnical recommendations are contingent upon Leighton Consulting, Inc., providing geotechnical observation and testing services during earthwork and foundation construction. There is a potential for encountering deeper undocumented fill or otherwise unacceptable existing soils between or beyond our boring locations. We are unaware of any existing fill placement documentation for this site. Therefore, inconsistent existing fill materials may be encountered during construction, possibly requiring revised geotechnical recommendations.

Our geotechnical recommendations provided in this report are based on information available at the time the report was prepared and may change as plans are developed. Additional geotechnical exploration, testing and/or analysis may be required based on final plans. Leighton Consulting, Inc. should review



site grading, foundation, pool design and shoring plans when available, to comment further on geotechnical aspects of this project and check to see general conformance of final project plans to recommendations presented in this report.

Leighton Consulting, Inc. should be retained to provide geotechnical observation and testing during excavation and all phases of earthwork. Our conclusions and recommendations should be reviewed and verified by us during construction and revised accordingly if geotechnical conditions encountered vary from our findings and interpretations. Geotechnical observation and testing should be provided:

- During all excavation,
- During compaction of all fill materials,
- After excavation of all footings and prior to placement of concrete,
- During deep foundation installation if required,
- During utility trench backfilling and compaction,
- During pavement subgrade and base preparation, and/or
- If and when any unusual geotechnical conditions are encountered.



7.0 LIMITATIONS

Leighton's work was performed using the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable geotechnical consultants practicing in this or similar localities. No other warranty, express or implied, is made as to the conclusions and professional opinions included in this report. As in many projects, conditions revealed in excavations may be at variance with preliminary findings. If this occurs, the changed conditions must be evaluated by the geotechnical consultant and additional recommendations be obtained, as warranted.

The identification and testing of hazardous, toxic or contaminated materials were outside the scope of Leighton's work. Should such materials be encountered at any time, or their existence is suspected, all measures stipulated in local, county, state and federal regulations, as applicable, should be implemented.

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner or a duly authorized agent acting on behalf of the owner, to ensure that the information and recommendations contained herein are brought to the attention of the necessary design consultants for the project and incorporated into the plans; and that the necessary steps are taken to see that the contracts carry out such recommendations in the field.

The findings of this report are considered valid as of the present date. However, changes in the condition of a property can occur with the passage of time, whether due to natural processes or the work of man on the subject or adjacent properties. In addition, changes in standards of practice may occur from legislation or the broadening of knowledge. Accordingly, the findings of this report may at some future time be invalidated wholly or partially by changes outside Leighton's control.

The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based in part upon data that were obtained from a necessarily limited number of observations, site visits, excavations, samples and testes. Such information can be obtained only with respect to the specific locations explored, and therefore may not completely define all subsurface conditions throughout the site. The nature of many sites is that differing geotechnical and/or geological conditions can occur within small distances and under varying climatic conditions. Furthermore, changes in subsurface conditions can and do occur over time. Therefore, the findings, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report should be considered preliminary if unanticipated conditions are encountered and additional explorations, testing and analyses may be necessary to develop alternative recommendations.



This report has been prepared for the express use of Santa Monica - Malibu Unified School District and its design consultants, and only as related expressly to the assessment of the geotechnical constraints of developing the subject site and for construction purposes. This report may not be used by others or for other projects without the express written consent of Santa Monica - Malibu Unified School District and our firm.

If parties other than Leighton are engaged to provide construction geotechnical services, they must be notified that they will be required to assume complete responsibility for the geotechnical phase of the project by concurring with the findings and recommendations in this report or by providing alternative recommendations. Any persons using this report for bidding or construction purposes should perform such independent investigations as they deem necessary to satisfy themselves as to the surface and/or subsurface conditions to be encountered and the procedures to be used in the performance of work on the subject site.



8.0 **REFERENCES**

- Abrahamson, N.A. Silva, W.J., and Kamai, R., 2014, Summary of the ASK14 Ground Motion Relation for Active Crustal Regions, Earthquake Spectra 30, pp. 1025-1055.
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 2013, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE/SEI 7-10, Third Printing, Errata Incorporated through March 15.
- Barrows, A.G., 1974, A Review of the Geology and Earthquake History of the Newport-Inglewood Structural Zone, Southern California: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 114, 115 p.
- Boore, D.M., Stewart, J.P., Seyhan, E., and Atkinson, G.A., 2014, NGA-West2 Equations for Predicting PGA, PGV, and 5% Damped PSA for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes, Earthquake Spectra 30, pp. 1057-1085.
- Brankman, Charles M. and Shaw, John H., 2009, Structural Geometry and Slip of the Palos Verdes Fault, Southern California: Implications for Earthquake Hazards: Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, V. 99, No. 3, p. 1730-1745, June 2009.
- Bryant, W.A., 1988, Recently Active Traces of the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone, Los Angeles and Orange Counties, California: California Division of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 88-14, 15 p.
- Bryant, W.A., and Hart, E.W., 2007, Fault Rupture Hazard Zones in California, Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act with Index to Earthquake Fault Zones Maps, California Geological Survey: Special Publication 42.
- Butlers, J., 1997, Analysis of Energy Measurement Methods of Standard Penetration Test Driving Systems, Master Thesis Submitted at Utah State University in Logan.
- California Building Standards Commission (CBSC), 2013 California Building Code (CBC), Based on 2012 International Building Code.
- California Geological Survey (CGS; previously known as the California Division of Mines and Geology), 1986, State of California Special Study Zones, Beverly Hills Quadrangle, Revised Official Map, July 1, 1986.



- ___, 1998, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Beverly Hills 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 023, 1998.
- ____, 1999, State of California Official Seismic Hazard Zones Map, Beverly Hills Quadrangle, Released March 25, 1999 map scale 1:24,000.
- , 2000, CD-ROM containing digital images of Official Maps of Alguist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones that affect the Southern Region, CDMG CD 2000-003 2000.
- , 2008, Special Publication 117a, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California.
- ___, 2009, Tsunami Inundation Map for Emergency Planning, Beverly Hills Quadrangle, Los Angeles County, California, map scale 1:24,000.
- _, 2013, Note 48, Checklist for the Review of Engineering Geology and Seismology Reports for California Public Schools, Hospitals, and Essential Services Buildings, dated October 2013.
- , 2017, California Geological Survey Fault Evaluation Report FER 259, The Hollywood, Santa Monica and Newport-Inglewood Faults in the Beverly Hills and Topanga 7.5 Minute Quadrangles, dated June 28, 2017
- California Office of Emergency Services (CalEMA), posted 2010, Los Angeles Tsunami Hazard: Maximum Runup, posted July 7, 2010.
- California State Water Resources Control Board (CSWRCB), GeoTracker, http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/.
- Campbell, K.W., and Bozorgnia, Y., 2014, NGA-West2 Ground Motion Model for the Average Horizontal Components of PGA, PGV, and 5% Damped Linear Acceleration Response Spectra, Earthquake Spectra 30, pp. 1087-1115.
- Catchings, R.D., Gandhok, G., Goldman, M.R., and Okaya, D., 2001, Seismic Images and Fault Relations of the Santa Monica Thrust Fault, West Los Angeles, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-111, 15 p.
- Chan Young Architects, 2016, Santa Monica High School Softball Field and Site Improvements, Sheet A1.10, dated August 25, 2016.



- Chiou, B.S.-J., and Youngs, R.R., 2014, Update of the Chiou and Youngs NGA Model for the Average Horizontal Component of Peak Ground Motion and Response Spectra, Earthquake Spectra 30, pp. 1117-1153.
- City of Santa Monica, 2014, City of Santa Monica Geologic Hazards Map, Information Systems Department, Geographic Information Systems, January 2014.
- Cooke, Michele L. and Marshall, Scott T., 2006, Fault Slip Rates from Three-Dimensional Models of the Los Angeles metropolitan area, California; Geophysical Research Letters, Vol. 33, November 9, 2006.
- County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works (LADPW), 2014, Guidelines for Design, Investigation, and Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration, Department of Public Works, Geotechnical and Materials Engineering Division, dated December 31, 2014.
- Crook, R., Jr., and Proctor, R., 1992, *The Hollywood and Santa Monica Faults and the Southern Boundary of the Transverse Ranges Province*: in Pipkin B., and Proctor, R., Engineering Geology Practice in Southern California.
- Davis, T.L., and Namsom, J.S., 1994, A Balanced Cross Section of the 1994 Northridge Earthquake, Southern California: Nature 372: 167-169.
- Dibblee, Jr., T.W., 1991, Geologic Map of the Beverly Hills and South ½ Van Nuys Quadrangles, Los Angeles County, California, Dibblee Geological Foundation Map DF-31, Santa Barbara, California, map scale 1:24,000.
- Dolan, J.F., Sieh, K, Rockwell, T.K., Guptill, P., and Miller, G., 1997, Active Tectonics, Paleoseismology, and Seismic Hazards of the Hollywood Fault, Northern Los Angeles Basin, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Volume 109, No. 12, pp. 1595-1616.
- Dolan, J.F., Sieh, K., and Rockwell, T.K., 2000, Late Quaternary Activity and Seismic Potential of the Santa Monica Fault System, Los Angeles, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Volume 112, No. 10, pp. 1559-1581.
- Dolan, J.F., Gath, E.M., Grant, L.B., Legg, M., Lindvall, S., Mueller, K., Oskin, M., Ponti,
 D.F., Rubin, C.M., Rockwell, T.K., Shaw, J.H., Treiman, J.A., Walls, C., and
 Yeats, R.S. (compiler), 2001, Active Faults in the Los Angeles Metropolitan
 Region: Report by the Southern California Earthquake Center Group C.



- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2008, Flood Insurance Rate Map, Los Angeles County, California and Incorporated Areas, Map No. 06037C1590F, Panel 1590F, September 26, 2008.
- Freeman, S.T., Heath, E.G., Guptill, P.D., and Waggoneer, J.T., 1992, Seismic Hazard Assessment, Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone, *in* Pipkin, B.W. and Proctor, R.J. (editors), Engineering Geologic Practice in Southern California: Association of Engineering Geologists Special Publication No. 4, pp. 211-231.
- Hoots, H.W., 1931, Geology of the Eastern Part of the Santa Monica Mountains, Los Angeles County, California: USGS Professional Paper No. 165-C: p83-134, map scale 1:24,000.
- Ishihara, K. and Yoshimine, M., 1992, "Evaluation of Settlements in Sand Deposits Following Liquefaction during Earthquakes," *Soils and Foundations*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 173-188.
- Jennings, C. W., 1994, Fault Activity Map of California and Adjacent Areas: California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology, California Geologic Data Map Series, Map No. 6, 1:750,000 scale.
- Leighton and Associates, 1994, Technical Background Report to the Safety Element of the City of Santa Monica General Plan, Project No. 7910399.001, dated March 30, 1994.
- Leighton Consulting, Inc., 2011, Feasibility Level Geotechnical Exploration Report, Proposed Civic Center Joint Use (CCJUP), Santa Monica High School (SAMOHI), 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California, Project No. 603086-001, dated March 17, 2011.
- _____, 2012a, Addendum to Feasibility Level Geotechnical Exploration Report, Civic Center Joint Use (CCJUP), Sitting Study II, Santa Monica High School (SAMOHI), 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California, Project No. 603086-003, dated May 8, 2012.
- _____, 2012b, Geotechnical Exploration Report, Proposed Electrical Transformer Enclosure, Santa Monica High School (SAMOHI), 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California, Project No. 603086-004, dated August 31, 2012.



- _____, 2016, Memorandum-Geotechnical Design Summary Proposed ADA and Softball Improvements Santa Monica High School 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California, dated August 29, 2016
- Los Angeles Department of Public Works, 2014, Guidelines for design, Investigation, and Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration, dated 2014
- MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. 2008, Report of Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Science and Technology Building, Santa Monica High School (SAMOHI), 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, California, dated December 22, 2008.
- Moody, J. D., and Hill, M.J., 1956, Wrench Fault Tectonics: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 67, pp. 1207-1246.
- Petersen, M. D., Bryan, W. A., Cramer, C. H., Cao, Tianquing, Reichle, M. S., Frankel,
 A. D., Lienkaemper, J. J., McCrory, P. A., and Schwartz, D. P., 1996,
 Probabilistic seismic hazard assessment for the state of California: California
 Division of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 96-08, 33 p.
- Petersen, Mark D., Frankel, Arthur D., Harmsen, Stephen C., Mueller, Charles S., Haller, Kathleen M., Wheeler, Russell L., Wesson, Robert L., Zeng, Yuehua, Boyd, Oliver S., Perkins, David M., Luco, Nicolas, Field, Edward H., Wills, Chris J., and Rukstales, Kenneth S., 2008, Documentation for the 2008 Update of the United States National Seismic Hazard Maps: U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 2008-1128, 61 p.
- Poland, J.F. and Piper, A.M., and others, Ground Water Geology of the Coastal Zone, Long Beach and Santa Ana Area, California. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 1109.
- Pratt, T.L., Dolan, J.F., et al., Multiscale Seismic Imaging of Active fault Zones for Hazard Assessment: A Case Study of the Santa Monica Fault Zone, Los Angeles, California, Geophysics Vol. 63 No. 2, March-April 1998.
- Psomas, 2008, Aerial Topographic Survey and Utility Locations Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District Santa Monica High School, City of Santa Monica, County of Los Angeles, California, Scale 1"=40", dated January 2, 2008
- _____, 2016, *Santa Monica High School Ramp and Stairway*, Sheet C3.00, dated June 9, 2016.



- Risk Engineering, Inc., 2010, EZ-FRISK, Software for Earthquake Ground Motion Estimation, Version 7.43, 2010.
- Shaw, John H., 2007, Final Technical Report, Subsurface Geometry and Segmentation of the Palos Verdes Fault and Their Implications for Earthquake Hazards in Southern California; U.S. Geological Survey National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program, June 1, 2007.
- Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC), 1999, Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117 Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Liquefaction Hazards in California, dated March 1999.
- Terzaghi, K., Peck, R., and Mesri, G., 1996, Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice, Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publishers, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore.
- Tsutsumi, H., Yeats, R.S., and Huftile, G.J., 2001, Late Cenozoic Tectonics of the Northern Los Angeles Fault System, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Volume 113, No. 4, pp. 454-468.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS) Topographic Map, 1981, Beverly Hills 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, dated 1966, Photorevised 1981.

_, 2013, U.S. Seismic Design Maps, <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php</u>

- Yerkes, R.F.; McCollouch, T.H.; Schoellhamer, J.E.; Vedder, J.G, 1965, Geology of the Los Angeles Basin, California- An Introduction: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-A pp. 57.
- Yerkes, R.F., and Campbell, R.H., 2005, Preliminary Geologic Map of the Los Angeles 30' x 60' Quadrangle, Southern California, United States Geological Survey: Open-File Report 2005-1019, Version 1.0, Map Scale 1:100,000.
- Ziony, J.I., and Yerkes, R.F., 1985, Evaluating Earthquake and Surface-Faulting Potential *in* Ziony, J.I. (editor), Evaluating Earthquake Hazards in the Los Angeles Region - An Earth-Science Perspective: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1360, pp. 43-91





Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F01_SLM_2017-08-22.mxd on 6/16/2017 4:38:51 PM

	XXX	WY CA - YXX	
	- AX		
X	X X X		XV
X	XXX		
$\langle \gamma \rangle$		XXXXXXXXX	X
X	X X X Y	(XXXXXXY	-/
	XXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	
	XXX	XXXXXXXXX	
	(X X X)	AXXXXX / >>	-0
	\times	The second s	1000
	NAX S		K.
\times	$X \times X^{\wedge}$	XXXXXXXXXXXX	\times
	11/11		
XX			
			1XSF
	Campus Boundary		$ \parallel \propto$
	Campao Doanada	SANTA MONICA	
1	1 WWW		
	14.00		X
	- FILE ABIN S		
	19 50		
2	PIER PIER		
X	18		
	N A		1/
	4	NEW AND AND AND	1
		PIER	22
	2		2 Sector
Project: 114	28.007 Eng/Geol: CCK/JAR	SITE TOPOGRAPHY MAP	Figure 1a
Scale: NTS	Date: August 2017	Santa Monica High School	
Base Map: ESR Thematic Inform Author: Leighton	Il ArcGIS Online 2017 nation: Leighton n Geomatics (btran)	601 Pico Boulevard Santa Monica, California	Leighton

Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F01A_STM_2017-08-28.mxd on 8/28/2017 1:43:19 PM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F02_RGM-2017-08-22.mxd on 7/27/2017 1:40:18 PM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F03_RF&HSM_2017-08-23.mxd on 8/22/2017 4:49:45 PM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F04_SHM_2017-08-22.mxd on 6/16/2017 4:09:06 PM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F05_FHM_2017-08-24.mxd on 8/22/2017 4:41:05 PM



Map Saved as V:\Drafting\11428\007\Maps\11428-007_F06_DIM_2017-08-24.mxd on 8/22/2017 5:59:25 PM



GENERAL NOTES:

* Waterproofing should be provided where moisture nuisance problem through the wall is undesirable.

* Water proofing of the walls is not under purview of the geotechnical engineer

* All drains should have a gradient of 1 percent minimum

*Outlet portion of the subdrain should have a 4-inch diameter solid pipe discharged into a suitable disposal area designed by the project engineer. The subdrain pipe should be accessible for maintenance (rodding)

*Other subdrain backfill options are subject to the review by the geotechnical engineer and modification of design parameters.

Notes:

1) Sand should have a sand equivalent of 30 or greater and may be densified by water jetting.

2) 1 Cu. ft. per ft. of 1/4- to 1 1/2-inch size gravel wrapped in filter fabric

3) Pipe type should be ASTM D1527 Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) SDR35 or ASTM D1785 Polyvinyl Chloride plastic (PVC), Schedule 40, Armco A2000 PVC, or approved equivalent. Pipe should be installed with perforations down. Perforations should be 3/8 inch in diameter placed at the ends of a 120-degree arc in two rows at 3-inch on center (staggered)

4) Filter fabric should be Mirafi 140NC or approved equivalent.

5) Weephole should be 3-inch minimum diameter and provided at 10-foot maximum intervals. If exposure is permitted, weepholes should be located 12 inches above finished grade. If exposure is not permitted such as for a wall adjacent to a sidewalk/curb, a pipe under the sidewalk to be discharged through the curb face or equivalent should be provided. For a basement-type wall, a proper subdrain outlet system should be provided.

6) Retaining wall plans should be reviewed and approved by the geotechnical engineer.

7) Walls over six feet in height are subject to a special review by the geotechnical engineer and modifications to the above requirements.

RETAINING WALL BACKFILL AND SUBDRAIN DETAIL FOR WALLS 6 FEET OR LESS IN HEIGHT

WHEN NATIVE MATERIAL HAS EXPANSION INDEX OF <50



APPENDIX A

Field Exploration Logs



GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG LB-1

Pro	ject No	b.	11428	3.007						Date Drilled	Date Drilled6-13-17			
Proj	ject		Samo	hi Pha	ase	1 and	2			Logged By	EMH			
Drill	ling Co).	Martini Drilling Co. Hole Diameter											
Drill	ling Me	ethod	Hollow Stem Auger - 140lb - Autohammer - 30" Drop Ground Elevation 95'											
Loc	ation		See Plate 1, Exploration Location Map Sampled By EMH											
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic v	Attitudes Attitudes Dry Density Soil Class. Soil Class. Soil Class. Soil Class. Soil Class. Soil Class. Soil Class.				Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explor time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the r locations on of the pes may be	Type of Tests		
95- 90-	0 5			BB-1		13	128	8	SM	 @0': <u>Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu):</u> Silty SAND (SM), yellowish brown, dry to slightly moist, f coarse grained sand, miscellaneous debris @5': Sandy SILT (ML), dark brown, bard, slightly moist. 	ine to	CN CR DS EI MX RV		
	-	· · · · · ·				50/5		o 		 @ 5. Sandy SILT (ML), dark blown, hard, sightly holst, medium sand, some clay, miscellaneous debris, sam bouncing on a cobble @ 7.5': Quaternary Old Marine Deposits (Qom): 				
85-	10— — — —			S1		3 6 8		10	SM	@10': Silty SAND (SM), light brown, medium dense, mo to medium sand, trace clay	ist, fine			
80-	15— — — —			R2		10 15 21	117	13		@15': Moist, increase in fines content, slightly cohesive				
75-	20 — — — —			S2		6 9 13		12		@20': Silty SAND (SM), medium brown to reddish browr medium dense, moist, fine to medium sand, trace cla slightly oxidized	ı, y,			
70-	25— — — 			R3		15 27 38	104	7		@25': Decrease in fines content, slightly moist, noncohe	sive			
SAME B C G R S T	PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII CON COLI COR	STS: NES PAS RBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALL	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG T PENETROMETER JE	атн	X		

*** This log is a part of a report by Leighton and should not be used as a stand-alone document. ***

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG LB-1

Proj Proj Drill	ject No ect ing Co	D.	11428 Samo Martii	<u>8.007</u> ohi Pha ni Drilli	ase ng (<u> </u>	2			Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter	6-13-17 EMH 8"	
Drill	ing M	ethod	Hollo	w Sten	n Aı	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	95'	
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorat	ion Lo	cation	Sampled By	EMH		
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	≤ Graphic Log	Attitudes Sample No. Sample No. Per 6 Inches Dry Density Moisture				Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorat time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	tion at the locations n of the es may be	Type of Tests
65-	30— 			S3		10 23 29		7	SP-SM	@30': Sand with Silt (SP-SM), tan to light brown, hard, sli moist, fine sand, slightly micaceous	ghtly	
60-				R4		15 32 50/4	112	10		@35': Becomes oxidized, slightly moist, reddish brown, fi medium sand	ne to	
55-	 40 			S4		12 16 20		8	SM	@40': Silty SAND (SM), reddish to orangish brown, mediu dense, slightly moist, fine to medium sand, oxidized laminations, trace clay	ım	
50-	 45 			R5		17 40 50/5	109	5	SP	@45': SAND (SP), tan, very dense, slightly moist, fine to medium sand, slightly micaceous, trace silt and clay		
45-				S5		13 27 39		6		@50': Grades coarser, oxidized laminations Total Depth of Boring: 51.5 feet bgs		
40-	 55 									No groundwater encountered during drilling Boring backfilled with soil cuttings on 6/13/17 upon compl of drilling	letion	
35 SAMF C G R S T	60 PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON COLI COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGT T PENETROMETER	гн	

*** This log is a part of a report by Leighton and should not be used as a stand-alone document. ***

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG LB-2

Proj Proj	ject No ect	D.	11428.007 Samohi Phase 1 and 2							Date Drilled Logged By	6-13-17 EMH	
Drill	ing Co).	Marti	ni Drilli	Hole Diameter	8"						
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollow Stem Auger - 140lb - Autohammer - 30" Drop Ground Elevation 96'									
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorati	ion Loo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic «	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explorat time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other le and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	ion at the ocations n of the s may be	Type of Tests
95-	0			BB-1					GP	 @0': 3.5 inches of Asphalt Concrete over 0 inches of Aggr Base @0.25': Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu): Silty SAND (SM), brown, slightly moist, fine to medium sar @3': 3-inch angular gravels. Infiltration system extends 	egate / - / nd	
90-										approximently to 7.5 feet bgs		
85-	 10 			 		9 9 16 23		1	SM	 @7.5[:]: <u>Quaternary Old Marine Deposits (Qom):</u> Silty SAND (SM), brown, medium dense, moist, predomina fine sand, some medium sand, slightly cohesive, trace @10[:]: Dark brown, slight increase in clay content 		CN DS
80-	 15 			S2		6 11 15		13	SM	@15': Silty SAND (SM), brown, medium dense, moist, fine medium sand, trace clay	e to	
75-	 20 			R2		12 23 32				@20': Silty SAND (SM), reddish brown, dense, slightly mo fine to medium sand, trace clay, trace micas	ist,	DS
70-				S3		7 16 22		8	SP-SM	@25': Sand with Silt (SP-SM), tan, medium dense, slightly moist, fine sand, with oxidized laminations, slightly micaceous	,	
SAMI B C G R S T	30 BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE CAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON COL COR UND	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDAT LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SING LIMITS FION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMU POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGT T PENETROMETER E	н	

*** This log is a part of a report by Leighton and should not be used as a stand-alone document. ***
Proj Proj Drill Drill Loc	ject No ect ing Co ing Mo ation	o. o. ethod	1142 Samo Marti Hollo See F	8.007 ohi Pha ni Drill w Ster Plate 1	ase 1 ing C m Au I, Exp	<u>and</u> Co. ger -	2 140lb	- Auto	hamm Map	Date Drilled Logged By Hole Diameter Ground Elevation Sampled By	6-13-17 EMH 8" 96' EMH	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explor time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the r locations on of the bes may be	Type of Tests
65-	30 —	· . · . . · . · . · . · .		R3		24 39 44	106	4	SP	 @30': SAND (SP), orange brown, very dense, slightly m to medium sand, grades coarser in sample, laminated silt, oxidized Total Depth of Boring: 31.5 feet bgs No groundwater encountered during drilling Boring backfilled with soil cuttings on 6/13/17 upon comp of drilling 	oist, fine J, trace	
60 -	35— _ _											
55-	40											
50-	 45 											
45-												
40-												
SAMF B C G R S T	60 DLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA		TYPE C -200 AL CN CO CR CU	DF TES % FIN ATTEI CONS COLL CORR UNDR	STS: IES PAS RBERG SOLIDAT APSE ROSION RAINED	SING LIMITS FION	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRC MAXIM POCKE R VALL	T SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG TF PENETROMETER JE	атн 🚺	

Pro	ject No) .	11428	3.007						Date Drilled	6-13-17								
Proj	ect	_	Samo	hi Pha	ise	1 and	2			Logged By	EMH								
Drill	ling Co).	Martir	ni Drilli	ng	Co.				Hole Diameter	8"								
Drill	ling Me	ethod	Hollo	w Sten	n Ai	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	102'								
Loc	ation	-	See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorati	ion Lo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH								
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	ح Graphic در Log	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explora time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil type gradual.	tion at the locations n of the es may be	Type of Tests							
100-	0— — — 5—			BB-1					SM-ML	@0': Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu): Silty SAND to Sandy Silt (SM/ML), dark brown, slightly mo fine to medium sand	vtificial Fill, undocumented (Afu): AND to Sandy Silt (SM/ML), dark brown, slightly moist, to medium sand								
95-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R1		4 <u>12_</u> 20	104	4	SM	@6 ¹ : Quaternary Old Marine Deposits (Qom): Silty SAND (SM), reddish brown, medium dense, slightly i fine to medium sand, oxidized	rine Deposits (Qom): I brown, medium dense, slightly moist, oxidized								
90-	 10 			S1		4 8 12		4	SP	@10': SAND (SP), medium brown, medium dense, dry, fir medium sand with clayey laminations	ne to								
85-				R2		18 30 42	111	7	SM	@15': Silty SAND (SM), reddish brown, slightly moist, fine medium sand, oxidized, trace clay	e to								
80-	 20 			S2		5 13 15		5	SP-SM	@20': Sand with Silt (SP-SM), brown, medium dense, fine medium sand, slightly oxidized	e to								
75-				R3		21 43 50/3	103	5	SP	@25': SAND (SP), tan to light brown, very dense, slightly fine sand, micaceous, trace silt	moist,								
SAME	30	<u></u> FS [.]		TYPE O	 5 T E	ete:						-							
B C G R S T	BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE POON SA	MPLE	-200 AL CN CO CR CU	% FII ATTE CON COLI COR	NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMU POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGT T PENETROMETER JE	н								

Pro	ject No	D .	11428	8.007						Date Drilled	6-13-17	
Proj	ect	-	Samo	ohi Pha	ase	1 and	2			Logged By	EMH	
Drill	ing Co).	Martir	ni Drilli	ng (Co.				Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Mo	ethod	Hollo	w Sten	n Aı	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	102'	
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorat	ion Lo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	Z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explore time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the locations on of the bes may be	Type of Tests
70-	30			S3		10 16 28		5	SP	@30': SAND (SP), brown to orange brown, medium dens slightly moist, predominantly fine sand, some medium with oxidized laminations	se, i sand	
65-	35— — —			R4		12 50/6	106	6		@35': Grades coarser, fine to medium sand, slightly moi heavily oxidized pockets of sand with oxidized laminat	st, tions	
60 -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S4		13 25 28		4	SP	@40': SAND (SP), brown to orange brown, slightly moist oxidized laminations, fine to medium sand, trace silt, t coarse sand	, with trace	
55-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R5		15 39 50/4	104	4		@45': SAND (SP), tan, very dense, slightly moist, mostly sand, trace medium sand, trace silt quartz grained sa minor oxidized pockets of sand, micaceous	∕ fine nd, very	
50 -				S5		18 30 50/5		4		 @50': Grades slightly coarser Total Depth of Boring: 51.5 feet bgs No groundwater encountered during drilling 		
45-	 55 									of drilling	νιετιοη	
SAMF B C G R S T	60 BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FIN ATTE CON COLI COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIM POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT IMETER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG T PENETROMETER IE	тн	X

Proj	ject N	0.	1142	8.007						Date Drilled	6-13-17			
Proj	ect		Samo	ohi Pha	ase	1 and	2			Logged By	EMH			
Drill	ing Co	D.	Marti	ni Drill	ing	Co.				Hole Diameter	8"			
Drill	ing M	ethod	Hollo	w Ster	n Ai	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	99'			
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorati	ion Loo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH			
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explor time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil typ gradual.	ation at the locations on of the bes may be	Type of Tests		
95-	0— — —			BB-1					ML	00': 6 inches of Concrete @0.5': <u>Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu):</u> Sandy SILT (ML), dark brown, slightly moist, fine to med sand	/			
50	5— _					5		@5': Sandy SILT (ML), dark brown, firm, slightly moist, fi medium sand	ne to					
90-	 		R1 11 111 3 SM @10': Silty SAND (SM), light brown, medium dense, slightly moist, predominantly fine sand, some medium sand, slightly micaceous											
85-	_ 15			S2		10 25 37		9	SM-SC	@15': Silty SAND with Clay (SM-SC), light brown to orar brown, dense, fine to medium sand, oxidized on partir surface with clayey laminations	ge Ig			
80-	 20			R2		27 50/5	101	7		@20': Silty SAND (SM), reddish brown, fine to medium s oxidized, trace clay	and,			
75-	 25 			S3		9 18 25		5	SP-SM	^{o-SM} @25': Sand with Silt (SP-SM), tan to light brown, medium dense slightly moist, fine sand, unoxidized				
70-	_	·· .			\parallel									
SAMF	30- PLE TYP	ES:	1	TYPE O	F TE	STS:	I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
B C G R S T	BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	-200 AL CN CO CR CU	% FII ATTE CON COL COR UND	NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA ⁻ LAPSE ROSION RAINED	Sing Limits Tion Triaxia	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMU POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG T PENETROMETER E	тн	X		

Proj	ject No) .	11428	8.007						Date Drilled	6-13-17	
Proj	ect		Samo	ohi Pha	ase	1 and	2			Logged By	EMH	
Drill	ing Co).	Martii	ni Drilli	ng (Co.				Hole Diameter	8"	
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollo	w Sten	n Au	uger -	140lb	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	99'	
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorati	ion Lo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	a Graphic د م	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the explor time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at othe and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil ty gradual.	ation at the r locations on of the pes may be	Type of Tests
65 -	30— — — —			R3		15 41 50/5	107	3	SP-SM	@30': Grades to fine to medium sand		
	35— — —			S4 15 29 40			4	SP	@35': SAND (SP), tan to orange, very dense, slightly me to medium sand, heavily oxidized in top of sample, be less oxidized with depth, trace silt, micaceous	oist, fine ecomes		
60-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R4		18 50/6	100	2	SP	@40': SAND (SP), tan, very dense, slightly moist, fine to medium rounded sand		
55-	 45 			S5		15 32 50/6		5		@45': With oxidized laminations		
50-	 50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R5		25 50/6	105	3		@50': SAND (SP), tan, very dense, slightly moist, fine to medium, rounded sand grains, spotty oxidation, trace sand	coarse	
45 - 40 -	 55 									Total Depth of Boring: 51.5 feet bgs No groundwater encountered during drilling Boring backfilled with soil cuttings on 6/13/17 upon com of drilling	bletion	
	60											
SAMF B C G R S T	PLE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE POON SA AMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE: % FIN ATTE CON: COLI CORI UNDI	STS: NES PAS RBERG SOLIDA APSE ROSION RAINED	SING LIMITS TION TRIAXIA	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRO MAXIMI POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG T PENETROMETER JE	атн	X

Project No Project Drilling Co Drilling Method Location			11428 Samo Martin Hollo	8.007 ohi Pha ni Drilli w Ster	ase ing (n Ai	<u>1 and</u> <u>Co.</u> uger -	2	- Auto	hamm	Date Drilled 6 Logged By 6 Hole Diameter 8 er - 30" Drop 6round Elevation 9	6-13-17 EMH 8" 98'							
Loc	ation		See F	Plate 1	, Ex	plorati	ion Loo	cation	Мар	Sampled By	EMH							
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	د Graphic در	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other loc and may change with time. The description is a simplification actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types gradual.	on at the cations of the s may be	Type of Tests						
95-	0			BB-1					SM	@0:25': Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu): @0.25': Artificial Fill, undocumented (Afu): Silty SAND (SM), brown to dark brown, slightly moist, fine sand, with brick debris @5': With asphalt debris								
90-	-			R1		4 7 11	114	8	SM	@5': With asphalt debris @6': Quaternary Old Marine Deposits (Qom): Silty SAND (SM), medium brown, medium dense, slightly r fine to medium sand	ris <u>Marine Deposits (Qom):</u> dium brown, medium dense, slightly moist, d							
85-				S1		5 8 11		11	SM-SC	@10': Silty Sand with Clay (SM-SC), dark yellowish brown, moist, fine to medium sand, some cohesion, black oxide spotting	3							
80-				R2		7 14 18			SP-SM	@15': Sand with Silt (SP-SM), yellowish brown, medium de slightly moist, fine to medium sand, slightly oxidized	nd with Silt (SP-SM), yellowish brown, medium dense, moist, fine to medium sand, slightly oxidized							
75-	 20 			S2		4 8 12		6		@20': Grades to fine sand								
70-	 25 			R3		14 34 50/5	105	4	SP	P @25': SAND (SP), tan to light yellowish brown, very dense, slightly moist, fine to medium sand, trace silt								
SAMF B C G R S T	30 DLE TYPI BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: AMPLE GAMPLE GAMPLE GAMPLE GAMPLE GPOON SA AMPLE	MPLE	TYPE O -200 AL CN CO CR CU	F TE % FII ATTE CON COLI COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA ⁻ LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H PP L RV	DIRECT EXPANS HYDRO MAXIMU POCKE R VALU	SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY JM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH T PENETROMETER E		F						

Proj Proj	ject No ect).	11428 Samo	8.007 ohi Ph	ase	 1 and	2			Date Drilled	<u>6-13-17</u> EMH					
Drill	ing Co	.	Marti	ni Drill	ina i					Hole Diameter	8"					
Drill	ing Me	ethod	Hollo	w Ster	m Δi	uger -	140lh	- Auto	hamm	er - 30" Drop Ground Elevation	<u></u>					
	ation		500 F			uyer - mlarati		- Auto	Man	Sompled By						
	ation	-			, _	φισιαι		alion	iviap							
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	Z Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Bulk Driven	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION This Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploi time of sampling. Subsurface conditions may differ at othe and may change with time. The description is a simplificati actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil ty, gradual.	ation at the r locations ion of the pes may be	Type of Tests				
	30	· · · · ·		S3		13 26 30		4	SP	@30': Becomes oxidized, orange brown, fine to medium trace coarse sand, trace silt	sand,					
65-	 35									Total Depth of Boring: 31.5 feet bgs No groundwater encountered during drilling Boring backfilled with soil cuttings on 6/13/17 upon com of drilling	oletion					
60-	 40															
55-	_ _ _ 45															
50-	 50															
45-	 55															
40-																
SAMF B C G R S T	LE TYP BULK S CORE S GRAB S RING S SPLIT S TUBE S	ES: SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE AMPLE SPOON SA SAMPLE	MPLE	TYPE C -200 AL CN CO CR CU	DF TE % FII ATTE CON COL COR	STS: NES PAS ERBERG SOLIDA LAPSE ROSION RAINED	SSING LIMITS TION	DS EI H MD PP L RV	DIRECT EXPAN HYDRC MAXIM POCKE R VALL	T SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS SION INDEX SE SAND EQUIVALENT METER SG SPECIFIC GRAVITY UM DENSITY UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENG TF PENETROMETER JE	этн					

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG EIR B-1 Date 12-27-10 Sheet 1 of 3 Logged / Sampled By MAW Project SMMUSD/Parsons/Santa Monica High School 603086-001 CME-75 Drilling Co. ABC Liovin Drilling, Inc. Type of Rig 8" 140 lbs Down Hole Hammer 30" **Hole Diameter Drive Weight** Drop **Elevation Top of Hole** 79' Location See Plates 1A and 1B Type of Tests SOIL DESCRIPTION Blows 6 Inches Moisture Content, % Dry Density pcf Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.) Sample No. Elevation Feet Attitudes raphi Log Depth Feet The Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a Per simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual. A 8.5-inches of asphalt concrete over 4-inches of base coarse INDOCUMENTED ARTIFICIAL FILL (Afu) SILTY SAND, brown to dark brown, moist, fine to medium grained, some silt 75 5 SAND, medium dense, brown to dark brown, moist, fine to medium 107 5 SP R-1 10 grained, mottled with some silt sand 12 CR B-1 70 10 SAND, medium dense, orange brown to dark brown, moist, fine to S-1 10 10 medium grained, trace clay. 12 @ 12: OLD ALLUVIAL FAN DEPOSITS (Oof) Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, dense, brown to orange, moist, ۵ ۵ ۵ some clay, fine to coarse grained. 65 15 ۵ 19 Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, dense, brown to orange brown, R-2 28 29 109 11 SPg moist to very moist, some clay, fine to coarse grained. Δ Δ 60 20 12 Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, dense, light brown, moist, trace silt, SA ۵ ۵ ۵ S-2 18 GŘ:13%, SA:79%, FI:8% 23 ۵ ۵ 55 25 22 25 32 Silty SAND, light orange brown, fine grained DS R-3 97 22 SM 50 30 SAMPLE TYPES: TYPE OF TESTS: s SPLIT SPOON G GRAB SAMPLE DS DIRECT SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS -200 % FINES PASSING SAND EQUIVALENT AL CO ATTERBERG LIMITS COLLAPSE MD MAXIMUM DENSITY SE RING SAMPLE CORE SAMPLE R С CN CONSOLIDATION EL EXPANSION INDEX BULK SAMPLE в PP RV POCKET PENETROMETER R VALUE CR CORROSION TUBE SAMPLE т UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG EIR B-1 Date 12-27-10 Sheet 2 of 3 Logged / Sampled By MAW Project SMMUSD/Parsons/Santa Monica High School 603086-001 CME-75 Drilling Co. ABC Liovin Drilling, Inc. Type of Rig 8" 140 lbs Down Hole Hammer **Drop** 30" **Hole Diameter Drive Weight Elevation Top of Hole** 79' Location See Plates 1A and 1B of Tests SOIL DESCRIPTION Blows 6 Inches Moisture Content, % Dry Density pcf Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.) Sample No. Elevation Feet Attitudes Graphic Log Depth Feet The Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other Type (Per locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual. 30 12 15 SAND, dense, light gray to orange brown, wet, perched groundwater over a 1-2-inch thick clayey bed GR: 0%, SA:67%, FI:33%. (percentage of fines related to clay bed) SA S-3 21 SP 19 45 35 36 50/5' Poorly Graded SAND, dense, orange brown, moist, fine to medium 103 R-4 5 grained with some coarse. 40 40 19 Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, dense, brown, moist, trace silt, SA 22 27 SPg S-4 5 broken cobble in sampler tip, GR:17%, SA:76%, FI:7% 35 45 DS 35 Silty SAND, fine grained, light brown 38 42 R-5 SM 30 50 19 Silty SAND, medium brown, fine grained, transition to clayey SILT SA S-5 21 22 with some medium grained sand at tip, GR:1%, SA:55%, FI:44% 24 ML 25 55 13 32 39 CLAY with SILT and some fine sand, hard, gray, oxidized orange R-6 104 20 CL brown pockets, moist Groundwater encountered @ 55' during drilling 20 60 SAMPLE TYPES: TYPE OF TESTS: s SPLIT SPOON G GRAB SAMPLE DS DIRECT SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS -200 % FINES PASSING SAND EQUIVALENT ATTERBERG LIMITS COLLAPSE MD MAXIMUM DENSITY SE AL RING SAMPLE CORE SAMPLE R С co CN CONSOLIDATION EL EXPANSION INDEX BULK SAMPLE в PP RV POCKET PENETROMETER R VALUE CR CORROSION TUBE SAMPLE т UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

Da	te	1	2-27-10	GE	:011	ECF	INIC	AL	Sheet 3 of 3	
Pro	oject _	6030	86-001	SMM	USD/P	arsons	s/Santa	a Moni	ca High School Logged / Sampled By MAV	V
Dri	illing C	co. Motor		Q"		ABC Li	iovin E)rilling,	Inc. Type of Rig CME-75	30"
Ele	evatior	n Top of	Hole	79'	L	ocatio	n		See Plates 1A and 1B	<u> </u>
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	а Graphic v	Attitudes	Sample No.	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION The Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual.	Type of Tests
15-	60			S-6	15 18 22		16	SMg	Silty SAND with Gravel, trace silt, poor sample recovery GR:31%, SA:56%, FI:13%	SA
15	65— — —			R-7	14 17 22	128	9	SPg	Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, medium dense, gray to orange borwn, wet,trace silt, fine to coarse grained at tip, grades to SAND.	
10-				S-7	12 16 17		15		Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel, dense, dark brown, trace silt GR:24%, SA:66%, FI:10%.	SA
5-	 75			R-8	18 22 28				Gray, wet, fine to coarse grained. Fine grained sand at tip of sampler.	
0-					-				Total depth of boring: 76.5' Groundwater encountered @ 55' during drilling Backfill boring with cuttings and capped asphalt with cold patch	
-5-					-					
-10-	90									
SAN S R B T	MPLE TY SPLIT SI RING SA BULK SA TUBE SA	PES: POON AMPLE AMPLE AMPLE	G GRA C COR	IB SAMPLE		TYPE OF DS DIRE MD MAX CN CON CR COR JC UNC	TESTS: CT SHE IMUM DI SOLIDA ROSION ONFINEI	AR ENSITY TION D COMPI	SA SIEVE ANALYSIS -200 % FINES PASSING SE SAND EQUIVALENT AL ATTERBERG LIMITS EI EXPANSION INDEX CO COLLAPSE RV R VALUE PP POCKET PENETROMETER RESSIVE STRENGTH	

....

~ • •

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG EIR B-7 Date 12-30-10 Sheet 1 of 2 Logged / Sampled By MAW Project 603086-001 SMMUSD/Parsons/Santa Monica High School CME-75 Drilling Co. ABC Liovin Drilling, Inc. Type of Rig 8" 140 lbs Down Hole Hammer **Drop** 30" **Hole Diameter Drive Weight Elevation Top of Hole** 90' Location See Plates 1A and 1B Type of Tests SOIL DESCRIPTION Blows 6 Inches Moisture Content, % Dry Density pcf Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.) Sample No. Elevation Feet Attitudes Graphic Log Depth Feet The Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a Per simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual. 90 A 6.5-inches of Asphalt concrete over 4-inches of base 9 (**) * UNDOCUMENTED ARTIFICIAL FILL (Afu) SAND, orange brown, fine to medium grained, some coarse, trace gravel 85 5 10 Medium dense SP B-1 R-1 111 11 16 31 @ 7': OLD ALLUVIAL FAN DEPOSITS (Oof) SAND, light brown, moist, fine to medium grained 80 10 9 Silty SAND, dense, light brown, slightly moist, fine to medium grained S-1 16 21 5 SM 75 15 16 R-2 41 106 6 SM 50/2' 70 20 19 Small cobble in center of sample, mechanically broken S-2 38 27 4 SMg 65 25 SAND, very dense, gray, fine grained, trace clay, oxidized thin beds 13 26 SP R-3 100 12 50 60· 30 SAMPLE TYPES: TYPE OF TESTS: s SPLIT SPOON G GRAB SAMPLE DS DIRECT SHEAR SA SIEVE ANALYSIS -200 % FINES PASSING SAND EQUIVALENT AL CO ATTERBERG LIMITS COLLAPSE MD MAXIMUM DENSITY SE RING SAMPLE CORE SAMPLE R С EXPANSION INDEX CN CONSOLIDATION EL BULK SAMPLE в PP RV POCKET PENETROMETER R VALUE CR CORROSION TUBE SAMPLE т UC UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

				GE	EOT	ECF	INIC	CAL	BORING LOG EIR B-7	
Da	te		12-30-10						Sheet 2 of 2	
Pro Dri	oject	<u> </u>	086-001	SMM	<u>USD/P</u>		s/Santa	<u>a Moni</u> Vrilling	Logged / Sampled By MAVV	
Но	le Dia	meter		8"	<u>,</u> כ	Jrive V	Veight	<u>/////////////////////////////////////</u>	140 lbs Down Hole Hammer Drop	30"
Ele	vatior	n Top oʻ	f Hole	90'	L	ocatic			See Plates 1A and 1B	
Elevation Feet	Depth Feet	z Graphic "	Attitudes	Sample No.	Blows Per 6 Inches	Dry Density pcf	Moisture Content, %	Soil Class. (U.S.C.S.)	SOIL DESCRIPTION The Soil Description applies only to a location of the exploration at the time of drilling. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and may change with time. The description is a simplification of the actual conditions encountered. Transitions between soil types may be gradual.	Type of Tests
60-			•	S-3	16 21 V 28		5		SAND, very dense, gray, fine grained, trace clay, oxidized thin beds	
55-	35		•	R-4	21 50/4"	99	5	SP	Very dense, medium to coarse grained sand	
50-	40			S-4	$\begin{bmatrix} 15\\ 30\\ 32 \end{bmatrix}$		5	SPg	Some gravel Total depth of boring 41.5'	
45-	45	-			-				No groundwater encountered during drilling Boring backfill with soil cuttings and capped asphalt with cold patch	
40-	50— 	-			-					
35- 30_ SAM S R	55	YPES: SPOON AMPLE	G GRAI C CORI	B SAMPLE E SAMPLE		TYPE OF DS DIRE MD MAX	TESTS: ECT SHE		SA SIEVE ANALYSIS -200 % FINES PASSING SE SAND EQUIVALENT AL ATTERBERG LIMITS	
B T	BULK S. TUBE S.	AMPLE	0 00112		(CN CON CR COR UC UNC	ISOLIDA ROSION	TION D COMP	EI EXPANSION INDEX CO COLLAPSE RV R VALUE PP POCKET PENETROMETER RESSIVE STRENGTH	

TIONS AT OTHER BE GRADUAL. ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (ft)	"N" VALUE STD.PEN.TEST	MOISTURE (% of dry wt.)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	BLOW COUNT* (blows/ft)	SAMPLE LOC.	BORING 1 DATE DRILLED: October 11, 2008 EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger HOLE DIAMETER (in.): 8 ELEVATION: 99.0**
	 						6-inch thick Asphalt Concrete, No Base FILL- SILTY SAND- dry, light brown, some gravel
9	5-	-	7.4	101	21	₿	Few gravel and some roots
	+		9.4	122	35	8	Trace asphalt concrete fragements
ALD. IKAN	0 - 10 -	34	2.6			X	SILTY SAND- dense, dry, brown, fine grained
			2.2	107	40	▩	
8	5 - 15 -	44	3.4	~			
TWEEN STI			5 1	101	42	M	SP POORLY GRADED SAND- dense, damp, light yellowish brown, fin
80	20 -		J.1		42		grained
75		38	3.6	-		X	12-inch thick layer of coarse sand, some gravel
							Some gravel below 26 feet
70 THEK			.3.1	100	65		
							END OF BORING AT 30 FEET. NOTES:
COCATION 62							Water not encountered. Boring backfilled with soil cuttings, tamped and patched. * Number of blows required to drive Crandall sampler
1							 ** Elevations based on topographic survey prepared by PSOMAS dated July 29, 2008.
60							
		100		D. :I	J:		Field Tech: GMC Prepared By: MKT Checked By: HP















Anger Cuttings	8 Bulk Sample	Crandall Sampler	A Pressure Meter	O No Recovery	▼ Water Table after drilling		·		stration Resistance	y and Cultistency SILT & CLAY	No. of Blows Consistency	0 - 1 Very Soft	2 - 4 Soft	9 - 15 Niedium Stuff	16 - 30 Very Stiff	Over 30 Hard				MIN O TOOL	IDULD AINU	SUDIT		LEC
Undisturbed Sample	Split Spoon Sample	Rock Core	Dilatometer	Packer	☑ Water Table at time of drilling				Correlation of Pene with Relative Dave	SAND & GRAVEL	No. 01 Blows Relative Density	5 - 10 T 0000	11 - 30 Medium Dense	31 - 50 Dense	Over 50 Very Dense					KEV TO CVA		DEDCKI	All N A .	IMA
TYPICAL NAMES	Well graded gravels, gravel - sand mixtures, little or no fines.	Poorly graded gravels or grave - sand mixtures, little or no fines.	Silty gravels, gravel - sand - silt mixtures.	Clayey gravels, gravel - sand - clay mixtures.	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines.	Poorly graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines.	Silty sands, sand - silt mixtures	Clayey sands, sand - clay mixtures.	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty of clayey fine sands or clayey silts and with slight plasticity.	Inorganic lays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean	Organic sifts and organic either down of tone	plasticity.	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous	tine sandy or stifty soils, elastic silts.	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays	Organic clays of medium to high	Provense), or galacterist	r cau and other nightly organic soils.	rristics of two groups are designated by rnbols.	GRAVEL	Fine Cobbles Boulders	1 3/4" 3" 12"	JIZE	ngineers, U.S. Army Technical .960)
JROUP MBOLS	GW	GP CP	Mg V	S S	SW	SP	SM	S S	Ĭ	U U	5 51,1		HIM		CH	HO			group sy		Coarse	Io.10 No.4	2 J A JTC	arps of Er d April, 1
NS SY	CLEAN GRAVHIS	(Little or no fines)	GRAVELS OF WITH FINES	(Appreciable amount of fines)	CLEAN	(Little or no fines)	SANDS SANDS WITH FINES (Appreciable amount of fines)			D CLAYS ESS than 50)				D CLAYS	EATER than 50)		S IIC		<u>VS:</u> Soils possessing combinations of	SAND	Fine Medium	11 S STANDAO		sification System, Co Aarch, 1953 (Revised
AJOR DIVISIO		UKAVELS (More than 50% of coarse fraction is	LARGER than the No. 4 sieve size)		SANDS	(More than 50% of coarse fraction is	coarse fraction is SMALLER than the No. 4 Sieve Size)			CLIQUID IIMIT				SILTS ANI	(Liquid limit GRE		LY ORGANIC S		LASSIFICATIO			No.2		Unified Soil Class . 3-357, Vol. 1, N
4			COARSE	SOLS	material is material is LARGER than No. 200 sieve size)					FINE	GRAINED	More than 50% of	material is	No. 200 sieve size)			HOH			SΠT	1 TIO		; , ,	<u>Reference:</u> The Memorandum No

•

÷

.....

.



LEROY CRANDALL & ASSOCIATES



1_



-m

LEROY CRANDALL & ASSOCIATES



~

ĩ.

LEROY CRANDALL & ASSOCIATES

SUMMARY

OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

Project:

Samohi Phase 1 & 2 601 Pico Blvd. Santa Monica, CA June 13, 2017

Prepared for:

Mr. Eric Holliday Leighton Consulting 17781 Cowan Irvine, CA 92614-6009 Office (800) 253-4567 / Fax (949) 250-1114

Prepared by:



Kehoe Testing & Engineering

5415 Industrial Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92649-1518 Office (714) 901-7270 / Fax (714) 901-7289 www.kehoetesting.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- 2. SUMMARY OF FIELD WORK
- 3. FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES
- 4. CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

APPENDIX

- CPT Plots
- CPT Classification/Soil Behavior Chart
- Interpretation Output (CPeT-IT)
- CPeT-IT Calculation Formulas

SUMMARY OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Cone Penetration Test (CPT) program carried out for the Samohi Phase 1 & 2 project located at 601 Pico Blvd. in Santa Monica, California. The work was performed by Kehoe Testing & Engineering (KTE) on June 13, 2017. The scope of work was performed as directed by Leighton Consulting personnel.

2. SUMMARY OF FIELD WORK

The fieldwork consisted of performing CPT soundings at five locations to determine the soil lithology. Groundwater measurements and hole collapse depths provided in **TABLE 2.1** are for information only. The readings indicate the apparent depth to which the hole is open and the apparent water level (if encountered) in the CPT probe hole at the time of measurement upon completion of the CPT. KTE does not warranty the accuracy of the measurements and the reported water levels may not represent the true or stabilized groundwater levels.

LOCATION	DEPTH OF CPT (ft)	COMMENTS/NOTES:
CPT-1	47	Refusal, hole open to 47.0 ft (dry)
CPT-2	49	Refusal, hole open to 47.0 ft (dry)
CPT-3	51	Refusal, hole open to 51.0 ft (dry)
CPT-4	39	Refusal, hole open to 39.0 ft (dry)
CPT-5	24	Refusal, hole open to 24.0 ft (dry)

 TABLE 2.1 - Summary of CPT Soundings

3. FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

The CPT soundings were carried out by **KTE** using an integrated electronic cone system manufactured by Vertek. The CPT soundings were performed in accordance with ASTM standards (D5778). The cone penetrometers were pushed using a 30-ton CPT rig. The cone used during the program was a 15 cm² cone and recorded the following parameters at approximately 2.5 cm depth intervals:

- Cone Resistance (qc)
- Inclination
- Sleeve Friction (fs)
- Penetration Speed
- Dynamic Pore Pressure (u)

The above parameters were recorded and viewed in real time using a laptop computer. Data is stored at the KTE office for future analysis and reference. A complete set of baseline readings was taken prior to each sounding to determine temperature shifts and any zero load offsets. Monitoring base line readings ensures that the cone electronics are operating properly.

4. CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

The Cone Penetration Test data is presented in graphical form in the attached Appendix. These plots were generated using the CPeT-IT program. Penetration depths are referenced to ground surface. The soil classification on the CPT plots is derived from the attached CPT Classification Chart (Robertson) and presents major soil lithologic changes. The stratigraphic interpretation is based on relationships between cone resistance (qc), sleeve friction (fs), and penetration pore pressure (u). The friction ratio (Rf), which is sleeve friction divided by cone resistance, is a calculated parameter that is used along with cone resistance to infer soil behavior type. Generally, cohesive soils (clays) have high friction ratios, low cone resistance and generate excess pore water pressures. Cohesionless soils (sands) have lower friction ratios, high cone bearing and generate little (or negative) excess pore water pressures.

Tables of basic CPT output from the interpretation program CPeT-IT are provided for CPT data averaged over one foot intervals in the Appendix. We recommend a geotechnical engineer review the assumed input parameters and the calculated output from the CPeT-IT program. A summary of the equations used for the tabulated parameters is provided in the Appendix.

It should be noted that it is not always possible to clearly identify a soil type based on qc, fs and u. In these situations, experience, judgement and an assessment of the pore pressure data should be used to infer the soil behavior type.

If you have any questions regarding this information, please do not hesitate to call our office at (714) 901-7270.

Sincerely,

Kehoe Testing & Engineering

Richard W. Koester, Jr. General Manager

06/14/17-mm-8190

APPENDIX



Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270 rich@kehoetesting.com

E rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com Leighton Consulting/Samohi Phase 1 & 2

Project:

Total depth: 47.38 ft, Date: 6/13/2017 Cone Type: Vertek

CPT-1



Depth (ft)

CPeT-IT v.2.0.1.55 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 6/14/2017, 11:22:33 AM Project file: C:\LeightonSantaMonica6-17\Plot Data\Plots w-ha.cpt



Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270

rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com

Leighton Consulting/Samohi Phase 1 & 2 Location: 601 Pico Blvd Santa Monica, CA

Project:





CPET-IT v.2.0.1.55 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 6/14/2017, 11:24:03 AM Project file: C:\LeightonSantaMonica6-17\Plot Data\Plots w-ha.cpt



Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270 rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com

Project: Leighton Consulting/Samohi Phase 1 & 2 Location: 601 Pico Blvd Santa Monica, CA







CPeT-IT v.2.0.1.55 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 6/14/2017, 11:24:30 AM Project file: C:\LeightonSantaMonica6-17\Plot Data\Plots w-ha.cpt



Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270 rich@kehoetesting.com

rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com

Project: Leighton Consulting/Samohi Phase 1 & 2 Location: 601 Pico Blvd Santa Monica, CA







CPeT-IT v.2.0.1.55 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 6/14/2017, 11:24:46 AM Project file: C:\LeightonSantaMonica6-17\Plot Data\Plots w-ha.cpt

Kehoe Testing and Engineering Ê

rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com 714-901-7270

Leighton Consulting/Samohi Phase 1 & 2 Location: 601 Pico Blvd Santa Monica, CA Project:





CPeT-IT v.2.0.1.55 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 6/14/2017, 11:24:59 AM Project file: C:\LeightonSantaMonica6-17\Plot Data\Plots w-ha.cpt



Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270 rich@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com


	CPT-1	In situ	data								Basic	output	lata										
Depth (ft)	qc (tsf)	fs (tsf)	u (psi)	Other	qt (tsf)	Rf(%)	SBT	Ic SBT	ã (pcf)	ó,v (tsf)	u0 (tsf)	ó',vo (tsf)	Qt1	Fr (%)	Bq	SBTn	n	Cn	Ic	Qtn	U2	l(B)	Mod. SBTn
1	41.88	0.94	0.1	0.58	41.88	2.24	5	2.44	118.93	0.06	0	0.06	702.78	2.25	0	6	0.59	5.52	1.94	218.02	0.12	40.72	7
2	32.69	1.04	-1.14	0.73	32.67	3.2	4	2.63	119.09	0.12	0	0.12	273.35	3.21	0	5	0.69	4.48	2.18	137.92	-0.69	28.87	5
3	12.32	0.31	-0.58	0.75	12.32	2.54	3	2.9	107.9	0.17	0	0.17	70.23	2.58	0	5	0.78	4.13	2.43	47.39	-0.24	29.85	5
4	5.01	0.21	-0.1	0.73	5.01	4.17	3	3.35	102.74	0.22	0	0.22	21.34	4.36	0	3	0.95	4.37	2.86	19.76	-0.03	19.05	3
5	12.11	0.52	-1.27	0.73	12.1	4.32	3	3.04	111.6	0.28	0	0.28	42.19	4.42	-0.01	4	0.88	3.22	2.67	36.01	-0.33	20.08	3
6	102.34	0.73	-0.39	0.88	102.33	0.71	6	1.83	119.27	0.34	0	0.34	300.15	0.72	0	6	0.49	1.75	1.64	168.65	-0.08	93.6	7
7	67.67	0.63	-0.39	0.98	67.66	0.93	6	2.04	117.13	0.4	0	0.4	168.83	0.93	0	6	0.57	1.75	1.85	111.42	-0.07	69.87	7
8	69.97	0.42	-0.32	1.04	69.96	0.6	6	1.93	114.24	0.46	0	0.46	152.63	0.6	0	6	0.54	1.58	1.76	103.81	-0.05	85.97	7
9	144.32	0.84	-0.29	1.16	144.31	0.58	6	1.66	121.08	0.52	0	0.52	278.7	0.58	0	6	0.46	1.39	1.55	189.51	-0.04	110.78	7
10	132.2	0.94	-0.44	1.24	132.2	0.71	6	1.74	121.73	0.58	0	0.58	228.17	0.71	0	6	0.5	1.36	1.64	168.75	-0.05	93.84	7
11	127.4	0.84	-0.69	1.27	127.39	0.66	6	1.73	120.78	0.64	0	0.64	198.89	0.66	0	6	0.51	1.29	1.65	154.98	-0.08	95.84	7
12	134.71	0.84	-0.59	1.29	134.7	0.62	6	1.7	120.91	0.7	0	0.7	192.04	0.62	0	6	0.5	1.23	1.63	156.22	-0.06	99.3	7
13	171.47	1.04	-0.44	1.35	171.46	0.61	6	1.61	123.14	0.76	0	0.76	224.85	0.61	0	6	0.48	1.17	1.56	189.23	-0.04	107.25	7
14	179.61	1.67	-0.49	1.35	179.61	0.93	6	1.72	126.69	0.82	0	0.82	217.35	0.93	0	6	0.53	1.14	1.68	193.03	-0.04	81.08	7
15	163.95	1.36	-0.49	1.45	163.94	0.83	6	1.71	124.95	0.89	0	0.89	184.23	0.83	0	6	0.53	1.1	1.69	169.52	-0.04	85.03	7
16	166.98	1.25	-0.39	1.47	166.97	0.75	6	1.68	124.4	0.95	0	0.95	175.25	0.75	0	6	0.53	1.06	1.66	166.35	-0.03	90.18	7
17	182.23	1.57	-0.59	1.49	182.22	0.86	6	1.69	126.25	1.01	0	1.01	179.32	0.86	0	6	0.54	1.03	1.69	175.56	-0.04	83.68	7
18	198.52	2.19	-0.49	1.49	198.51	1.1	6	1.74	128.92	1.07	0	1.07	183.69	1.11	0	6	0.57	0.99	1.75	184.95	-0.03	70.78	7
19	241.75	4.28	-0.59	1.48	241.74	1.77	6	1.84	134.3	1.14	0	1.14	210.68	1.78	0	6	0.61	0.95	1.86	217.02	-0.04	49.76	7
20	296.99	7.94	-1.89	1.61	296.97	2.67	8	1.94	137.28	1.21	0	1.21	244.29	2.68	0	8	0.65	0.92	1.96	255.93	-0.11	35.14	7
21	361.11	8.15	-3.25	1.76	361.07	2.26	8	1.83	137.28	1.28	0	1.28	281.23	2.26	0	8	0.62	0.89	1.86	302.31	-0.18	41.4	7
22	256.06	5.01	-0.1	1.94	256.05	1.96	6	1.86	135.59	1.35	0	1.35	189.06	1.97	0	6	0.64	0.86	1.9	206.26	-0.01	45.44	7
23	452.38	3.76	0.04	1.89	452.38	0.83	6	1.42	134.87	1.41	0	1.41	318.82	0.83	0	6	0.47	0.87	1.45	371.8	0	100.49	7
24	360.8	4.59	0.39	2	360.8	1.27	6	1.62	135.79	1.48	0	1.48	242.39	1.28	0	6	0.56	0.83	1.67	281.36	0.02	67.79	7
25	339.7	5.22	0.2	2.18	339.7	1.54	6	1.7	136.58	1.55	0	1.55	218.06	1.54	0	6	0.6	0.8	1.77	254.48	0.01	57.13	7
26	368.73	4.8	-0.1	2.24	368.73	1.3	6	1.63	136.17	1.62	0	1.62	226.77	1.31	0	6	0.57	0.78	1.69	272.19	0	66.22	7
27	355.05	4.07	-0.12	2.28	355.05	1.15	6	1.59	134.87	1.69	0	1.69	209.54	1.15	0	6	0.56	0.77	1.66	256.82	-0.01	72.9	7
28	363.93	5.64	-0.1	2.38	363.93	1.55	6	1.69	137.28	1.75	0	1.75	206.39	1.56	0	6	0.61	0.74	1.77	251.68	0	56.66	7
29	363.82	5.64	-0.1	2.43	363.82	1.55	6	1.69	137.28	1.82	0	1.82	198.52	1.56	0	6	0.61	0.72	1.78	244.95	0	56.46	7
30	155.7	4.91	-0.3	2.47	155.7	3.15	5	2.16	134.22	1.89	0	1.89	81.35	3.19	0	5	0.81	0.62	2.29	90.67	-0.01	28.02	5
31	188.28	3.55	-0.39	2.47	188.28	1.89	6	1.93	132.32	1.96	0	1.96	95.21	1.91	0	5	0.73	0.64	2.06	112.46	-0.01	43.07	7
32	162.38	4.49	-0.63	2.47	162.38	2.77	5	2.1	133.68	2.02	0	2.02	79.24	2.8	0	5	0.8	0.59	2.25	89.96	-0.02	31.05	5
33	511.8	7.21	0.06	2.38	511.8	1.41	6	1.58	137.28	2.09	0	2.09	243.62	1.41	0	6	0.59	0.67	1.67	322.93	0	63.23	7
34	490.91	11.1/	0.19	2.29	490.91	2.28	8	1.//	137.28	2.16	0	2.16	226.18	2.29	0	6	0.67	0.62	1.88	286.93	0.01	40.9	7
35	516.91	11.38	-0.2	2.35	516.91	2.2	8	1./5	137.28	2.23	0	2.23	230.84	2.21	0	6	0.66	0.61	1.86	296.89	-0.01	42.24	7
36	441.94	8.67	-0.3	2.3/	441.93	1.96	8	1./3	137.28	2.3	0	2.3	191.29	1.97	0	6	0.67	0.6	1.86	247.73	-0.01	46.15	7
3/	421.68	/	-0.47	2.43	421.67	1.66	6	1.68	137.28	2.3/	0	2.3/	177.15	1.67	0	6	0.65	0.59	1.81	234.2	-0.01	53	7
38	426.9	8.88	-0.49	2.51	426.89	2.08	8	1.76	137.28	2.44	0	2.44	1/4.29	2.09	0	6	0.69	0.56	1.9	225.67	-0.01	43.49	7
39	407.68	8.67	-0.58	2.61	407.68	2.13	8	1.78	137.28	2.5	0	2.5	161.81	2.14	0	6	0.7	0.55	1.93	208.8	-0.02	42.35	7
40	3/6.15	8.67	-0.52	2.6/	3/6.14	2.3	8	1.83	137.28	2.5/	0	2.5/	145.2	2.32	0	5	0.73	0.52	1.99	184.53	-0.01	39.05	7
41	409.88	8.35	-0.59	2./1	409.8/	2.04	8	1.//	137.28	2.64	0	2.64	154.1/	2.05	0	6	0./1	0.52	1.93	201.26	-0.02	43.75	7
42	404.34	7.52	-0.79	2.//	404.33	1.86	6	1./3	137.28	2./1	0	2./1	148.19	1.8/	0	6	0.7	0.52	1.9	196.02	-0.02	47.15	7
43	369.88	7.41	-0.79	2.8/	369.87	2	6	1./8	137.28	2./8	0	2.78	132.11	2.02	0	6	0.73	0.49	1.96	1/1.55	-0.02	43.59	7
44	597.01	/.1	-0.88	3.01	59/	1.19	6	1.48	137.28	2.85	0	2.85	208.6/	1.2	0	6	0.6	0.55	1.63	308.66	-0.02	72.6	7
45	589./	5.95	-0.74	3.19	589.69	1.01	6	1.42	137.28	2.92	0	2.92	201.23	1.01	0	6	0.59	0.55	1.5/	305.72	-0.02	83.06	7
46	5/1.84	8.04	-0.69	3.33	5/1.83	1.41	6	1.55	137.28	2.98	0	2.98	190.59	1.41	0	6	0.64	0.51	1./1	2/5.56	-0.02	62.14	7
47	553./8	0	-0.79	3.44	553.//	0	0	0	87.36	5.03	0	3.03	191.90	0	0	U	1	0.35	4.00	191.90	-0.02	274.09	0

_	CPT-2	In situ	data								Basic	output	data										
Depth (ft)	qc (tsf)	fs (tsf)	u (psi)	Other	qt (tsf)	Rf(%)	SBT	Ic SBT	ã (pcf)	ó,v (tsf)	u0 (tsf)	ó',vo (tsf)	Qt1	Fr (%)	Bq	SBTn	n	Cn	Ic	Qtn	U2	I(B)	Mod. SBTn
1	91.06	1.36	0	-0.23	91.06	1.49	5	2.07	123.51	0.06	0	0.06	1472.6	1.49	0	6	0.49	4.06	1.67	348.8	0	60.78	7
2	89.91	0.84	0	-0.41	. 89.91	0.93	6	1.95	119.93	0.12	0	0.12	737.19	0.93	0	6	0.47	2.79	1.62	236.37	0	84.98	7
3	75.19	0.63	0	-0.47	75.19	0.83	6	1.98	117.39	0.18	0	0.18	415.92	0.84	0	6	0.5	2.42	1.68	171.86	0	85.16	7
4	86.88	1.04	0	-0.76	86.88	1.2	6	2.03	121.48	0.24	0	0.24	359.34	1.21	0	6	0.54	2.22	1.78	181.69	0	66.33	7
5	194.03	1.67	0	-1.02	194.03	0.86	6	1.67	126.88	0.3	0	0.3	635.99	0.86	0	6	0.44	1.73	1.51	316.42	0	95.19	7
6	195.91	2.3	0	-1	195.91	1.17	6	1.76	129.23	0.37	0	0.37	529.54	1.17	0	6	0.48	1.67	1.62	307.75	0	73.62	7
7	167.81	2.19	0.06	-0.97	167.82	1.31	6	1.84	128.51	0.43	0	0.43	386.07	1.31	0	6	0.52	1.59	1.71	252.09	0.01	65.48	7
8	144.21	1.67	0	-0.98	144.21	1.16	6	1.85	126.15	0.5	0	0.5	289.48	1.16	0	6	0.53	1.5	1.73	203.35	0	69.63	7
9	153.19	1.46	-0.1	-0.96	5 153.19	0.95	6	1.78	125.32	0.56	0	0.56	272.97	0.96	0	6	0.51	1.39	1.68	200.3	-0.01	80.31	7
10	149.75	1.46	-0.2	-0.92	149.75	0.98	6	1.79	125.27	0.62	0	0.62	239.81	0.98	0	6	0.53	1.32	1.7	186.66	-0.02	77.73	7
11	167.81	1.67	-0.2	-0.96	6 167.81	1	6	1.76	126.52	0.69	0	0.69	243.93	1	0	6	0.53	1.26	1.69	198.59	-0.02	77.68	7
12	187.24	1.98	-0.1	-0.96	187.24	1.06	6	1.74	128.05	0.75	0	0.75	248.91	1.06	0	6	0.53	1.2	1.69	211.65	-0.01	75.09	7
13	223.37	2.72	-0.09	-0.98	3 223.37	1.22	6	1.74	130.77	0.81	0	0.81	273.27	1.22	0	6	0.54	1.15	1.7	242	-0.01	69	7
14	282.89	4.18	0	-0.96	282.89	1.48	6	1.74	134.5	0.88	0	0.88	319.84	1.48	0	6	0.54	1.1	1.71	294.36	0	60.15	7
15	261.17	4.39	0	-0.96	261.17	1.68	6	1.8	134.66	0.95	0	0.95	274.18	1.69	0	6	0.58	1.06	1.79	261.84	0	53.16	7
16	197.37	3.24	0	-0.98	3 197.37	1.64	6	1.87	131.76	1.02	0	1.02	193.45	1.65	0	6	0.61	1.03	1.87	190.33	0	52.2	7
17	241.33	3.03	-0.1	-0.96	5 241.33	1.25	6	1.72	131.76	1.08	0	1.08	222.26	1.26	0	6	0.56	0.99	1.73	224.35	-0.01	66.43	7
18	250.73	3.65	-0.2	-0.98	250./3	1.46	6	1./6	133.23	1.15	0	1.15	217.52	1.46	0	6	0.58	0.95	1./8	225	-0.01	58.82	7
19	257.41	4.39	-0.25	-1.02	257.41	1./	6	1.81	134.63	1.21	0	1.21	210.91	1./1	0	6	0.61	0.92	1.84	222.66	-0.01	51.57	7
20	288.53	4.8	-0.29		288.53	1.66	6	1.//	135.57	1.28	0	1.28	223.96	1.67	0	6	0.6	0.89	1.81	241.92	-0.02	53.08	7
21	289.68	5.74	-0.29	-0.98	289.68	1.98	6	1.84	136.89	1.35	0	1.35	213.41	1.99	0	6	0.63	0.86	1.88	233.66	-0.02	45.51	7
22	301.79	6.3/	-0.29	-0.96	301.79	2.11	6	1.85	137.28	1.42	0	1.42	211.57	2.12	0	6	0.64	0.83	1.9	235.18	-0.01	43.11	7
23	369.57	8.15	-0.39	-0.9	369.56	2.2	8	1.82	137.28	1.49	0	1.49	247.33	2.21	0	6	0.63	0.81	1.8/	280.36	-0.02	42.06	/
24	389.93	8.46	-0.49	-0.85	389.93	2.17	8	1.8	137.28	1.50	0	1.50	249.45	2.18	0	6	0.63	0.78	1.80	287.03	-0.02	42.74	7
25	402.57	8.88	-0.58	-0.84	+ 402.50	2.2	8	1.8	137.28	1.03	0	1.03	240.04	2.21	0	0	0.64	0.70	1.80	288.3	-0.03	42.12	7
20	303.09 410 F4	9.19	-0.72	-0.62	410 50	2.55	0	1.0/	137.20	1.09	0	1.09	213.3	2.54	0	0 6	0.67	0.75	1.95	240.00	-0.03	30.83	7
2/	404.96	0.77	-0.79	-0.70	AUV 6E	1 72	6	1.77	127.20	1.70	0	1.70	230.41	1 74	0	6	0.67	0.72	1.05	204.3	-0.03	44.03	7
20	384.81	6.06	-0.03	-0.81	384.8	1.73	6	1.71	137.20	1.05	0	1.05	220.00	1.74	0	6	0.02	0.71	1.79	2/1.2/	-0.03	55 02	7
29	412 50	5.53	-0.00	-0.81	412 58	1.37	6	1.00	137.20	1.5	0	1.9	201.52	1.30	0	6	0.02	0.0	1.70	252.25	-0.03	55.92	7
21	422.55	6.69	0.00	0.02	/22.50	1.54	6	1.01	127.20	2.04	0	2.04	200.50	1.55	0	6	0.55	0.05	1 75	200.01	-0.03	57.44	7
32	270.15	5 74	-0.79	-0.80	2 270 14	2 13	6	1.05	137.20	2.04	0	2.04	127.28	2 14	0	5	0.01	0.07	2.01	154 77	-0.03	41 02	7
32	145 57	3.86	-0.42	-0.80	145 57	2.15	5	2.12	130.72	2.11	0	2.11	66.02	2.14	0	5	0.72	0.01	2.01	74 74	-0.04	31.02	5
34	373.01	4 07	-1.09	-0.73	1-1-3.37	1.09	5	1 56	134.99	2.17	0	2.17	165 56	2.05	0	6	0.05	0.55	1 69	223.69	-0.01	74.02	7
35	383.46	5 12	-0.59	-0.67	, 383.45	1 33	6	1.50	136.73	2.21	0	2.21	165.15	1 34	0	6	0.63	0.61	1.05	220.65	-0.04	62.02	7
36	421 36	4 49	-0.39	-0.67	421 36	1 07	6	1 52	136	2 38	0	2 38	176 35	1.07	0	6	0.59	0.62	1 65	245 3	-0.01	76.69	7
37	412.38	4.8	-0.29	-0.67	412.38	1.16	6	1.56	136.44	2.44	0	2.44	167.72	1.17	0	6	0.61	0.6	1.7	232.01	-0.01	70 79	. 7
38	474.1	5.85	-0.29	-0.69	474.1	1.23	6	1.55	137.28	2.51	0	2.51	187.69	1.24	0	6	0.61	0.59	1.68	263.06	-0.01	68.92	. 7
39	449.45	6.37	-0.39	-0.69	449.45	1.42	6	1.61	137.28	2.58	0	2.58	173.12	1.43	0	6	0.64	0.56	1.76	238.43	-0.01	60.61	. 7
40	423.77	6.37	-0.59	-0.73	423.76	1.5	6	1.64	137.28	2.65	0	2.65	158.91	1.51	0	6	0.66	0.54	1.8	216.76	-0.02	56.99	7
41	444.34	6.89	-0.79	-0.69	444.33	1.55	6	1.65	137.28	2.72	0	2.72	162.44	1.56	0	6	0.67	0.53	1.8	222.53	-0.02	55.72	7
42	428.67	7.94	-0.98	-0.65	428.66	1.85	6	1.72	137.28	2.79	0	2.79	152.79	1.86	0	6	0.7	0.51	1.89	203.99	-0.03	47.54	7
43	429.61	7.73	-0.98	-0.62	429.6	1.8	6	1.71	137.28	2.86	0	2.86	149.43	1.81	0	6	0.7	0.5	1.88	200.73	-0.02	48.61	7
44	418.34	6.47	-1.18	-0.57	418.32	1.55	6	1.66	137.28	2.92	0	2.92	142.04	1.56	0	6	0.69	0.5	1.84	194.66	-0.03	54.81	7
45	525.48	8.46	-1.38	-0.57	525.46	1.61	6	1.62	137.28	2.99	0	2.99	174.56	1.62	0	6	0.67	0.5	1.79	244.85	-0.03	54.64	7
46	500.42	10.23	-1.28	-0.61	500.4	2.05	8	1.72	137.28	3.06	0	3.06	162.43	2.06	0	6	0.72	0.46	1.91	218.53	-0.03	43.98	7
47	500.31	8.25	-1.36	-0.52	500.29	1.65	6	1.64	137.28	3.13	0	3.13	158.81	1.66	0	6	0.69	0.47	1.83	221.19	-0.03	52.9	7
48	538.95	7.52	-1.33	-0.51	538.93	1.4	6	1.56	137.28	3.2	0	3.2	167.47	1.4	0	6	0.67	0.48	1.75	242.11	-0.03	61.52	7
49	720.76	0	-1.23	-0.49	720.74	0	0	0	87.36	3.24	0	3.24	221.27	0	0	0	1	0.33	4.06	221.27	-0.03	330.38	0

	CPT-3	In situ	data								Basic	output	lata										
Depth (ft)	qc (tsf)	fs (tsf)	u (psi)	Other	qt (tsf)	Rf(%)	SBT	Ic SBT	ã (pcf)	ó,v (tsf)	u0 (tsf)	ó',vo (tsf)	Qt1	Fr (%)	Bq	SBTn	n	Cn	Ic	Qtn	U2	l(B)	Mod. SBTn
1	72.16	0.73	-0.1	-0.32	72.16	1.01	6	2.04	118.41	0.06	0	0.06	1216.9	1.01	0	6	0.47	3.84	1.62	261.67	-0.12	81.02	7
2	94.82	0.84	-0.2	-0.49	94.82	0.88	6	1.91	120.06	0.12	0	0.12	793.69	0.88	0	6	0.46	2.75	1.59	245.83	-0.12	89.18	7
3	/2.3/	0.73	-0.2	-0.53	/2.3/	1.01	6	2.04	118.42	0.18	0	0.18	404./1	1.01	0	6	0.52	2.53	1.74	1/2./1	-0.08	74.61	7
4	60.57	0.52	-0.2	-0.55	60.57	0.86	5	2.07	115.53	0.24	0	0.24	255.45	0.8/	0	6	0.54	2.26	1.79	128.61	-0.06	76.45	7
5	90.0 142.75	1.75	-0.29	-0.55	90.39 143.75	0.70	6	1.0/	124.02	0.3	0	0.3	207.02	0.70	0	6	0.0	1.00	1.00	226.49	-0.07	90.59	7
7	192.75	1.23	-0.27	-0.39	181.79	0.00	6	1.77	124.02	0.30	0	0.30	470.67	0.00	0	6	0.40	1.00	1.01	220.40	-0.03	07.01	7
, 8	183 37	1.57	-0.2	-0.57	183 37	0.00	6	1.05	120.24	0.42	0	0.42	377 9	0.07	0	6	0.47	1.54	1.50	250.08	-0.03	91.04	7
9	194.55	1.98	-0.2	-0.55	194.55	1.02	6	1.72	128.14	0.55	0	0.55	353.97	1.02	0	6	0.5	1.39	1.63	254.01	-0.03	80.05	7
10	206.03	2.51	-0.2	-0.51	206.03	1.22	6	1.76	129.99	0.61	0	0.61	335.05	1.22	0	6	0.52	1.33	1.68	257.78	-0.02	69.64	7
11	277.78	3.34	-0.15	-0.41	277.77	1.2	6	1.67	132.82	0.68	0	0.68	407.76	1.21	0	6	0.5	1.25	1.61	326.39	-0.02	72.56	7
12	237.05	4.59	-1.18	-0.36	237.04	1.94	6	1.88	134.77	0.75	0	0.75	316.32	1.94	0	6	0.58	1.22	1.83	273.48	-0.11	47.11	7
13	225.25	4.49	-2.34	-0.35	225.22	1.99	6	1.9	134.47	0.81	0	0.81	275.67	2	0	6	0.6	1.17	1.86	248.11	-0.21	45.56	7
14	247.91	4.18	-0.96	-0.31	247.9	1.69	6	1.82	134.18	0.88	0	0.88	280.33	1.69	0	6	0.57	1.11	1.79	259.34	-0.08	52.96	7
15	263.37	5.22	-1.25	-0.22	263.35	1.98	6	1.86	135.96	0.95	0	0.95	276.44	1.99	0	6	0.6	1.07	1.84	264.63	-0.09	46.03	7
16	296.36	4.7	-0.66	-0.18	296.36	1.59	6	1.75	135.48	1.02	0	1.02	290.41	1.59	0	6	0.56	1.02	1.75	285.43	-0.05	56.36	7
17	248.22	2.82	-0.39	-0.1	248.22	1.14	6	1.68	131.31	1.08	0	1.08	228.26	1.14	0	6	0.55	0.99	1.69	230.66	-0.03	72.24	7
18	243.52	2.51	-0.39	-0.04	243.52	1.03	6	1.66	130.4	1.15	0	1.15	211.18	1.03	0	6	0.54	0.96	1.67	219.19	-0.02	77.26	7
19	274.85	2.72	-0.34	-0.02	274.85	0.99	6	1.61	131.28	1.21	0	1.21	225.51	0.99	0	6	0.53	0.93	1.63	240.52	-0.02	81.17	7
20	245.72	2.92	-0.29	0.02	245.71	1.19	6	1.7	131.55	1.28	0	1.28	191.08	1.2	0	6	0.57	0.9	1.74	207.24	-0.02	68.34	7
21	303.47	3.76	-0.29	0.06	303.46	1.24	6	1.66	133.9	1.35	0	1.35	224.42	1.24	0	6	0.56	0.87	1./	249.49	-0.02	68.21	7
22	319.76	4.8	-0.2	0.08	319.75	1.5	6	1./1	135.82	1.41	0	1.41	225.11	1.51	0	6	0.59	0.84	1.76	253.//	-0.01	58.24	7
23	303.88	5.53	-0.2	0.12	303.88	1.82	6	1.79	136./3	1.48	0	1.48	204	1.83	0	6	0.62	0.81	1.85	231.52	-0.01	48.92	7
24	411.55	0.89	-0.29	0.10	411.54	1.67	6	1.69	137.28	1.55	0	1.55	204.34	1.68	0	6	0.59	0.8	1.75	309.38	-0.01	54.12	7
25	206.60	7.02	-0.29	0.10	402.0Z	1.05	0	1.00	127.20	1.02	0	1.02	204./4	1.05	0	6	0.56	0.76	1.72	260.20	-0.01	20.39	7
20	405 18	8.88	-0.39	0.2	405 16	2.21	8	1.01	137.20	1.09	0	1.09	220.03	2.22	0	6	0.05	0.74	1.00	200.99	-0.02	41.70	7
27	371.87	7.83	-0.69	0.24	371.86	2.15	6	1.0	137.20	1.70	0	1.70	223.33	2.2	0	6	0.65	0.72	1.07	274.05	-0.00	42.21	7
20	468.67	8.88	-0.69	0.35	468.66	1.89	6	1 71	137.20	1.05	0	1.05	246 42	1 9	0	6	0.60	0.7	1.05	306.99	-0.03	48.48	7
30	534.46	10.23	-0.78	0.51	534.45	1.91	8	1.69	137.28	1.96	0	1.96	271.27	1.92	0	6	0.62	0.68	1.77	343.66	-0.03	48 41	7
31	394.42	10.96	-0.83	0.61	394.41	2.78	8	1.89	137.28	2.03	0	2.03	193.14	2.79	0	8	0.71	0.63	2	233.82	-0.03	33.7	. 7
32	515.66	9.19	-0.98	0.55	515.65	1.78	6	1.67	137.28	2.1	0	2.1	244.51	1.79	0	6	0.62	0.65	1.76	317.07	-0.03	51.32	7
33	412.49	7.73	-1.72	0.51	412.47	1.87	6	1.73	137.28	2.17	0	2.17	189.19	1.88	0	6	0.66	0.62	1.85	242.06	-0.06	47.93	7
34	257.73	4.91	-1.55	0.51	257.71	1.9	6	1.85	135.45	2.24	0	2.24	114.23	1.92	0	5	0.72	0.58	2	141.04	-0.05	44.3	7
35	220.76	2.82	1.35	0.49	220.78	1.28	6	1.76	131.02	2.3	0	2.3	94.9	1.29	0	6	0.69	0.58	1.92	120.59	0.04	57.88	7
36	455.62	4.49	-0.69	0.51	455.61	0.99	6	1.47	136.19	2.37	0	2.37	191.22	0.99	0	6	0.57	0.63	1.6	270.17	-0.02	82.97	7
37	440.58	5.64	-0.33	0.57	440.57	1.28	6	1.58	137.28	2.44	0	2.44	179.65	1.29	0	6	0.62	0.6	1.71	247.28	-0.01	66.26	7
38	481.1	7.31	-0.29	0.61	481.09	1.52	6	1.62	137.28	2.51	0	2.51	190.87	1.53	0	6	0.64	0.58	1.76	260.97	-0.01	57.82	7
39	535.5	10.23	-0.29	0.67	535.5	1.91	8	1.69	137.28	2.58	0	2.58	206.88	1.92	0	6	0.67	0.55	1.82	278.68	-0.01	47.7	7
40	594.92	11.17	-0.61	0.69	594.91	1.88	8	1.66	137.28	2.64	0	2.64	223.94	1.89	0	6	0.66	0.55	1.79	306.57	-0.02	48.83	7
41	646.3	10.96	-1.08	0.69	646.29	1.7	8	1.6	137.28	2.71	0	2.71	237.18	1.7	0	6	0.64	0.55	1.73	333.29	-0.03	53.82	7
42	560.57	11.7	-1.37	0.71	560.55	2.09	8	1.71	137.28	2.78	0	2.78	200.48	2.1	0	6	0.69	0.51	1.86	270.63	-0.04	44.02	7
43	482.45	8.88	-1.52	0.72	482.44	1.84	6	1.69	137.28	2.85	0	2.85	168.24	1.85	0	6	0.69	0.5	1.86	228.19	-0.04	48.38	7
44	4/3.3/	8.46	-1.53	0.73	4/3.35	1.79	6	1.68	137.28	2.92	0	2.92	101.15	1.8	0	6	0.7	0.49	1.86	219.43	-0.04	49.39	7
45	400.58	8.35	-1.3/	0.71	400.57	2.09	8	1.78	137.28	2.99	0	2.99	162.44	2.1	0	6	0.74	0.46	1.97	1/3./2	-0.03	42.23	
40	499.30 526.21	0.77	-1.51	0.75	499.30	1.70	6	1.07	127.20	2.10	0	2.00	167.44	1.77	0	6	0.7	0.40	1.05	223.70	-0.03	50.24	7
47	505 11	9.29	-1.20	0.70	50E 1	1.77	6	1.00	137.20	3.13	0	3 10	157.15	1.70	0	6	0.7	0.46	1.04	232.00	-0.03	50.19	7
40 40	647 55	5 05	-1.1	0.73	647 54	1.01	6	1.05	137.20	3.19	0	3.19	107 49	1.02	0	6	0.7	0.52	1.02	220.00	-0.02	00.00 80 08	7
50	577 9	5.85	-1.35	0.57	577.88	1.01	6	1.43	137.28	3.33	0	3.33	172.48	1.02	n	6	0.62	0.49	1.61	265.97	-0.03	81	7
51	621.97	0	-1.29	0.47	621.95	1.01	0	1.15	87,36	3,37	0	3.37	183.29	0	0	0	1	0.31	4.06	183.29	-0.03	276.13	0
51		•				•	•	•	2	2.07	•	2.27		•	0	2	-			/	0.00	2.0.10	0

	CPT-4	In situ	data								Basic	output	data										
Depth (ft)	qc (tsf)	fs (tsf)	u (psi)	Other	qt (tsf)	Rf(%)	SBT	Ic SBT	ã (pcf)	ó,v (tsf)	u0 (tsf)	ó',vo (tsf)	Qt1	Fr (%)	Bq	SBTn	n	Cn	Ic	Qtn	U2	l(B)	Mod. SBTn
1	58.37	0.52	0	0.16	58.37	0.89	5	2.09	115.44	0.06	0	0.06	1009.7	0.9	0) 6	0.47	3.94	1.63	217.13	0	85.9	7
2	60.36	0.31	0.1	0.16	60.36	0.52	6	1.95	111.78	0.11	0	0.11	529.96	5 0.52	0) 6	0.46	2.76	1.58	157.42	0.06	110.25	7
3	49.92	1.25	0.1	0.14	49.92	2.51	5	2.42	121.46	0.17	0	0.17	285.47	2.52	0) 5	0.65	3.22	2.07	151.32	0.04	35.75	7
4	196.22	2.19	0.1	0.06	196.22	1.12	6	1.75	128.89	0.24	0	0.24	820.89	9 1.12	0) 6	0.46	1.97	1.56	365.13	0.03	78.39	7
5	199.35	1.88	0.3	-0.14	199.36	0.94	6	1.69	127.8	0.3	0	0.3	657.62	0.94	0) 6	0.45	1.75	1.53	328.56	0.07	89.03	7
6	259.4	3.55	0.2	-0.14	259.4	1.37	6	1.73	133.1	0.37	0	0.37	701.45	5 1.37	0) 6	0.48	1.66	1.61	405.55	0.04	66.39	7
7	270.57	3.86	0.3	-0.14	270.57	1.43	6	1.74	133.82	0.44	0	0.44	619.25	5 1.43	0) 6	0.49	1.55	1.63	394.54	0.05	63.78	7
8	297.3	4.28	0.39	-0.16	297.31	1.44	6	1.72	134.8	0.5	0	0.5	589.53	3 1.44	0) 6	0.49	1.44	1.63	404.69	0.06	63.43	7
9	309.73	4.91	0.39	-0.14	309.74	1.58	6	1.74	135.9	0.57	0	0.57	541.02	1.59	0) 6	0.51	1.37	1.66	400.33	0.05	58.16	7
10	306.7	5.33	0.3	-0.1	306.71	1.74	6	1.77	136.47	0.64	0	0.64	478.43	3 1.74	0) 6	0.53	1.31	1.71	378.11	0.03	53.32	7
11	292.5	4.7	0.2	-0.1	292.5	1.61	6	1.76	135.44	0.71	0	0.71	412.43	1.61	0) 6	0.53	1.24	1.71	341.85	0.02	56.7	7
12	289.68	5.95	0.1	-0.1	289.68	2.05	6	1.85	137.15	0.78	0	0.78	372.24	2.06	0) 6	0.58	1.2	1.81	326.34	0.01	45.31	7
13	347.43	8.35	0	-0.1	347.43	2.4	8	1.86	137.28	0.84	0	0.84	410.36	5 2.41	0) 8	0.59	1.14	1.84	374.11	0	39.53	7
14	347.01	9.4	-0.1	-0.1	347.01	2.71	8	1.91	137.28	0.91	0	0.91	378.97	2.72	0) 8	0.61	1.09	1.89	358.01	-0.01	35.31	7
15	324.66	8.88	0	-0.14	324.66	2.73	8	1.93	137.28	0.98	0	0.98	329.63	2.74	0) 8	0.63	1.05	1.92	320.58	0	34.83	7
16	318.71	7.21	-0.2	-0.16	318.71	2.26	6	1.86	137.28	1.05	0	1.05	302.35	5 2.27	0) 8	0.61	1	1.86	301.51	-0.01	41.32	7
17	269	6.06	-0.2	-0.2	269	2.25	6	1.9	137.1	1.12	0	1.12	239.35	5 2.26	0) 6	0.63	0.97	1.91	244.36	-0.01	40.86	7
18	224.94	5.74	-0.29	-0.18	224.93	2.55	5	1.99	136.27	1.19	0	1.19	188.47	2.57	0) 5	0.67	0.93	2.01	195.71	-0.02	35.94	7
19	289.68	6.16	-0.41	-1.56	289.68	2.13	6	1.86	137.28	1.26	0	1.26	229.66	5 2.14	0) 6	0.63	0.9	1.89	244.71	-0.02	42.97	7
20	258.46	4.28	-0.5	-2.84	258.45	1.66	6	1.8	134.46	1.32	0	1.32	194.33	1.67	0) 6	0.61	0.87	1.84	211.85	-0.03	52.48	7
21	237.05	4.91	-0.59	-2.9	237.04	2.07	6	1.9	135.25	1.39	0	1.39	169.43	2.08	0) 6	0.66	0.83	1.95	185.96	-0.03	42.85	7
22	258.67	5.85	-0.42	-2.83	258.66	2.26	6	1.91	136.74	1.46	0	1.46	176.26	5 2.27	0) 6	0.67	0.81	1.97	196.06	-0.02	39.95	7
23	310.98	6.68	-0.39	-2.79	310.98	2.15	6	1.85	137.28	1.53	0	1.53	202.56	5 2.16	0) 6	0.65	0.79	1.91	230.4	-0.02	42.35	7
24	293.65	6.89	-0.29	-2.79	293.65	2.35	0	1.89	137.28	1.6	0	1.6	182.95	2.36	0) 6	0.67	0.76	1.96	209.2	-0.01	38.89	7
25	321.64	7.1	-0.29	-2.79	321.63	2.21	6	1.85	137.28	1.67	0	1.67	192.17	2.22	0) 6	0.66	0.74	1.93	223.96	-0.01	41.26	7
26	285.09	5.74	-0.39	-2.86	285.08	2.01	6	1.84	136.85	1.73	0	1.73	163.45	5 2.03	0) 6	0.67	0.72	1.93	192.52	-0.02	44	7
27	298.87	7	-0.49	-2.93	298.86	2 34	8	1.89	137.28	1.8	0	1.8	164.83	2 36	0) 5	0.69	0.69	1 98	194 36	-0.02	38 72	7
28	359.23	8 98	-0.59	-3.05	359.22	25	8	1.87	137.28	1.87	0	1.87	191 03	2 51	0) 5	0.69	0.68	1.96	228 32	-0.02	37.02	7
29	417.08	8 46	-0.69	-3.12	417.07	2.03	8	1 76	137.28	1 94	0	1 94	214.06	5 2 04	0) 6	0.65	0.68	1.85	264 99	-0.03	45.00	7
30	467 31	71	-0.79	-3.22	467.3	1 52	6	1.63	137.28	2.01	0	2 01	231 72	153	0) 6	0.6	0.68	1 72	299.36	-0.03	58 72	7
31	481.83	8 46	-0.88	_3 34	481.87	1 76	6	1.67	137.28	2.02	0	2.02	231.01	1 76	0	, , , ,	0.62	0.66	1 77	207 75	-0.03	51 72	7
32	531 33	10.70	-1.04	-3.47	531 31	1 03	8	1.60	137.20	2.00	0	2.00	231.01	1 1 03	0	, 0) 6	0.62	0.00	1.77	310.67	-0.03	17 0	7
22	176.61	12.25	1.04	-2.40	476 50	1.55	0	1.05	127.20	2.15	0	2.15	210.03	, 1.55 , 2.02	0	, 0 1 0	0.05	0.04	1.75	266.20	-0.04	22 60	7
24	470.01	0.71	-1.1	-3.45	EE2 07	2.0	6	1.00	127.20	2.21	0	2.21	214.20	2.02	0) 0) 6	0.71	0.39	1.57	200.35	-0.04	53.00	7
25	602.24	5./1	-1.24	-5.55	602.22	1.75	6	1.05	137.20	2.20	0	2.20	241.03	7 1.70	0		0.05	0.02	1.75	361 37	-0.04	52.13	7
30	50Z.34	7.1	-0.04	-5.0	002.33	1.10	6	1.40	137.20	2.35	0	2.35	255.17	1.10	0		0.50	0.04	1.50	201.57	-0.03	74.62	
30	527.15	0 77	-0.64	-3.05	527.14	1.33	6	1.55	137.28	2.42	0	2.42	210.03	1.03	0		0.0	0.01	1.0/	201.04	-0.02	50.00	-
3/	520.36	٥.// ۱۵.۵۵	-0.69	-3./6	520.35	1.09	6	1.64	137.28	2.49	0	2.49	208.05	9 I.09	0		0.67	0.58	1.//	282.48	-0.02	53.33	7
38	5/6.23	12.32	-0.68	-3.84	5/6.22	2.14	8	1./2	137.28	2.56	0	2.56	224.34	+ 2.15	0		0.67	0.55	1.84	299.34	-0.02	43.39	7
39	626.88	0	-0.76	-3.95	626.87	0	0	0	87.36	2.6	0	2.6	240.03	s 0	0) ()	1	0.41	4.06	240.03	-0.02	357.19	0

	CPT-5	In situ	data								Basic	output	data										
Depth (ft)	qc (tsf)	fs (tsf)	u (psi)	Other	qt (tsf)	Rf(%)	SBT	Ic SBT	ã (pcf)	ó,v (tsf)	u0 (tsf)	ó',vo (tsf)	Qt1	Fr (%)	Bq	SBTn	n	Cn	Ic	Qtn	U2	l(B)	Mod. SBTn
1	97.95	0.42	0	0.27	97.95	0.43	6	1.73	115.07	0.06	0	0.06	1700.4	0.43	0	6	0.37	2.91	1.34	268.86	0	150.96	7
2	43.23	0.21	0	0.2	43.23	0.48	6	2.07	108	0.11	0	0.11	386.37	0.48	0	6	0.48	2.97	1.66	121.14	0	101.92	7
3	36.65	0.21	-0.03	0.2	36.65	0.57	5	2.16	107.6	0.17	0	0.17	220.79	0.57	0	6	0.54	2.73	1.79	94.19	-0.01	84.08	7
4	62.66	0.42	0	0.22	62.66	0.67	6	1.99	113.98	0.22	0	0.22	280.87	0.67	0	6	0.51	2.22	1.71	131.28	0	89.51	7
5	263.78	1.78	-0.1	0.14	263.78	0.67	6	1.5	128.07	0.29	0	0.29	920.14	0.67	0	6	0.38	1.64	1.35	409.17	-0.02	121.26	7
6	252.09	3.13	0	0.1	252.09	1.24	6	1.71	132.11	0.35	0	0.35	714.21	1.24	0	6	0.47	1.67	1.58	397.52	0	72.16	7
7	231.31	3.03	0.1	0.15	231.31	1.31	6	1.75	131.66	0.42	0	0.42	551.92	1.31	0	6	0.49	1.58	1.63	344.12	0.02	67.92	7
8	237.36	2.82	0.2	0.14	237.37	1.19	6	1.71	131.2	0.48	0	0.48	489.67	1.19	0	6	0.49	1.46	1.61	327.44	0.03	73.4	7
9	233.92	3.13	0.2	0.16	233.92	1.34	6	1.76	131.93	0.55	0	0.55	424.49	1.34	0	6	0.51	1.4	1.67	308.2	0.03	65.78	7
10	242.79	2.82	0.1	0.16	242.79	1.16	6	1.7	131.25	0.62	0	0.62	393.51	1.16	0	6	0.5	1.31	1.62	299.8	0.01	73.93	7
11	293.54	3.13	0.05	0.16	293.55	1.07	6	1.62	132.49	0.68	0	0.68	429.59	1.07	0	6	0.48	1.23	1.56	341.37	0.01	80.74	7
12	335.52	4.07	0	0.18	335.52	1.21	6	1.62	134.73	0.75	0	0.75	446.88	1.22	0	6	0.49	1.18	1.58	374.55	0	73.16	7
13	342.52	5.95	-0.1	0.2	342.52	1.74	6	1.75	137.28	0.82	0	0.82	417.94	1.74	0	6	0.54	1.15	1.72	371.42	-0.01	53.2	7
14	401.31	9.71	-0.29	0.12	401.31	2.42	8	1.83	137.28	0.89	0	0.89	451.8	2.43	0	8	0.58	1.11	1.81	419.65	-0.02	39.5	7
15	440.16	12.32	-0.29	0.08	440.16	2.8	8	1.87	137.28	0.95	0	0.95	459.91	2.81	0	8	0.6	1.06	1.86	441.62	-0.02	34.5	7
16	482.66	11.7	-0.47	0.13	482.66	2.42	8	1.8	137.28	1.02	0	1.02	470.51	2.43	0	8	0.58	1.02	1.8	464.04	-0.03	39.61	7
17	499.16	11.9	-0.55	0.18	499.16	2.38	8	1.78	137.28	1.09	0	1.09	455.96	2.39	0	8	0.58	0.98	1.79	462.05	-0.04	40.2	7
18	520.15	11.59	-0.59	0.25	520.14	2.23	8	1.75	137.28	1.16	0	1.16	447.09	2.23	0	8	0.58	0.95	1.76	464.99	-0.04	42.85	7
19	463.34	12.32	-0.77	0.34	463.33	2.66	8	1.84	137.28	1.23	0	1.23	375.85	2.67	0	8	0.62	0.91	1.86	398.07	-0.05	36.06	7
20	510.54	12.74	-0.8	0.45	510.53	2.5	8	1.8	137.28	1.3	0	1.3	392.27	2.5	0	8	0.61	0.88	1.82	425.15	-0.04	38.39	7
21	527.78	12.64	-0.88	0.53	527.76	2.39	8	1.78	137.28	1.37	0	1.37	385.12	2.4	0	8	0.6	0.86	1.81	426.28	-0.05	39.91	7
22	494.67	10.44	-1.05	0.59	494.66	2.11	8	1.74	137.28	1.44	0	1.44	343.58	2.12	0	8	0.6	0.83	1.78	388.69	-0.05	44.65	7
23	497.7	9.92	-1.16	0.59	497.69	1.99	8	1.72	137.28	1.5	0	1.5	329.91	. 2	0	6	0.59	0.81	1.76	380.76	-0.06	47.01	7
24	542.6	10.55	-1.27	0.55	542.59	1.94	8	1.69	137.28	1.57	0	1.57	344.01	1.95	0	6	0.59	0.79	1.74	405.21	-0.06	48.28	7
25	302.42	0	-0.2	-2.47	302.42	0	0	0	87.36	1.62	0	1.62	186.1	. 0	0	0	1	0.65	4.06	186.1	-0.01	280.14	0

Presented below is a list of formulas used for the estimation of various soil properties. The formulas are presented in SI unit system and assume that all components are expressed in the same units.

:: Unit Weight, g (kN/m³) ::

$$g = g_w \cdot \left(0.27 \cdot \log(R_f) + 0.36 \cdot \log(\frac{q_t}{p_a}) + 1.236 \right)$$

where g_w = water unit weight

:: Permeability, k (m/s) ::

 $I_c < 3.27$ and $I_c > 1.00$ then $k = 10^{0.952\text{--}3.04\text{-}I_c}$

 $I_{\rm c} \leq 4.00$ and $I_{\rm c} > 3.27$ then $k = 10^{-4.52 \cdot 1.37 \cdot I_{\rm c}}$

:: N_{SPT} (blows per 30 cm) ::

$$\begin{split} N_{60} = & \left(\frac{q_c}{P_a} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{10^{1.1268 - 0.2817 \cdot I_c}} \\ N_{1(60)} = & Q_{tn} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{1.1268 - 0.2817 \cdot I_c}} \end{split}$$

:: Young's Modulus, Es (MPa) ::

 $\begin{aligned} (q_t - \sigma_v) \cdot 0.015 \cdot 10^{0.55 \cdot I_c + 1.68} \\ (\text{applicable only to } I_c < I_{c_cutoff}) \end{aligned}$

:: Relative Density, Dr (%) ::

 $100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{Q_{tn}}{k_{DR}}}$

(applicable only to SBT_n: 5, 6, 7 and 8 or $I_c\,<\,I_{c_cutoff})$

:: State Parameter, ψ ::

 $\psi = 0.56 - 0.33 \cdot \log(Q_{tn,cs})$

:: Peak drained friction angle, ϕ (°) ::

$$\label{eq:phi} \begin{split} \phi = & 17.60 + 11 \cdot \text{log}(\text{Q}_{tn}) \\ (\text{applicable only to SBT}_n\text{: 5, 6, 7 and 8}) \end{split}$$

:: 1-D constrained modulus, M (MPa) ::

$$\begin{split} & \text{If } I_c > 2.20 \\ & a = 14 \text{ for } Q_{tn} > 14 \\ & a = Q_{tn} \text{ for } Q_{tn} \leq 14 \\ & \text{M}_{\text{CPT}} = a \cdot (q_t - \sigma_v) \end{split}$$

If $I_c \le 2.20$ $M_{CPT} = (q_t - \sigma_v) \cdot 0.0188 \cdot 10^{0.55 \cdot I_c + 1.68}$:: Small strain shear Modulus, Go (MPa) ::

$$G_0 = (q_t - \sigma_v) \cdot 0.0188 \cdot 10^{0.55 \cdot I_c + 1.68}$$

:: Shear Wave Velocity, Vs (m/s) ::

$$V_s = \left(\frac{G_0}{\rho}\right)^{0.50}$$

:: Undrained peak shear strength, Su (kPa) ::

$$\begin{split} N_{kt} &= 10.50 + 7 \cdot \text{log}(F_r) \text{ or user defined} \\ S_u &= \frac{\left(q_t - \sigma_v\right)}{N_{kt}} \end{split}$$

(applicable only to SBT_n: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9 or $I_c > I_{c_cutoff}$)

:: Remolded undrained shear strength, Su(rem) (kPa) ::

$$S_{u(rem)} = f_s$$
 (applicable only to SBT_n: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9
or I_c > I_{c_cutoff})

:: Overconsolidation Ratio, OCR ::

$$k_{OCR} = \left[\frac{Q_{tn}^{0.20}}{0.25 \cdot (10.50 \cdot +7 \cdot \log(F_r))}\right]^{1.25} \text{ or user defined}$$
$$OCR = k_{OCR} \cdot Q_{tn}$$

(applicable only to SBT_n: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9 or $I_c > I_{c_cutoff}$)

:: In situ Stress Ratio, Ko ::

$$\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{O}} = (1 - \sin \varphi') \cdot \mathsf{OCR}^{\sin \varphi'}$$

(applicable only to SBT_n: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9 or $I_c > I_{c_cutoff}$)

:: Soil Sensitivity, St ::

$$S_t = \frac{N_s}{F_r}$$

(applicable only to SBT_n: 1, 2, 3, 4 and 9 or $I_c > I_{c_cutoff}$)

:: Effective Stress Friction Angle, ϕ (°) ::

 $\phi' = 29.5^{\circ} \cdot B_q^{0.121} \cdot (0.256 + 0.336 \cdot B_q + \log Q_t)$ (applicable for $0.10 < B_q < 1.00$)

References

 Robertson, P.K., Cabal K.L., Guide to Cone Penetration Testing for Geotechnical Engineering, Gregg Drilling & Testing, Inc., 5th Edition, November 2012

• Robertson, P.K., Interpretation of Cone Penetration Tests - a unified approach., Can. Geotech. J. 46(11): 1337-1355 (2009)

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Test Results



APPENDIX B - GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Our geotechnical laboratory testing program was directed toward a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of physical and mechanical properties of soils underlying this campus at proposed improvements, and to aid in verifying soil classification. This geotechnical testing was performed at our Irvine laboratory (DSA LEA 63).

Percent Fines (Percentage Passing Sieve No. 200 Sieve): Selected soil samples were wet-wash sieved through a No. 200 U.S. Standard brass sieve in accordance with ASTM Test Methods D 1140 to measure percent fines (silts and clays). This data was used to refine the Unified Soil Classification for tested soil samples. Test results are presented in this appendix and on logs in Appendix A.

Modified Proctor Compaction Curve: Laboratory modified Proctor compaction curves (ASTM D 1557) were established for bulk soil-samples to determine sample-specific modified Proctor laboratory maximum dry density and optimum moisture content. Results of these tests are presented on the following "*Modified Proctor Compaction Test*" sheets in this appendix.

Sieve Analysis (SA): Selected composite soil samples were tested for grain size distribution in accordance with ASTM Test Methods D 6913 to measure grain size. This data was used to refine the Unified Soil Classification for tested soil samples. Test results are presented in this appendix and on logs in Appendix A.

Direct Shear Tests: Direct shear tests were performed, in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D 3080, on remolded soil samples remolded to 90% of the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum density. Remolded specimens were soaked for a minimum of 24 hours under a surcharge equal to the applied normal force during testing. After transfer of the sample to the shear box, and reloading the sample, pore pressures set up in the sample due to the transfer were allowed to dissipate for a period of approximately 1 hour prior to application of shearing force. These specimens were tested under various normal loads with a motor-driven, strain-controlled, direct-shear testing apparatus at a strain rate of 0.05 inches per minute (depending upon the soil type). Test results are presented on the *Direct Shear Test Results* sheets which follow in this appendix.

Swell Potential or Settlement (CN): Selected composite soil samples were tested for wetting-induced swell/collapse strains to develop estimates of heave or settlement of a confined soil profile in accordance with ASTM D 4546. The load-induced strains after



wetting are used to estimate stress-induced settlement following wetting-induced heave or settlement. Test results are presented in this appendix and on logs in Appendix A.

Consolidation: Consolidation tests on relatively undisturbed drive samples from our borings were performed in accordance with ASTM D 2435. Results are included in this appendix on the *One-Dimensional Consolidation Properties of Soils* sheets.

Corrosivity Tests: To evaluate corrosion potential of subsurface soils at the site, we tested a bulk sample collected during our subsurface exploration for pH, electrical resistivity (CTM 532/643), soluble sulfate content (CTM 417 Part II) and soluble chloride content (CTM 422) testing. Results of these tests are enclosed at the end of this appendix.

R-Value Tests: Selected samples were tested in accordance with DOT CA Test 301. The R-Value test measures the response of a compacted sample of soil or aggregate to a vertically applied pressure under specific conditions. This test is used by Caltrans for pavement design, replacing the California bearing ratio test. The R-value of a material is determined when the material is in a state of saturation such that water will be exuded from the compacted test specimen when a 16.8 kN load (2.07 MPa) is applied to test a series of specimens prepared at different moisture contents. R-Value is used in pavement design, with the thickness of each layer dependent on the R-value of the layer below and the expected level of traffic loading, expressed as a Traffic Index. Results of these tests are enclosed at the end of this appendix.

Expansion Tests: In accordance with ASTM D 4829 the specimen is compacted into a metal ring so that the degree of saturation is between 40 and 60 % and the specimen and the ring are placed in a consolidometer. A vertical confining pressure of 1 psi is applied to the specimen and then the specimen is inundated with distilled water. The deformation of the specimen is recorded for 24 hours or until the rate of deformation becomes less than 0.005 mm/hour. The Expansion Index, EI, is used to measure a basic index property of soil and therefore, the EI is comparable to other indices such as the liquid limit, plastic limit, and plasticity index of soils. Results of these tests are enclosed at the end of this appendix.



Boring No.	PB-1							
Sample No.	R2							
Depth (ft.)	7.0							
Sample Type	Ring							
Soil Identification	Reddish brown poorly- graded sand with silt (SP- SM)							
Moisture Correction								
Wet Weight of Soil + Container (g)	0.0							
Dry Weight of Soil + Container (g)	0.0							
Weight of Container (g)	1.0							
Moisture Content (%)	0.0							
Sample Dry Weight Determinat	tion							
Weight of Sample + Container (g)	841.3							
Weight of Container (g)	206.4							
Weight of Dry Sample (g)	634.9							
Container No.:								
After Wash								
Method (A or B)	В							
Dry Weight of Sample + Cont. (g)	801.6							
Weight of Container (g)	206.4							
Dry Weight of Sample (g)	595.2							
% Passing No. 200 Sieve	6.3							
% Retained No. 200 Sieve	93.7							
Leighton		PERCENT No. 200 ASTM E	PASSING SIEVE 1140	i	Project Name: Project No.: Client Name: Tested By:	Santa Monica H 11428.008 Santa Monica-M S. Felter	High School/Te Malibu Unified Date:	School District 08/07/17



Project Na	ime:	Santa Mc	onica High	School			_	Te	sted By:	G. Batha	la Date:	07/07/17
Project No).: _	<u>11428.00</u>)7	_				Che	ecked By:	J. War	d Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.	.: _	LB-1		_				De	pth (ft.):	0-5		_
Sample No	o.:	BB-1		_				Sa	mple Ty	pe:	90% Rei	mold
Soil Identi	fication:	Brown sil	ty sand (S	SM)								_
				0.415								
Sample Dia	ameter (in.	.)	2.415	0.415	· [\top			\top		
Sample Th	ickness (in	ı.)	1.000									
Wt. of Sam	nple + Ring	g (g)	198.52	0.410			++++					+++++
Weight of	Ring (g)	I	43.26									
Height afte	er consol. ((in.)	0.9818	0.405	1		+++-		$+$ \downarrow			
Before T	ſest				1					nundate	with	
Wt.Wet Sa	mple+Con	it. (g)	154.83	0.400	1					Tap wat	er	
Wt.of Dry	Sample+C	ont. (g)	147.54	0.400				k				
Weight of	Container	(g)	56.02	.				l l				
Initial Mois	sture Conte	ent (%)	8.0	1 0.395			++++			++++		
Initial Dry	Density (p	cf)	119.6	Ř								
Initial Satu	uration (%))	53	0.390	1				+			
Initial Vert	ical Readin	ıg (in.)	0.3047	>						N		
After Te	st	<u> </u>		0.005	1							
Wt.of Wet	Sample+C	ont. (g)	261.80	0.385	-							
Wt. of Dry	Sample+C	Cont. (g)	245.50]							
Weight of	Container	(g)	58.50	0.380			++++			+++		
Final Moist	ture Conter	nt (%)	11.34									
Final Dry	Density (p	cf)	121.8	0.375								
Final Satur	ration (%)		80									
Final Vertic	cal Reading	գ (in.)	0.2832									
Specific Gr	avity (assu	umed)	2.70	0.370	10		1 00	1		10.00	<u>ו</u> ו	100
Water Den	nsity (pcf)	-	62.43	Ĭ	7.10		F	Pressu	re, p (k	sf)	, ,	
L				·			-					
Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected		-	Time Re	adings	@ 4.0 ksf	

Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	% of	Void	Corrected		Time Re	eadings @	4.0 KSI	
(p) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)	Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0.3045	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.409	0.01	7/11/17	7:30:00	0.0	0.0	0.2908
0.25	0.3028	0.9982	0.06	0.18	0.408	0.12	7/11/17	7:30:06	0.1	0.3	0.2880
0.50	0.3005	0.9958	0.16	0.42	0.406	0.26	7/11/17	7:30:15	0.2	0.5	0.2879
1.00	0.2971	0.9925	0.31	0.75	0.403	0.44	7/11/17	7:30:30	0.5	0.7	0.2877
2.00	0.2920	0.9874	0.47	1.27	0.398	0.80	7/11/17	7:31:00	1.0	1.0	0.2874
2.00	0.2908	0.9862	0.47	1.38	0.397	0.91	7/11/17	7:32:00	2.0	1.4	0.2873
4.00	0.2861	0.9815	0.64	1.86	0.392	1.22	7/11/17	7:34:00	4.0	2.0	0.2871
8.00	0.2801	0.9754	0.81	2.46	0.386	1.65	7/11/17	7:38:00	8.0	2.8	0.2870
16.00	0.2705	0.9659	1.00	3.41	0.375	2.41	7/11/17	7:45:00	15.0	3.9	0.2869
4.00	0.2742	0.9696	0.79	3.05	0.378	2.26	7/11/17	8:00:00	30.0	5.5	0.2868
1.00	0.2799	0.9753	0.55	2.48	0.382	1.93	7/11/17	8:30:00	60.0	7.7	0.2866
0.25	0.2832	0.9786	0.32	2.15	0.384	1.83	7/11/17	9:30:00	120.0	11.0	0.2865
							7/11/17	11:38:00	248.0	15.7	0.2863
							7/11/17	15:30:00	480.0	21.9	0.2863
							7/12/17	7:30:00	1440.0	37.9	0.2861





Project Name:	Santa Mo	nica High	School			Tested By: G.	Bathala	Date:	07/06/17
Project No.:	11428.00	7				Checked By:	I. Ward	Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.:	_B-2					Depth (ft.):	10.0		_
Sample No.:	א1					Sample Type	:	Ring	_
Soil Identification:	Brown sil	ty sand (S	SM)						
			0.420						
Sample Diameter (in.)	2.415	0.420	-					
Sample Thickness (in	.)	1.000							
Wt. of Sample + Ring	g (g)	200.98	0.415						
Weight of Ring (g)		41.42	0.413						
Height after consol. (in.)	0.9870		-		Inundate with Tap water	ו ו		
Before Test			0.440	-					
Wt.Wet Sample+Cont	t. (g)	191.63	0.410	-	K				
Wt.of Dry Sample+Co	ont. (g)	175.80							
Weight of Container ((g)	36.68	0 0 405	-					
Initial Moisture Conte	nt (%)	11.4	304.0	-					
Initial Dry Density (po	cf)	119.1	К						
Initial Saturation (%)		74		-					
Initial Vertical Readin	g (in.)	0.3198	> 0.400	-					
After Test				-					
Wt.of Wet Sample+C	ont. (g)	276.03	0.005				N.		
Wt. of Dry Sample+C	ont. (g)	258.63	0.395						
Weight of Container ((g)	74.67							
Final Moisture Conten	nt (%)	12.21	0.000	-			++		
Final Dry Density (po	cf)	120.1	0.390						
Final Saturation (%)		82							
Final Vertical Reading	ı (in.)	0.3038		-					
Specific Gravity (assu	med)	2.70	0.385	0.10	1.00		10.00		100
Water Density (pcf)		62.43			Pr	essure, p (ksf)		
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>									
						T ' D I'			

Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected		Time Re	adings @	2.0 ksf	
(p) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)	Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
				[]							
0.10	0.3197	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.415	0.01	7/8/17	6:30:00	0.0	0.0	0.3127
0.25	0.3190	0.9992	0.03	0.08	0.414	0.05	7/8/17	6:30:06	0.1	0.3	0.3109
0.50	0.3163	0.9965	0.12	0.35	0.411	0.23	7/8/17	6:30:15	0.2	0.5	0.3107
1.00	0.3133	0.9935	0.24	0.65	0.409	0.41	7/8/17	6:30:30	0.5	0.7	0.3105
1.00	0.3127	0.9929	0.24	0.71	0.408	0.47	7/8/17	6:31:00	1.0	1.0	0.3103
2.00	0.3089	0.9891	0.37	1.09	0.405	0.72	7/8/17	6:32:00	2.0	1.4	0.3100
4.00	0.3043	0.9845	0.53	1.55	0.400	1.02	7/8/17	6:34:00	4.0	2.0	0.3099
8.00	0.2995	0.9797	0.73	2.03	0.396	1.30	7/8/17	6:38:00	8.0	2.8	0.3098
16.00	0.2932	0.9734	0.92	2.66	0.390	1.74	7/8/17	6:45:00	15.0	3.9	0.3097
4.00	0.2969	0.9771	0.69	2.29	0.392	1.60	7/8/17	7:00:00	30.0	5.5	0.3097
1.00	0.3005	0.9807	0.47	1.93	0.394	1.46	7/8/17	8:10:00	100.0	10.0	0.3095
0.25	0.3038	0.9840	0.30	1.60	0.396	1.30	7/8/17	8:35:00	125.0	11.2	0.3094
							7/8/17	10:30:00	240.0	15.5	0.3092
							7/8/17	14:30:00	480.0	21.9	0.3090
							7/9/17	6:32:00	1442.0	38.0	0.3089





Project Name:	Santa Mo	onica High	Sch	ool					Teste	ed By	y: <u>G</u> .	Bathala	Date	: 0	7/0	7/17
Project No .:	11428.00)7							Checke	ed By	:	J. Ward	Date	: 0	7/20	6/17
Boring No.:	LB-5								Depth	n (ft.)):	0-5				
Sample No.:	BB-1								Samp	ole T	урє	:	90% F	temol	d	
Soil Identification:	Dark bro	wn silty sa	and ((SM)												
			1	0.410			 									
Sample Diameter (i	n.)	2.415		0.110	-											
Sample Thickness (in.)	1.000			-											
Wt. of Sample + Rin	ng (g)	199.16		0.405												
Weight of Ring (g)		43.46								indati ap w	e wit ater	n				
Height after consol.	(in.)	0.9810			-				\uparrow	<u> </u>						
Before Test				0.400												
Wt.Wet Sample+Co	ont. (g)	149.54			-											
Wt.of Dry Sample+	Cont. (g)	141.31		0 395	-											
Weight of Container	r (g)	36.67	0	0.000	-											
Initial Moisture Con	tent (%)	7.9	atio		-											
Initial Dry Density (pcf)	120.0	Ř	0.390										+		
Initial Saturation (%	6)	53	oid		-											
Initial Vertical Read	ing (in.)	0.3019	>		-						$\left \right\rangle$					
After Test				0.385	-											
Wt.of Wet Sample+	Cont. (g)	270.90			-											
Wt. of Dry Sample+	-Cont. (g)	254.34		0.380	-											
Weight of Container	r (g)	66.80			-											
Final Moisture Conte	ent (%)	11.49			-		 +++					$ \setminus$				
Final Dry Density (pcf)	122.1		0.375					\leftarrow							
Final Saturation (%)	82			-								Δ			
Final Vertical Reading	ng (in.)	0.2809		0.070	-											
Specific Gravity (as	sumed)	2.70		0.370	.10		1.	.00				10.00				100.
Water Density (pcf)		62.43						Pres	ssure	, p (ks	;)				
							 									-
						1	1									

Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected		Time Re	adings @	2.0 ksf	
(ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)	Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0.3018	0.9999	0.00	0.01	0.404	0.01	7/10/17	7:15:00	0.0	0.0	0.2960
0.25	0.3006	0.9987	0.07	0.13	0.403	0.06	7/10/17	7:15:06	0.1	0.3	0.2943
0.50	0.2988	0.9969	0.13	0.31	0.402	0.18	7/10/17	7:15:15	0.2	0.5	0.2941
1.00	0.2964	0.9945	0.21	0.55	0.399	0.34	7/10/17	7:15:30	0.5	0.7	0.2940
1.00	0.2960	0.9941	0.21	0.60	0.399	0.39	7/10/17	7:16:00	1.0	1.0	0.2938
2.00	0.2926	0.9907	0.31	0.93	0.395	0.62	7/10/17	7:17:00	2.0	1.4	0.2937
4.00	0.2880	0.9861	0.43	1.40	0.391	0.97	7/10/17	7:19:00	4.0	2.0	0.2937
8.00	0.2809	0.9790	0.60	2.10	0.383	1.50	7/10/17	7:23:00	8.0	2.8	0.2935
16.00	0.2704	0.9685	0.86	3.15	0.372	2.29	7/10/17	7:30:00	15.0	3.9	0.2934
4.00	0.2747	0.9728	0.53	2.72	0.373	2.19	7/10/17	7:45:00	30.0	5.5	0.2933
1.00	0.2784	0.9765	0.36	2.35	0.376	1.99	7/10/17	8:29:00	74.0	8.6	0.2932
0.25	0.2809	0.9790	0.20	2.10	0.377	1.90	7/10/17	9:15:00	120.0	11.0	0.2931
							7/10/17	11:15:00	240.0	15.5	0.2930
							7/10/17	15:15:00	480.0	21.9	0.2929
							7/11/17	7:20:00	1445.0	38.0	0.2926





Project Name:	Santa Mc	onica High	School					Tested	א By: <mark>ו</mark>	G. Bathal	a Date:	07/06/17
Project No.:	11428.00)7	_					Checked	d By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.:	LB-5		_					Depth ((ft.):	15.0		_
Sample No.:	R2		_					Sample	е Тур	pe:	Ring	
Soil Identification:	Brown sil	ty <u>sand (</u> ٢	SM)									_
			- 0.63	<u> </u>								
Sample Diameter (in	n.)	2.415	0.000	1		\Box			ΤļΙ			
Sample Thickness (in.)	1.000	0.00									
Wt. of Sample + Rin	ng (g)	173.90	0.623	5				Inun	ndate v	with		
Weight of Ring (g)		41.82	1					Ta	ip wate	er		
Height after consol.	. (in.)	0.9847	0.620	0		•			$\overline{\top}$			+++++
Before Test			1	1								
Wt.Wet Sample+Co	ont. (g)	199.35	0.61	5		+++	$ \uparrow \!$	+	+++	$\left \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right $		+++++
Wt.of Dry Sample+	Cont. (g)	192.09	ĺ	-								
Weight of Container	r (g)	66.28	0.610	0]		+++			+++			+++++
Initial Moisture Con	tent (%)	5.8	atic									
Initial Dry Density (pcf)	103.9	č 0.60!	5 ++		+++	+++	+		$\left + + + + - \right $		+++++
Initial Saturation (%	6)	25	oid						\mathbb{N}			
Initial Vertical Read	ing (in.)	0.2601	> _{0.60}	0		\downarrow			+N			
After Test												
Wt.of Wet Sample+	-Cont. (g)	226.09	0.59	5	\rightarrow	14			\square			
Wt. of Dry Sample+	Cont. (g)	203.59	-									
Weight of Container	r (g)	38.14	0.59					\searrow				
Final Moisture Conte	ent (%)	18.20	0.000							H44	$\backslash $	
Final Dry Density (pcf)	104.4	0.59	-						`	◆	
Final Saturation (%)	80	0.560	5								
Final Vertical Readir	ng (in.)	0.2418	0.50									
Specific Gravity (as	sumed)	2.70	0.580	0 +			1.00			10.00)	100
Water Density (pcf)	-	62.43	ĺ	0.10			Pres	ssure,	p (k	sf)	'	
									P \-			
Pressure Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation	n	Correcte	ч		Time	e Rea	adings (@ 2.0 ksf	

Pressure	Final	Apparent	Load	Deformation % of	Void	Corrected		Time Re	adings @	2.0 KST	
(p) (ksf)	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	Sample Thickness	Ratio	tion (%)	Date	Time	Elapsed Time (min)	Square Root of Time	Dial Rdgs. (in.)
0.10	0.2602	1.0001	0.00	0.00	0.623	0.00	7/8/17	6:35:00	0.0	0.0	0.2532
0.25	0.2587	0.9986	0.03	0.14	0.621	0.11	7/8/17	6:35:06	0.1	0.3	0.2501
0.50	0.2567	0.9966	0.12	0.34	0.619	0.22	7/8/17	6:35:15	0.2	0.5	0.2499
1.00	0.2542	0.9941	0.24	0.59	0.617	0.35	7/8/17	6:35:30	0.5	0.7	0.2497
1.00	0.2532	0.9931	0.24	0.70	0.616	0.46	7/8/17	6:36:00	1.0	1.0	0.2495
2.00	0.2482	0.9881	0.37	1.19	0.610	0.82	7/8/17	6:37:00	2.0	1.4	0.2493
4.00	0.2431	0.9830	0.53	1.70	0.604	1.17	7/8/17	6:39:00	4.0	2.0	0.2492
8.00	0.2366	0.9765	0.73	2.35	0.597	1.62	7/8/17	6:43:00	8.0	2.8	0.2491
16.00	0.2283	0.9682	0.92	3.18	0.586	2.26	7/8/17	6:50:00	15.0	3.9	0.2490
4.00	0.2330	0.9729	0.69	2.71	0.590	2.02	7/8/17	7:05:00	30.0	5.5	0.2489
1.00	0.2376	0.9775	0.47	2.25	0.594	1.78	7/8/17	8:10:00	95.0	9.7	0.2487
0.25	0.2418	0.9817	0.30	1.84	0.598	1.54	7/8/17	8:35:00	120.0	11.0	0.2487
							7/8/17	10:35:00	240.0	15.5	0.2485
							7/8/17	14:35:00	480.0	21.9	0.2484
							7/9/17	6:35:00	1440.0	37.9	0.2482





TESTS for SULFATE CONTENT Leighton CHLORIDE CONTENT and pH of SOILS

Project Name:	Santa Monica High School	Tested By :	G. Berdy	Date:	07/11/17
Project No. :	11428.007	Data Input By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/26/17

Boring No.	LB-1	LB-5	
Sample No.	BB-1	BB-1	
Sample Depth (ft)	0-5	0-5	
Soil Identification:	Brown SM	Dark brown SM	
Wet Weight of Soil + Container (g)	203.40	259.06	
Dry Weight of Soil + Container (g)	201.34	256.91	
Weight of Container (g)	54.33	72.02	
Moisture Content (%)	1.40	1.16	
Weight of Soaked Soil (g)	100.29	100.75	

SULFATE CONTENT, DOT California Test 417, Part II

Beaker No.	301	300	
Crucible No.	22	14	
Furnace Temperature (°C)	860	860	
Time In / Time Out	7:15/8:00	7:15/8:00	
Duration of Combustion (min)	45	45	
Wt. of Crucible + Residue (g)	24.6221	24.1515	
Wt. of Crucible (g)	24.6160	24.1458	
Wt. of Residue (g) (A)	0.0061	0.0057	
PPM of Sulfate (A) x 41150	251.02	234.55	
PPM of Sulfate, Dry Weight Basis	255	237	

CHLORIDE CONTENT, DOT California Test 422

ml of Extract For Titration (B)	15	15	
ml of AgNO3 Soln. Used in Titration (C)	0.4	0.7	
PPM of Chloride (C -0.2) * 100 * 30 / B	40	100	
PPM of Chloride, Dry Wt. Basis	41	101	

pH TEST, DOT California Test 643

pH Value	8.06	7.83	
Temperature °C	20.5	20.6	



SOIL RESISTIVITY TEST DOT CA TEST 643

Project Name:	Santa Monica High Sch	loor	Tested By :	GEB/JY	Date:	07/24/17
Project No. :	11428.007		Data Input By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.:	LB-1		Depth (ft.) :	0-5		
Sample No. :	BB-1					

Soil Identification:* Brown SM

*California Test 643 requires soil specimens to consist only of portions of samples passing through the No. 8 US Standard Sieve before resistivity testing. Therefore, this test method may not be representative for coarser materials.

Specimen No.	Water Added (ml) (Wa)	Adjusted Moisture Content (MC)	Resistance Reading (ohm)	Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)
1	10	9.18	8300	8300
2	20	16.97	5200	5200
3	30	24.75	5800	5800
4				
5				

Moisture Content (%) (MCi)	1.40
Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	203.40
Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	201.34
Wt. of Container (g)	54.33
Container No.	
Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.29
Box Constant	1.000
MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1	l))-1)x100

Min. Resistivity	Moisture Content	Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	So	il pH
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	рН	Temp. (°C)
DOT CA	A Test 643	DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA	Test 643
5100	18.5	255	41	8.06	20.5





SOIL RESISTIVITY TEST DOT CA TEST 643

Project Name:	Santa Monica High S	School	Tested By :	GEB/JY	Date:	07/24/17
Project No. :	11428.007		Data Input By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.:	LB-5		Depth (ft.) :	0-5		
Sample No. :	BB-1					

Soil Identification:* Dark brown SM

*California Test 643 requires soil specimens to consist only of portions of samples passing through the No. 8 US Standard Sieve before resistivity testing. Therefore, this test method may not be representative for coarser materials.

Specimen No.	Water Added (ml) (Wa)	Adjusted Moisture Content (MC)	Resistance Reading (ohm)	Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)
1	20	16.69	1300	1300
2	30	24.45	1100	1100
3	40	32.21	1100	1100
4	50	39.97	1200	1200
5				

Moisture Content (%) (MCi)	1.16			
Wet Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	259.06			
Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (g)	256.91			
Wt. of Container (g)	72.02			
Container No.				
Initial Soil Wt. (g) (Wt)	130.34			
Box Constant	1.000			
MC =(((1+Mci/100)x(Wa/Wt+1))-1)x100				

Min. Resistivity Moisture Conter		Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	Soil pH	
(ohm-cm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	рН	Temp. (°C)
DOT CA Test 643		DOT CA Test 417 Part II	DOT CA Test 422	DOT CA Test 643	
1080	27.7	237	101	7.83	20.6





Project Name:	Santa Monica High School	Tested By:	G. Bathala	Date:	07/10/17
Project No.:	<u>11428.007</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/25/17
Boring No.:	<u>LB-1</u>	Sample Type:	90% Remold		
Sample No.:	<u>BB-1</u>	Depth (ft.):	<u>0-5</u>		
Soil Identificati	on: <u>Brown silty sand (SM)</u>				
	Comple Diameter/in)	2.415	2.415	2 415	7
	Sample Diameter (in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(In.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	199.43	198.45	198.14	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	45.79	43.45	42.85	
	Before Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	161.50	161.50	161.50	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	154.05	154.05	154.05	
	Weight of Container(gm):	58.55	58.55	58.55	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.2640	0.2887	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0053	0.2743	0.3092	
	After Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	223.53	217.91	197.49	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	207.23	201.24	181.78	
	Weight of Container(gm):	66.26	57.97	39.50	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.:	<u>Santa Monica High School</u> <u>11428.007</u> <u>LB-2</u> P1	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type:	<u>G. Bathala</u> <u>J. Ward</u> <u>Ring</u> 10.0	Date: Date:	07/09/17 07/26/17
Soil Identificati	on: Brown silty sand (SM)	Deptil (It.).	<u>10.0</u>		
	<u> </u>				
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	198.33	200.73	202.48	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	42.42	44.07	45.32	
	Before Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	191.63	191.63	191.63	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	175.80	175.80	175.80	
	Weight of Container(gm):	36.68	36.68	36.68	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.3002	0.2784	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0085	0.3115	0.2935	
	After Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	214.56	212.10	222.92	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	195.30	193.66	204.43	
	Weight of Container(gm):	57.12	54.25	64.79	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identification	Santa Monica High School <u>11428.007</u> <u>LB-2</u> <u>R2</u> on: Dark yellowish brown silty sa	Tested By: Checked By: Sample Type: Depth (ft.): and (SM)	<u>G. Bathala</u> J. Ward Ring 20.0	Date: Date:	07/18/17 07/26/17
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	7
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	182.37	184.34	182.52	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	43.20	43.87	41.00	
	Before Shearing				-
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	192.78	192.78	192.78	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	181.56	181.56	181.56	
	Weight of Container(gm):	57.50	57.50	57.50	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.2988	0.2964	0.0000	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	0.3117	0.3138	-0.0172	
	After Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	186.35	186.01	206.50	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	164.75	164.17	185.07	
	Weight of Container(gm):	39.72	37.72	57. 9 8	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name:	Santa Monica High School	Tested By:	G. Bathala	Date:	07/13/17
Project No.:	<u>11428.007</u>	Checked By:	<u>J. Ward</u>	Date:	07/25/17
Boring No.:	<u>LB-5</u>	Sample Type:	90% Remold		
Sample No.:	<u>BB-1</u>	Depth (ft.):	<u>0-5</u>		
Soil Identification	on: <u>Dark brown silty sand (SM)</u>				
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	199.09	198.67	198.98	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	43.31	42.94	43.26	
	Before Shearing				

Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	187.72	187.72	187.72	
Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	178.97	178.97	178.97	
Weight of Container(gm):	68.52	68.52	68.52	
Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.0000	0.2744	0.2887	
Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	-0.0036	0.2856	0.3085	
After Shearing				
Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	225.33	226.02	195.62	
Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	208.17	209.37	179.26	
Weight of Container(gm):	65.49	67.37	35.78	
Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	







Project Name:	Santa Monica High School	Tested By:	G. Bathala	Date:	07/09/17
Project No .:	<u>11428.007</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	07/26/17
Boring No.:	<u>LB-5</u>	Sample Type:	<u>Ring</u>		
Sample No.:	<u>R2</u>	Depth (ft.):	<u>15.0</u>		
Soil Identificati	on: <u>Brown silty sand (SM)</u>				
					_
	Sample Diameter(in):	2.415	2.415	2.415	
	Sample Thickness(in.):	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Weight of Sample + ring(gm):	168.57	172.74	175.04	
	Weight of Ring(gm):	40.53	42.24	43.34	
	Before Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	199.35	199.35	199.35	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	192.09	192.09	192.09	
	Weight of Container(gm):	66.28	66.28	66.28	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Initial	0.2707	0.0000	0.2844	
	Vertical Rdg.(in): Final	0.2788	-0.0192	0.3049	
	After Shearing				
	Weight of Wet Sample+Cont.(gm):	200.00	209.70	211.78	
	Weight of Dry Sample+Cont.(gm):	177.73	187.74	190.68	
	Weight of Container(gm):	60.64	66.14	69.43	
	Specific Gravity (Assumed):	2.70	2.70	2.70	
	Water Density(pcf):	62.43	62.43	62.43	






EXPANSION INDEX of SOILS ASTM D 4829

Project Name:	Santa Monica High School	Tested By: M. Vinet		Date: 7/20/17	
Project No. :	11428.007	Checked By: J. Ward		Date: 7/26/17	
Boring No.:	LB-1	Depth	: 0-5		
Sample No. :	BB-1	Location	: N/A		
Sample Description:	Brown silty sand (SM)				
	Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (gm.)	25	12.2		
	Wt. of Container No. (gm.)	(0.0		
	Dry Wt. of Soil (gm.)	25	12.2		
	Weight Soil Retained on #4 Sieve	4	7.2		
	Percent Passing # 4	9	8.1		
	MOLDED SPECIMEN	Before Test	After Tes	t	
Specimen	Diameter (in.)	4.01	4.01		
Specimen	Height (in.)	1.0000	0.9910		
Wt. Comp	. Soil + Mold (gm.)	624.0	636.4		
Wt. of Mo	ld (gm.)	200.5	200.5		
Specific G	Gravity (Assumed)	2.70	2.70		
Container	No.	4	4		
Wet Wt. c	of Soil + Cont. (gm.)	466.6	636.4		
Dry Wt. of	f Soil + Cont. (gm.)	444.4	392.1		
Wt. of Co	ntainer (gm.)	166.6	200.5		
Moisture (Content (%)	8.0	11.2		
Wet Dens	ity (pcf)	127.7	132.7		
Dry Densi	ty (pcf)	118.3	119.4		
Void Ratio)	0.425	0.412		
Total Porc	osity	0.298	0.292		
Pore Volu	me (cc)	61.8	59.9		
Degree of	Saturation (%) [S meas]	50.8	73.1		

SPECIMEN INUNDATION in distilled water for the period of 24 h or expansion rate < 0.0002 in./h.

Date	Time	Pressure (psi)	Elapsed Time (min.)	Dial Readings (in.)
7/20/17	11:00	1.0	0	0.5000
7/20/17	11:10	1.0	10	0.5000
	Ad	d Distilled Water to the S	pecimen	
7/21/17	8:30	1.0	1280	0.4910
7/21/17	9:30	1.0	1340	0.4910

Expansion Index (EI meas) = ((Final Rdg - Initial Rdg) / Initial Thick.) x 1000	-9.0
Expansion Index (Report) = Nearest Whole Number or Zero (0) if Initial Height is > than Final Height	0



EXPANSION INDEX of SOILS ASTM D 4829

Project Name:	Name: Santa Monica High School No. : 11428.007		Tested By: M.		Date: 7/20/17	
Project No. :			Checked By:	J. Ward	Date: 7/26/17	
Boring No.:	LB-5	D		0-5		
Sample No. :	BB-1		Location:	N/A		
Sample Description:	Dark brown silty sand (SM)					
	Dry Wt. of Soil + Cont. (gm.)		1982	2.4		
	Wt. of Container No. (gm.)		0.0)		
	Dry Wt. of Soil (gm.)		1982	2.4		
	Weight Soil Retained on #4 Sieve		42.	2		
	Percent Passing # 4		97.	9		
	MOLDED SPECIMEN	Befor	e Test	After Tes	t	
Specimen	Diameter (in.)	4.	.01	4.01		
Specimen	Height (in.)	1.0	0000	0.9955		
Wt. Comp	. Soil + Mold (gm.)	63	5.8	649.5		
Wt. of Mo	ld (gm.)	20	9.4	209.4		
Specific G	Gravity (Assumed)	2.	.70	2.70		
Container	No.		5	5		
Wet Wt. c	of Soil + Cont. (gm.)	45	9.8	649.5		
Dry Wt. of	f Soil + Cont. (gm.)	43	57.6	394.8		
Wt. of Co	ntainer (gm.)	15	9.8	209.4		
Moisture (Content (%)	8	3.0	11.5		
Wet Dens	ity (pcf)	12	8.6	133.4		
Dry Densi	ty (pcf)	11	9.1	119.6		
Void Ratio)	0.4	416	0.409		
Total Porc	osity	0.2	294	0.290		
Pore Volu	me (cc)	6	0.8	59.8		
Degree of	Saturation (%) [S meas]	52	2.0	75.7		

SPECIMEN INUNDATION in distilled water for the period of 24 h or expansion rate < 0.0002 in./h.

Date	Time	Pressure (psi)	Elapsed Time (min.)	Dial Readings (in.)
7/20/17	11:45	1.0	0	0.5000
7/20/17	11:55	1.0	10	0.5000
	Ad	d Distilled Water to the S	pecimen	<u>-</u>
7/21/17	8:30	1.0	1235	0.4955
7/21/17	9:30	1.0	1295	0.4955

Expansion Index (EI meas) =	((Final Rdg - Initial Rdg) / Initial Thick.) x 1000	-4.5
Expansion Index (Report) =	Nearest Whole Number or Zero (0) if Initial Height is > than Final Height	0



LL,PL,PI

MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST ASTM D 1557

Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identification:	Santa Monica 11428.007 LB-1 BB-1 Brown silty sa Note: Correct	High School 	calculation as	Tested By: Input By: Depth (ft.):	O. Figueroa J. Ward 0-5 fic gravity of 2.	Date: Date: .70 and mo	07/06/17 07/07/17
	content of 1.0	<u>)% for oversiz</u>	e particles		_		
Preparation Mothod:	X Moist		Scalp Fra	ction (%)	Rammer W	eight (lb.)	= 10.0
Compaction	Dry X Mechai	nical Ram	#3/4		Height of D	rop (in.)	= 18.0
Method	Manua	Ram	#4	4.6	Mold Volu	me (ft³)	0.03330
TEST	NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Wt. Compacted S	ioil + Mold (g)	3780	4002	3990			-
Weight of Mold	(g)	1864	1864	1864			
Net Weight of So	il (g)	1916	2138	2126			
Wet Weight of So	oil + Cont. (g)	324.6	441.0	466.4			
Dry Weight of So	il + Cont. (g)	311.6	414.3	428.6			
Weight of Contain	ner (g)	38.7	39.7	39.1			
Moisture Content	(%)	4.76	7.13	9.70			
Wet Density	(pcf)	126.8	141.5	140.7			
Dry Density	(pcf)	121.1	132.1	128.3			
Dry Density Maximum Dry I	(pcf)	121.1 132.5	132.1	128.3	Moisture Con	tent (%)	8.0
Dry Density Maximum Dry I Corrected Dry I	(pcf) Density (pcf) Density (pcf)	121.1 132.5 134.0	<u>132.1</u>	128.3 Optimum I Corrected	Moisture Con Moisture Cor	tent (%) itent (%)	8.0 7.5
Dry Density Maximum Dry I Corrected Dry I X Procedure A Soil Passing No. 4 (4.75 Mold : 4 in. (101.6 mm Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 25 (th May be used if +#4 is 2 Procedure B Soil Passing 3/8 in. (9.5 Mold : 4 in. (101.6 mm Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 25 (th Use if +#4 is >20% and 20% or less Procedure C Soil Passing 3/4 in. (19.0 Mold : 6 in. (152.4 mm Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 56 (fi) Use if +3/8 in. is >20% is <30% Darticle Size District	(pcf) Density (pcf) Density (pcf) Density (pcf) mm) Sieve) diameter wenty-five) 0% or less mm) Sieve) diameter wenty-five) 1 + 3/8 in. is 0 mm) Sieve 1 + 3/8 in. is 1 + 3/8 i	121.1 132.5 134.0 135.0 130.0 125.0 120.0		128.3 Optimum I Corrected	Moisture Con Moisture Con SP. SP. SP.	tent (%) tent (%) GR. = 2.65 GR. = 2.70 GR. = 2.75	8.0 7.5

Moisture Content (%)



LL,PL,PI

MODIFIED PROCTOR COMPACTION TEST

ASTM D 1557

Project Name: Project No.: Boring No.: Sample No.: Soil Identification:	Santa Monica H 11428.007 LB-5 BB-1 Dark brown silty	igh School y sand (SM)		Tested By: Input By: Depth (ft.):	O. Figueroa J. Ward 0-5	Date: Date:	07/06/17 07/07/17
Preparation Method:	X	Moist			X	Mechanica	l Ram
		Dry				Manual Ra	m
	Mold Volu	me (ft ³)	0.03330	Ram V	Veight = 10 lb.	.; Drop =	= 18 in.
TEST N	NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Wt. Compacted S	oil + Mold (g)	3915	4036	3964			
Weight of Mold	(g)	1864	1864	1864			
Net Weight of Soi	l (g)	2051	2172	2100			
Wet Weight of So	il + Cont. (g)	449.7	456.2	473.8			
Dry Weight of Soi	I + Cont. (g)	427.0	424.0	431.0			
Weight of Contain	ner (g)	39.5	39.1	39.3			
Moisture Content	(%)	5.86	8.37	10.93			
Wet Density	(pcf)	135.8	143.8	139.0			
Dry Density	(pcf)	128.3	132.7	125.3			
Max	imum Dry Den	sity (pcf)	133.0	Optimum	Moisture Co	ntent (%) 8.0
PROCEDURE US	SED 13	5.0			N	- I - I	
Procedure A Soil Passing No. 4 (4.75) Mold : 4 in. (101.6 mm) Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 25 (tw) May be used if +#4 is 20	mm) Sieve) diameter venty-five) 1% or less 13	0.0			SP. SP.	. GR. = 2.65 . GR. = 2.70 . GR. = 2.75 . GR. = 2.75	
Soil Passing 3/8 in. (9.5 i Mold : 4 in. (101.6 mm Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 25 (tw Use if +#4 is >20% and 20% or less	mm) Sieve) diameter venty-five) +3/8 in. is	5.0					
Procedure C Soil Passing 3/4 in. (19.0 Mold : 6 in. (152.4 mm) Layers : 5 (Five) Blows per layer : 56 (fif Use if +3/8 in. is >20% is <30%	rmm) Sieve) diameter (ty-six) 12 and +¾ in.	0.0					
Particle-Size Distr GR:SA:FI Atterberg Limits:	ribution:] 11	5.0 0.0	5.0		10.0	15.0	20.

Moisture Content (%)



R-VALUE TEST RESULTS

DOT CA Test 301

PROJECT NAME:	Santa Monica High School	PROJECT NUMBER:	11428.007
BORING NUMBER:	<u>LB-1</u>	DEPTH (FT.):	0-5
SAMPLE NUMBER:	BB-1	TECHNICIAN:	M. Vinet
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:	Brown silty sand (SM)	DATE COMPLETED:	7/20/2017

TEST SPECIMEN	а	b	с
MOISTURE AT COMPACTION %	9.3	10.3	11.3
HEIGHT OF SAMPLE, Inches	2.48	2.65	2.58
DRY DENSITY, pcf	129.0	126.5	123.7
COMPACTOR PRESSURE, psi	250	125	75
EXUDATION PRESSURE, psi	442	312	143
EXPANSION, Inches x 10exp-4	0	0	0
STABILITY Ph 2,000 lbs (160 psi)	79	99	107
TURNS DISPLACEMENT	4.47	4.75	4.92
R-VALUE UNCORRECTED	36	24	20
R-VALUE CORRECTED	36	26	21

DESIGN CALCULATION DATA	а	b	с
GRAVEL EQUIVALENT FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0
TRAFFIC INDEX	5.0	5.0	5.0
STABILOMETER THICKNESS, ft.	1.02	1.18	1.26
EXPANSION PRESSURE THICKNESS, ft.	0.00	0.00	0.00



R-VALUE BY EXPANSION:	N/A
R-VALUE BY EXUDATION:	25
EQUILIBRIUM R-VALUE:	25





R-VALUE TEST RESULTS

DOT CA Test 301

PROJECT NAME:	Santa Monica High School	PROJECT NUMBER:	11428.007
BORING NUMBER:	LB-5	DEPTH (FT.):	0-5
SAMPLE NUMBER:	BB-1	TECHNICIAN:	M. Vinet
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:	Dark brown silty sand (SM)	DATE COMPLETED:	7/20/2017

TEST SPECIMEN	а	b	с
MOISTURE AT COMPACTION %	8.0	8.5	9.0
HEIGHT OF SAMPLE, Inches	2.45	2.49	2.51
DRY DENSITY, pcf	131.2	128.2	129.0
COMPACTOR PRESSURE, psi	350	350	250
EXUDATION PRESSURE, psi	543	348	239
EXPANSION, Inches x 10exp-4	0	0	0
STABILITY Ph 2,000 lbs (160 psi)	32	45	56
TURNS DISPLACEMENT	4.18	4.45	4.75
R-VALUE UNCORRECTED	71	59	49
R-VALUE CORRECTED	71	59	49

DESIGN CALCULATION DATA	а	b	с
GRAVEL EQUIVALENT FACTOR	1.0	1.0	1.0
TRAFFIC INDEX	5.0	5.0	5.0
STABILOMETER THICKNESS, ft.	0.46	0.66	0.82
EXPANSION PRESSURE THICKNESS, ft.	0.00	0.00	0.00



R-VALUE BY EXPANSION:	N/A
R-VALUE BY EXUDATION:	55
EQUILIBRIUM R-VALUE:	55





PARTICLE-SIZE DISTRIBUTION (GRADATION) of SOILS USING SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM D 6913

Project Name:	Santa Monica High School/Tennis Court	Tested By:	S. Felter	Date:	08/07/17
Project No.:	<u>11428.008</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	08/23/17
Boring No.:	<u>PB-1</u>	Depth (feet):	5.0		_
Sample No.:	<u>R1</u>				
Soil Identification:	Reddish brown poorly-graded sand (SP)				

		Moisture Content of Total Air - Dry Soil	
Container No.:	PH	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0
Wt. of Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	860.3	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	202.7	Wt. of Container No (g)	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	657.6	Moisture Content (%)	0.0

	Container No.	PH
After Wet Sieve	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	837.6
Alter wet Sieve	Wt. of Container (g)	202.7
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	634.9

U.S.Sieve	e Size	Cumulative Weight	Percent Passing (%)
(in.)	(mm.)	Dry Soil Retained (g)	Tercent Tassing (70)
3"	75.0		
1 1/2"	37.5		
3/4"	19.0		
3/8"	9.5		
#4	4.75		
#8	2.36		
#16	1.18	0.0	100.0
#30	0.600	18.2	97.2
#50	0.300	494.4	24.8
#100	0.150	613.1	6.8
#200	0.075	633.1	3.7
PAN			

GRAVEL:	0 %		
SAND:	96 %		
FINES:	4 %		
GROUP SYMBOL:	SP	Cu = D60/D10 =	2.33
		$Cc = (D30)^2/(D60*D10) =$	1.35





PARTICLE-SIZE DISTRIBUTION (GRADATION) of SOILS USING SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM D 6913

Project Name:	Santa Monica High School/Tennis Court	Tested By:	S. Felter	Date:	08/07/17	
Project No.:	<u>11428.008</u>	Checked By:	J. Ward	Date:	08/23/17	
Boring No.:	<u>PB-1</u>	Depth (feet):	8.5		_	
Sample No.:	<u>R3</u>					
Soil Identification:	Olive brown poorly-graded sand with silt	<u>(SP-SM)</u>				

		Moisture Content of Total Air - Dry Soil	
Container No.:	DR	Wt. of Air-Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0
Wt. of Air-Dried Soil + Cont.(g)	843.9	Wt. of Dry Soil + Cont. (g)	0.0
Wt. of Container (g)	218.3	Wt. of Container No (g)	1.0
Dry Wt. of Soil (g)	625.6	Moisture Content (%)	0.0

	Container No.	DR
After Wet Sieve	Wt. of Dry Soil + Container (g)	816.3
Alter wet Sieve	Wt. of Container (g)	218.3
	Dry Wt. of Soil Retained on # 200 Sieve (g)	598.0

U.S.Sieve	e Size	Cumulative Weight	Percent Passing (%)	
(in.)	(mm.)	Dry Soil Retained (g)		
3"	75.0			
1 1/2"	37.5			
3/4"	19.0			
3/8"	9.5			
#4	4.75			
#8	2.36			
#16	1.18	0.0	100.0	
#30	0.600	71.5	88.6	
#50	0.300	457.5	26.9	
#100	0.150	577.5	7.7	
#200	0.075	595.9	4.7	
PAN				

GRAVEL:	0 %		
SAND:	95 %		
FINES:	5 %		
GROUP SYMBOL:	SP-SM	Cu = D60/D10 =	2.53
		$Cc = (D30)^2/(D60*D10) =$	1.31



APPENDIX C

Seismicity Data



USGS Design Maps Detailed Report

ASCE 7-10 Standard (34.01272°N, 118.48679°W)

Site Class D – "Stiff Soil", Risk Category IV (e.g. essential facilities)

Section 11.4.1 — Mapped Acceleration Parameters

Note: Ground motion values provided below are for the direction of maximum horizontal spectral response acceleration. They have been converted from corresponding geometric mean ground motions computed by the USGS by applying factors of 1.1 (to obtain S_s) and 1.3 (to obtain S_1). Maps in the 2010 ASCE-7 Standard are provided for Site Class B. Adjustments for other Site Classes are made, as needed, in Section 11.4.3.

$S_{s} = 1.980 \text{ g}$
S ₁ = 0.735 g

Section 11.4.2 — Site Class

The authority having jurisdiction (not the USGS), site-specific geotechnical data, and/or the default has classified the site as Site Class D, based on the site soil properties in accordance with Chapter 20.

Site Class	- Vs		- Su	
A. Hard Rock	>5,000 ft/s	N/A	N/A	
B. Rock	2,500 to 5,000 ft/s	N/A	N/A	
C. Very dense soil and soft rock	1,200 to 2,500 ft/s	>50	>2,000 psf	
D. Stiff Soil	600 to 1,200 ft/s	15 to 50	1,000 to 2,000 psf	
E. Soft clay soil	<600 ft/s	<15	<1,000 psf	
	Any profile with more than Plasticity index PI > Moisture content w Undrained shear str 	10 ft of soil have 20, ≥ 40%, and rength \overline{s}_{u} < 500	ving the characteristics: psf	
F. Soils requiring site response analysis in accordance with Section	esponse See Section 20.3.1			

Table 20.3–1 Site Classification

21.1

For SI: 1ft/s = 0.3048 m/s 1lb/ft² = 0.0479 kN/m²

Section 11.4.3 — Site Coefficients and Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE_R) Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters

Site Class	Mapped MCE $_{\mbox{\tiny R}}$ Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter at Short Period				
	S₅ ≤ 0.25	$S_{s} = 0.50$	$S_{s} = 0.75$	$S_{s} = 1.00$	S _s ≥ 1.25
A	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
В	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
С	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0
D	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0
E	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.9
F	See Section 11.4.7 of ASCE 7				

Table 11.4–1: Site Coefficient $F_{\scriptscriptstyle \! a}$

Note: Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of S_s

For Site Class = D and S_s = 1.980 g, F_a = 1.000

Site Class	Mapped MCE $_{\rm R}$ Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter at 1–s Period				
	$S_1 \leq 0.10$	$S_1 = 0.20$	$S_1 = 0.30$	$S_1 = 0.40$	$S_1 \ge 0.50$
A	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
В	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
С	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3
D	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.5
E	3.5	3.2	2.8	2.4	2.4
F	See Section 11.4.7 of ASCE 7				

Table 11.4-2: Site Coefficient F_v

Note: Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of S₁

For Site Class = D and $S_1 = 0.735 \text{ g}, F_v = 1.500$

Equation (11.4–1): $S_{MS} = F_a S_S = 1.000 \times 1.980 = 1.980 g$

Equation (11.4–2):

 $S_{M1} = F_v S_1 = 1.500 \times 0.735 = 1.103 \text{ g}$

Section 11.4.4 — Design Spectral Acceleration Parameters

Equation (11.4–3): $S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3} S_{MS} = \frac{2}{3} \times 1.980 = 1.320 \text{ g}$

Equation (11.4-4):

 $S_{\text{D1}} = \frac{2}{3} S_{\text{M1}} = \frac{2}{3} \times 1.103 = 0.735 \text{ g}$

Section 11.4.5 — Design Response Spectrum

From Figure 22-12^[3]

 $T_L = 8$ seconds



Section 11.4.6 — Risk-Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE_R) Response Spectrum



The $MCE_{\scriptscriptstyle R}$ Response Spectrum is determined by multiplying the design response spectrum above by

Section 11.8.3 — Additional Geotechnical Investigation Report Requirements for Seismic Design Categories D through F

From Figure 22-7^[4]

PGA = 0.751

Equation (11.8–1):

 $PGA_{M} = F_{PGA}PGA = 1.000 \times 0.751 = 0.751 g$

Site	Маррес	Mapped MCE Geometric Mean Peak Ground Acceleration, PGA				
Class	PGA ≤ 0.10	PGA = 0.20	PGA = 0.30	PGA = 0.40	PGA ≥ 0.50	
А	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	
В	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
С	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	
D	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0	
E	2.5	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.9	
F		See Se	ction 11.4.7 of ,	ASCE 7		

Table 11.8–1: Site Coefficient F_{PGA}

Note: Use straight-line interpolation for intermediate values of PGA

For Site Class = D and PGA = 0.751 g, F_{PGA} = 1.000

Section 21.2.1.1 — Method 1 (from Chapter 21 – Site-Specific Ground Motion Procedures for Seismic Design)

From Figure 22-1

 $C_{RS} = 0.960$

From Figure 22-18^[6]

 $C_{R1} = 0.957$

Section 11.6 — Seismic Design Category

	RISK CATEGORY			
	I or II	III	IV	
S _{DS} < 0.167g	А	A	A	
$0.167g \le S_{DS} < 0.33g$	В	В	С	
$0.33g \le S_{DS} < 0.50g$	С	С	D	
0.50g ≤ S _{ps}	D	D	D	

Table 11 6-1 Seismis D	osian Catagony Par	od on Short Bor	ind Doceance Ac	coloration Paramotor
Table 11.0-1 Seismic D	esign Category Bas	ieu on Short Per	TOU Response AC	celeration parameter

For Risk Category = IV and S_{DS} = 1.320 g, Seismic Design Category = D

Table 11.6-2 Seismic Design	Category Based	on 1-S Period Response	Acceleration Parameter
	earege. J = acea		

	RISK CATEGORY			
VALUE OF SD1	I or II	III	IV	
S _{D1} < 0.067g	A	А	A	
0.067g ≤ S _{D1} < 0.133g	В	В	С	
$0.133g \le S_{D1} < 0.20g$	С	С	D	
0.20g ≤ S _{D1}	D	D	D	

For Risk Category = IV and $S_{D1} = 0.735$ g, Seismic Design Category = D

Note: When S_1 is greater than or equal to 0.75g, the Seismic Design Category is **E** for buildings in Risk Categories I, II, and III, and **F** for those in Risk Category IV, irrespective of the above.

Seismic Design Category \equiv "the more severe design category in accordance with Table 11.6-1 or 11.6-2" = D

Note: See Section 11.6 for alternative approaches to calculating Seismic Design Category,

References

1. Figure 22-1:

https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-1.pdf 2. *Figure 22-2*:

https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-2.pdf 3. *Figure 22-12*:

- https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-12.pdf 4. *Figure 22-7*:
- https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-7.pdf 5. *Figure 22-17*:
- https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-17.pdf 6. *Figure 22-18*:

https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/downloads/pdfs/2010_ASCE-7_Figure_22-18.pdf

ESTIMATION OF PEAK ACCELERATION FROM CALIFORNIA EARTHQUAKE CATALOGS

JOB NUMBER: 11428.007

DATE: 07-27-2017

JOB NAME: Phase I and Phase II

EARTHQUAKE-CATALOG-FILE NAME: ALLQUAKE.DAT

MAGNITUDE RANGE: MINIMUM MAGNITUDE: 4.00 MAXIMUM MAGNITUDE: 9.00

SITE COORDINATES: SITE LATITUDE: 34.0127 SITE LONGITUDE: 118.4868

SEARCH DATES: START DATE: 1800 END DATE: 2016

SEARCH RADIUS: 100.0 mi 160.9 km

ATTENUATION RELATION: 14) Campbell & Bozorgnia (1997 Rev.) - Alluvium UNCERTAINTY (M=Median, S=Sigma): M Number of Sigmas: 0.0 ASSUMED SOURCE TYPE: DS [SS=Strike-slip, DS=Reverse-slip, BT=Blind-thrust] SCOND: 0 Depth Source: A Basement Depth: 5.00 km Campbell SSR: 0 Campbell SHR: 0 COMPUTE PEAK HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION

MINIMUM DEPTH VALUE (km): 3.0

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 1

| | TIME | | SITE SITE APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |34.0000|118.5000|11/08/1914|1140 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.176 |VIII| 1.2(1.9) MGI |34.0000|118.5000|03/08/1918|1230 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.122 | VII| 1.2(1.9) DMG |34.0000|118.5000|06/22/1920| 248 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.231 | IX | 1.2(1.9) MGI |34.0000|118.5000|06/23/1920|1220 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.122 | VII| 1.2(1.9) DMG |34.0000|118.5000|08/04/1927|1224 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.246 | IX | 1.2(1.9) MGI |34.0000|118.5000|11/19/1918|2018 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.246 | IX | 1.2(1.9) DMG |34.0000|118.5000|03/06/1918|1820 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.122 | VII| 1.2(1.9) DMG |34.0000|118.4170|12/07/1938| 338 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.085 | VII| 4.1(6.6) MGI |34.0000|118.4000|02/07/1927| 429 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.116 | VII| 5.0(8.1) MGI |34.0000|118.4000|01/29/1927|2324 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.073 | VII| 5.0(8.1) MGI |34.0000|118.4000|10/01/1930| 040 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.116 | VII| 5.0(8.1) MGI |34.0000|118.4000|02/22/1920|1610 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.116 | VII| 5.0(8.1) GSG |34.0958|118.4912|06/02/2014|023643.9| 4.4| 4.16| 0.074 | VII| 5.7(9.2) GSP |34.0590|118.3870|09/09/2001|235918.0| 4.0| 4.20| 0.068 | VI | 6.5(10.5) DMG |33.9030|118.4310|11/29/1938|192115.8| 10.0| 4.00| 0.046 | VI | 8.2(13.2) GSG |34.1347|118.4858|03/17/2014|132536.9| 9.9| 4.39| 0.061 | VI | 8.4(13.5) DMG |33.9500|118.6320|08/31/1930| 04036.0| 0.0| 5.20| 0.104 | VII| 9.4(15.1) GSP |33.9380|118.3360|05/18/2009|033936.3| 13.0| 4.70| 0.064 | VI | 10.1(16.2) PAS |33.9190|118.6270|01/19/1989| 65328.8| 11.9| 5.00| 0.078 | VII| 10.3(16.6) MGI |34.0000|118.3000|06/30/1920| 350 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.034 | V | 10.7(17.3) MGI |34.0000|118.3000|06/22/1920|2035 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.034 | V | 10.7(17.3) MGI |34.0000|118.3000|09/03/1905| 540 0.0| 0.0| 5.30| 0.096 | VII| 10.7(17.3) DMG |33.9830|118.3000|02/11/1940|192410.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.033 | V | 10.9(17.5) PAS |33.9330|118.6690|10/17/1979|205237.3| 5.5| 4.20| 0.036 | V | 11.8(19.0) PAS |33.9440|118.6810|01/01/1979|231438.9| 11.3| 5.00| 0.065 | VI | 12.1(19.4)

MGI |34.1000|118.3000|07/16/1920|2022 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.046 | VI | 12.3(19.7) MGI |34.1000|118.3000|07/16/1920|2130 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.046 | VI | 12.3(19.7) MGI |34.1000|118.3000|07/26/1920|1215 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.029 | V | 12.3(19.7) MGI |34.1000|118.3000|07/16/1920|2127 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.046 | VI | 12.3(19.7) DMG |33.8830|118.3170|03/11/1933|1457 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.054 | VI | 13.2(21.3) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|09/23/1827| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.056 | VI | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|03/26/1860| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.056 | VI | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|05/02/1856| 810 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.032 | V | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|01/17/1857| 1 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.032 | V | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|05/04/1857| 6 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.032 | V | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|03/21/1880|1425 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.032 | V | 13.6(21.8) T-A |34.0000|118.2500|01/10/1856| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.056 | VI | 13.6(21.8) MGI |34.0800|118.2600|07/16/1920|18 8 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.055 | VI | 13.8(22.2) GSP |33.9220|118.2700|10/28/2001|162745.6| 21.0| 4.00| 0.025 | V | 13.9(22.4) GSP |34.2150|118.5100|01/19/1994|140914.8| 17.0| 4.50| 0.036 | V | 14.0(22.6) GSP |34.2130|118.5370|01/17/1994|123055.4| 18.0| 6.70| 0.196 |VIII| 14.1(22.7) MGI |33.8000|118.5000|06/18/1915|15 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.023 | IV | 14.7(23.7) GSP |34.2310|118.4750|03/20/1994|212012.3| 13.0| 5.30| 0.063 | VI | 15.1(24.3) GSP |34.2280|118.5730|01/17/1994|175608.2| 19.0| 4.60| 0.034 | V | 15.7(25.2) GSP |34.2180|118.6070|01/18/1994|113509.9| 12.0| 4.20| 0.024 | V | 15.7(25.3) GSP |34.2450|118.4710|01/18/1994|155144.9| 12.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.1(25.8) DMG |33.7830|118.4170|10/14/1940|2051111.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.4(26.3) DMG |33.7830|118.4170|11/01/1940| 725 3.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.4(26.3) DMG |33.7830|118.4170|10/12/1940| 024 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.4(26.3) DMG |33.7830|118.4170|11/02/1940| 25826.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.4(26.3) MGI |34.0000|118.2000|06/26/1917|2115 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.032 | V | 16.4(26.4) MGI |34.0000|118.2000|02/13/1917|13 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.032 | V | 16.4(26.4) MGI |34.0000|118.2000|06/26/1917|2130 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.032 | V | 16.4(26.4)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 2

_____ | TIME | | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] MGI |34.0000|118.2000|06/26/1917|2120 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.032 | V | 16.4(26.4) MGI |34.0000|118.2000|06/26/1917| 424 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.020 | IV | 16.4(26.4) DMG |33.7700|118.4800|04/24/1931|182754.8| 0.0| 4.40| 0.026 | V | 16.8(27.0) DMG |33.8500|118.2670|03/11/1933| 629 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.026 | V | 16.9(27.1) DMG |33.8500|118.2670|03/11/1933|1425 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.042 | VI | 16.9(27.1) DMG |33.9390|118.2050|01/11/1950|214135.0| 0.4| 4.10| 0.021 | IV | 16.9(27.2) GSP |34.2540|118.5450|01/17/1994|130627.9| 0.0| 4.60| 0.031 | V | 17.0(27.3) DMG |33.7670|118.4500|10/11/1940| 55712.3| 0.0| 4.70| 0.033 | V | 17.1(27.5) GSP |34.2610|118.5340|01/17/1994|123939.8| 14.0| 4.50| 0.027 | V | 17.3(27.9) MGI |34.1000|118.2000|05/02/1916|1432 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.018 | IV | 17.5(28.1) MGI |34.1000|118.2000|01/27/1860| 830 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.023 | IV | 17.5(28.1) MGI |34.1000|118.2000|04/21/1921|1538 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.018 | IV | 17.5(28.1)

GSP |34.0200|118.1800|06/12/1989|172225.5| 16.0| 4.10| 0.020 | IV | 17.6(28.3) GSP |34.0300|118.1800|06/12/1989|165718.4| 16.0| 4.40| 0.025 | V | 17.6(28.3) DMG |34.2680|118.4450|08/30/1964|225737.1| 15.4| 4.00| 0.018 | IV | 17.8(28.6) DMG |34.2730|118.5320|06/21/1971|16 1 8.5| 4.1| 4.00| 0.017 | IV | 18.2(29.2) DMG |34.2650|118.5770|04/15/1971|111432.0| 4.2| 4.20| 0.020 | IV | 18.2(29.2) DMG |33.8000|118.3000|11/03/1931|16 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.017 | IV | 18.2(29.2) MGI |33.8000|118.3000|12/31/1928|1045 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.017 | IV | 18.2(29.2) MGI |33.9000|118.2000|10/08/1927|1914 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.028 | V | 18.2(29.2) GSP |34.2690|118.5760|01/17/1994|125546.8| 16.0| 4.10| 0.018 | IV | 18.4(29.6) DMG |33.8670|118.2170|06/19/1944| 3 6 7.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.023 | IV | 18.4(29.7) DMG |33.8670|118.2170|06/19/1944| 0 333.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.025 | V | 18.4(29.7) GSP |34.2740|118.5630|01/27/1994|171958.8| 14.0| 4.60| 0.027 | V | 18.6(29.9) DMG |34.2840|118.5280|04/02/1971| 54025.0| 3.0| 4.00| 0.016 | IV | 18.9(30.4) DMG |34.1000|118.8000|05/10/1911|1340 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.016 | IV | 18.9(30.4) DMG |34.2860|118.5150|03/31/1971|145222.5| 2.1| 4.60| 0.026 | V | 18.9(30.5) GSP |34.2870|118.4660|01/19/1994|071406.2| 11.0| 4.00| 0.016 | IV | 19.0(30.5) GSP |34.2910|118.4760|02/06/1994|131926.9| 11.0| 4.10| 0.017 | IV | 19.2(30.9) DMG |33.8670|118.2000|11/13/1933|2128 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.016 | IV | 19.3(31.0) GSP |34.2840|118.4040|01/14/2001|022614.1| 8.0| 4.30| 0.020 | IV | 19.3(31.1) GSP |34.2920|118.4660|01/19/1994|144635.2| 6.0| 4.00| 0.016 | IV | 19.3(31.1) DMG |34.2960|118.4640|03/30/1971| 85443.3| 2.6| 4.10| 0.017 | IV | 19.6(31.5) GSP |34.2780|118.6110|01/29/1994|121656.4| 2.0| 4.30| 0.020 | IV | 19.6(31.6) GSP |34.2890|118.4030|01/14/2001|025053.7| 8.0| 4.00| 0.015 | IV | 19.7(31.6) GSP |34.2970|118.4580|01/21/1994|185344.6| 7.0| 4.30| 0.020 | IV | 19.7(31.7) GSB |34.3000|118.4660|01/21/1994|183915.3| 10.0| 4.70| 0.027 | V | 19.9(32.0) GSP |34.2990|118.4390|02/03/1994|162335.4| 8.0| 4.20| 0.018 | IV | 20.0(32.1) GSP |34.3010|118.4520|01/21/1994|185244.2| 7.0| 4.30| 0.019 | IV | 20.0(32.2) GSB |34.2990|118.4280|01/23/1994|085508.7| 6.0| 4.20| 0.018 | IV | 20.0(32.3) GSP |34.3040|118.4730|01/17/1994|150703.2| 2.0| 4.20| 0.018 | IV | 20.1(32.4) GSP |34.2930|118.3890|12/06/1994|034834.5| 9.0| 4.50| 0.022 | IV | 20.1(32.4) GSB |34.2850|118.6240|01/17/1994|135602.4| 19.0| 4.70| 0.026 | V | 20.4(32.8) GSB |34.3010|118.5650|01/17/1994|204602.4| 9.0| 5.20| 0.039 | V | 20.4(32.8) DMG |34.3080|118.4540|02/09/1971|144346.7| 6.2| 5.20| 0.038 | V | 20.5(32.9) DMG |33.8170|118.2170|10/22/1941| 65718.5| 0.0| 4.90| 0.030 | V | 20.5(33.0) GSB |34.3100|118.4740|01/21/1994|184228.8| 7.0| 4.20| 0.017 | IV | 20.5(33.0) GSP |34.3110|118.4560|01/17/1994|193534.3| 2.0| 4.00| 0.014 | IV | 20.7(33.3) DMG |33.9500|118.1330|10/25/1933| 7 046.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.018 | IV | 20.7(33.3) GSP |34.3050|118.5790|01/29/1994|112036.0| 1.0| 5.10| 0.035 | V | 20.9(33.6) DMG |34.3000|118.6000|04/04/1893|1940 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.072 | VI | 20.9(33.6) DMG |33.7830|118.2500|11/14/1941| 84136.3| 0.0| 5.40| 0.044 | VI | 20.9(33.6) GSP |34.3170|118.4550|01/17/1994|132644.7| 2.0| 4.70| 0.025 | V | 21.1(33.9)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 3

 |
 |
 ITIME |
 |
 SITE |SITE | APPROX.

 FILE |
 LAT. |
 LONG. |
 DATE |
 (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE |
 ACC. |
 MM |
 DISTANCE

 CODE |
 NORTH |
 WEST |
 |
 H
 M Sec | (km) |
 MAG. |
 g
 INT. |
 mi [km]

T-A |34.1700|118.1700|03/07/1888|1554 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.018 | IV | 21.1(34.0) GSP |34,3110|118,3980|06/15/1994|055948.6| 7.0| 4.20| 0.016 | IV | 21.2(34.1) GSP |34.3000|118.6200|08/09/2007|075849.0| 4.0| 4.40| 0.019 | IV | 21.2(34.2) GSP |34.3120|118.3930|05/25/1994|125657.1| 7.0| 4.40| 0.019 | IV | 21.3(34.3) GSB |34.3190|118.5580|01/18/1994|132444.1| 1.0| 4.50| 0.020 | IV | 21.5(34.6) DMG |33.7590|118.2530|08/31/1938| 31814.2| 10.0| 4.50| 0.020 | IV | 22.1(35.5) GSP |34.3310|118.4420|01/17/1994|141430.3| 1.0| 4.50| 0.020 | IV | 22.1(35.6) GSG |34.3340|118.4840|01/17/1994|223152.1| 10.0| 4.20| 0.015 | IV | 22.2(35.7) PAS |34.1490|118.1350|12/03/1988|113826.4| 13.3| 4.90| 0.027 | V | 22.2(35.7) PAS |34.0490|118.1010|10/01/1987|144541.5| 13.6| 4.70| 0.023 | IV | 22.2(35.7) PAS |34.0600|118.1000|10/01/1987|1449 5.9| 11.7| 4.70| 0.023 | IV | 22.4(36.0) GSP |34.3390|118.4750|09/01/2011|204708.0| 7.0| 4.20| 0.015 | IV | 22.5(36.3) PAS |34.0730|118.0980|10/04/1987|105938.2| 8.2| 5.30| 0.036 | V | 22.6(36.4) DMG |33.7830|118.2000|12/27/1939|192849.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.022 | IV | 22.8(36.7) PAS |34.0520|118.0900|10/01/1987|151231.8| 10.8| 4.70| 0.022 | IV | 22.9(36.8) MGI |34.1000|118.1000|07/11/1855| 415 0.0| 0.0| 6.30| 0.080 | VII| 22.9(36.9) GSP |34.0690|118.8820|05/02/2009|011113.7| 14.0| 4.40| 0.017 | IV | 22.9(36.9) PAS |34.0500|118.0870|10/01/1987|155953.5| 10.4| 4.00| 0.012 | III| 23.0(37.0) PAS |34.0760|118.0900|10/01/1987|1448 3.1| 11.7| 4.10| 0.013 | III| 23.1(37.2) GSP |33.9920|118.0820|03/16/2010|110400.2| 18.0| 4.40| 0.017 | IV | 23.2(37.4) GSB |34.3450|118.5520|01/24/1994|041518.8| 6.0| 4.80| 0.023 | IV | 23.2(37.4) GSB |34.3330|118.6230|01/18/1994|072356.0| 14.0| 4.30| 0.015 | IV | 23.4(37.7) DMG |33.9000|118.1000|07/08/1929|1646 6.7| 13.0| 4.70| 0.021 | IV | 23.5(37.8) DMG |34.3530|118.4560|03/07/1971| 13340.5| 3.3| 4.50| 0.018 | IV | 23.6(37.9) PAS |34.0610|118.0790|10/01/1987|144220.0| 9.5| 5.90| 0.056 | VI | 23.6(37.9) DMG |34.3560|118.4740|03/25/1971|2254 9.9| 4.6| 4.20| 0.014 | IV | 23.7(38.2) GSP |34,3570|118,4800|02/25/1994|125912.6| 1.0| 4.10| 0.013 | III| 23.8(38.3) DMG |34.3350|118.3310|02/09/1971|155820.7| 14.2| 4.80| 0.022 | IV | 24.0(38.6) DMG |34.3610|118.4870|02/10/1971|143526.7| 4.4| 4.20| 0.014 | IV | 24.0(38.7) GSG |34.3040|118.7220|01/17/1994|221922.3| 10.0| 4.00| 0.012 | III| 24.2(38.9) DMG |34.3390|118.3320|02/09/1971|141612.9| 11.1| 4.10| 0.013 | III| 24.2(38.9) DMG |34.3570|118.4060|02/09/1971|141950.2| 11.8| 4.00| 0.012 | III| 24.2(39.0) DMG |34.3440|118.6360|02/09/1971|143436.1| -2.0| 4.90| 0.024 | IV | 24.4(39.3) GSB |34.3600|118.5710|01/19/1994|044048.0| 2.0| 4.50| 0.017 | IV | 24.5(39.4) DMG |33.6630|118.4130|01/08/1967| 738 5.3| 17.7| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 24.5(39.4) GSP |34.0490|118.9150|02/19/1995|212418.1| 15.0| 4.30| 0.014 | IV | 24.6(39.6) GSP |34.3040|118.7370|01/19/1994|091310.9| 13.0| 4.10| 0.012 | III| 24.7(39.7) GSP |34.3260|118.6980|01/17/1994|233330.7| 9.0| 5.60| 0.041 | V | 24.8(39.9) GSP |34.3740|118.4950|01/28/1994|200953.4| 0.0| 4.20| 0.013 | III| 24.9(40.1) GSB |34.3430|118.6660|01/17/1994|234925.4| 8.0| 4.30| 0.014 | IV | 25.0(40.2) PAS |34.3470|118.6560|04/08/1976|152138.1| 14.5| 4.60| 0.018 | IV | 25.0(40.3) GSB |34.3580|118.6220|01/18/1994|040126.8| 1.0| 4.50| 0.017 | IV | 25.1(40.3) DMG |33.7500|118.1830|08/04/1933| 41748.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.1(40.5) DMG |33.9670|118.0500|01/30/1941| 13446.9| 0.0| 4.10| 0.012 | III| 25.2(40.6) GSP |34.3620|118.6150|03/20/1996|073759.8| 13.0| 4.10| 0.012 | III| 25.2(40.6) GSP |34.3590|118.6290|01/24/1994|055024.3| 12.0| 4.30| 0.014 | IV | 25.2(40.6) GSG |33.6583|118.3722|05/15/2013|200006.2| 1.2| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.3(40.8) GSG |33.6580|118.3720|05/15/2013|200006.2| 1.2| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.4(40.8) PAS |34.3800|118.4590|08/12/1977| 21926.1| 9.5| 4.50| 0.016 | IV | 25.4(40.9) GSP |34.3630|118.6270|01/24/1994|055421.1| 10.0| 4.20| 0.013 | III| 25.5(41.0) PAS |34.0770|118.0470|02/11/1988|152555.7| 12.5| 4.70| 0.019 | IV | 25.5(41.1) GSP |34.3790|118.5610|01/18/1994|152346.9| 7.0| 4.80| 0.020 | IV | 25.6(41.3) GSP |34.3790|118.5630|01/18/1994|003935.0| 7.0| 4.40| 0.015 | IV | 25.7(41.3)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 4

| | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE | NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec | (km) | MAG. | g | INT. | mi [km] DMG |34.3840|118.4550|02/10/1971|113134.6| 6.0| 4.20| 0.013 | III| 25.7(41.4) DMG |33.7830|118.1330|10/02/1933| 91017.6| 0.0| 5.40| 0.033 | V | 25.7(41.4) DMG |33.7830|118.1330|11/20/1933|1032 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.7(41.4) DMG |33.7830|118.1330|01/13/1940| 749 7.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.7(41.4) DMG |33.7500|118.1670|05/16/1933|205855.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.011 | III| 25.8(41.5) GSP |34.3610|118.6570|01/29/2002|055328.9| 14.0| 4.20| 0.012 | III| 25.9(41.7) GSP |34.3680|118.6370|01/17/1994|194353.4| 13.0| 4.10| 0.011 | III| 26.0(41.8) GSP |34.3740|118.6220|01/17/1994|155410.8| 12.0| 4.80| 0.020 | IV | 26.1(42.0) DMG |34.3610|118.3060|02/09/1971|141021.5| 5.0| 4.70| 0.018 | IV | 26.2(42.1) DMG |33.6320|118.4670|01/08/1967| 73730.4| 11.4| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.3(42.3) GSP |34.3780|118.6180|01/19/1994|211144.9| 11.0| 5.10| 0.025 | V | 26.3(42.3) DMG |34.3920|118.4270|02/21/1971| 71511.7| 7.2| 4.50| 0.015 | IV | 26.4(42.5) DMG |34.3680|118.3140|04/25/1971|1448 6.5| -2.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.4(42.5) DMG |34.3800|118.6230|10/29/1936|223536.1| 10.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.5(42.7) GSP |34.3540|118.7040|05/01/1996|194956.4| 14.0| 4.10| 0.011 | III| 26.6(42.8) DMG |34.3970|118.4390|02/21/1971| 55052.6| 6.9| 4.70| 0.018 | IV | 26.7(42.9) DMG |34.3990|118.4730|03/09/1974| 05431.9| 24.4| 4.70| 0.018 | IV | 26.7(42.9) DMG |33.6330|118.4000|10/17/1934| 938 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.7(42.9) DMG |34.3870|118.3640|02/09/1971|143917.8| -1.6| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.8(43.1) GSP |34.3690|118.6720|04/26/1997|103730.7| 16.0| 5.10| 0.025 | V | 26.8(43.1) GSP |34.3770|118.6490|04/27/1997|110928.4| 15.0| 4.80| 0.019 | IV | 26.8(43.1) DMG |34.3700|118.3020|02/10/1971| 31212.0| 0.8| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 26.8(43.2) DMG |34.3990|118.4190|02/10/1971|134953.7| 9.7| 4.30| 0.013 | III| 26.9(43.4) DMG |33.7670|118.1170|11/04/1939|2141 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.1(43.7) DMG |33.7500|118.1330|03/11/1933|11 4 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.016 | IV | 27.2(43.8) DMG |34.3960|118.3660|02/10/1971|173855.1| 6.2| 4.20| 0.012 | III| 27.3(44.0) GSP |34.3650|118.7080|01/19/1994|044314.5| 12.0| 4.10| 0.011 | III| 27.4(44.1) GSP |34.3970|118.6090|07/22/1999|095724.0| 11.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.4(44.1) PAS |34.0540|118.9640|04/13/1982|11 212.2| 16.6| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.4(44.2) DMG |34.0170|118.9670|04/16/1948|222624.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.017 | IV | 27.5(44.2) GSG |34.4080|118.5590|01/17/1994|200205.4| 0.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.6(44.4) MGI |34.0000|118.0000|05/05/1929| 735 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) MGI |34.0000|118.0000|12/25/1903|1745 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.021 | IV | 27.9(44.9) MGI |34.0000|118.0000|05/05/1929| 1 7 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.015 | IV | 27.9(44.9) GSP |34.3770|118.6980|01/18/1994|004308.9| 11.0| 5.20| 0.025 | V | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 346.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 434.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.011 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|141028.0| 8.0| 5.30| 0.027 | V | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 1 8.0| 8.0| 5.80| 0.041 | V | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 325.0| 8.0| 4.40| 0.013 | III| 27.9(44.9)

DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 231.0| 8.0| 4.70| 0.017 | IV | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 446.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.011 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 550.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 150.0| 8.0| 4.50| 0.014 | IV | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 84.0| 8.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 444.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 710.0| 8.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 154.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.011 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 244.0| 8.0| 5.80| 0.041 | V | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 730.0| 8.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 23.0| 8.0| 4.00| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 745.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 745.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 745.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 745.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 745.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 5

| | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 439.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 041.8| 8.4| 6.40| 0.066 | VI | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 541.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 853.0| 8.0| 4.60| 0.015 | IV | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 230.0| 8.0| 4.30| 0.012 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 8 7.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.011 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 133.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.011 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 159.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 838.0| 8.0| 4.50| 0.014 | IV | 27.9(44.9) DMG |34.4110|118.4010|02/09/1971|14 140.0| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 27.9(44.9) GSP |34.3940|118.6690|06/26/1995|084028.9| 13.0| 5.00| 0.021 | IV | 28.3(45.5) GSB |34.3790|118.7110|01/19/1994|210928.6| 14.0| 5.50| 0.031 | V | 28.3(45.6) MGI |34.1000|118.0000|01/27/1930|2026 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.015 | IV | 28.5(45.8) PAS |34.0160|118.9880|10/26/1984|172043.5| 13.3| 4.60| 0.015 | IV | 28.7(46.2) DMG |34.4260|118.4140|02/10/1971| 518 7.2| 5.8| 4.50| 0.014 | III| 28.8(46.4) DMG |34.4110|118.3290|02/10/1971| 5 636.0| 4.7| 4.30| 0.012 | III| 28.9(46.6) DMG |34.4280|118.4130|04/01/1971|15 3 3.6| 8.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.0(46.6) DMG |33.9960|117.9750|06/15/1967| 458 5.5| 10.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.3(47.2) DMG |34.0000|119.0000|09/24/1827| 4 0 0.0| 0.0| 7.00| 0.096 | VII| 29.4(47.3) MGI |34.0000|119.0000|12/14/1912| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.70| 0.035 | V | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7330|118.1000|03/11/1933|1350 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.012 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7330|118.1000|03/11/1933|15 9 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.012 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7330|118.1000|03/11/1933|1447 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.012 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933|1653 0.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.017 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/23/1933|1831 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 210 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 258 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3)

DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 2 5 0.0 0.0 4.30 0.011 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 2 9 0.0 0.0 5.00 0.020 IV 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 311 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 618 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 3 9 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1547 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 553 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 323 0.0 0.0 5.00 0.020 IV 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1944 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 22 0 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 926 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 211 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/19/1933 2123 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 252 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 448 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1956 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 835 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 1651 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 2231 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 23 5 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 524 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/15/1933 432 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/15/1933 540 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 1738 0.0 0.0 4.50 0.013 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 911 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG	33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 555 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 6

| | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE | NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec | (km) | MAG. | g | INT. | mi [km] DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/12/1933|1825 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 635 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 2 4 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.018 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933|2240 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.012 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/23/1933| 840 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 3 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 227 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 513 0.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.016 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/16/1933|1530 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 230 0.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.022 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 259 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 837 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|04/02/1933|1536 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/12/1933| 616 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | IV | 29.4(47.3)

DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 740 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1025 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1045 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 11 0 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 339 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 611 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1129 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/21/1933 326 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 439 0.0 0.0 4.90 0.018 IV 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 222 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1147 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 515 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/15/1933 2 8 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)	
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 521 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/13/1933 1532 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 1357 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/31/1933 1049 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 257 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 15 2 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/16/1933 1529 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/16/1933 1456 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 6 1 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)	
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 347 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/18/1933 2052 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/17/1933 1651 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 216 0.0 0.0 4.80 0.017 IV 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 027 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 034 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/30/1933 1225 0.0 0.0 4.40 0.012 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/14/1933 2242 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 832 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 751 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 2354 0.0 0.0 4.50 0.013 III 29.4(47.3)
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 04/02/1933 8 0 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.009 III 29.4(47.3)	
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/11/1933 910 0.0 0.0 5.10 0.022 IV 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 04/01/1933 642 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/14/1933 1219 0.0 0.0 4.50 0.013 III 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/13/1933 432 0.0 0.0 4.70 0.016 IV 29.4(47.3))
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/14/1933 036 0.0 0.0 4.20 0.010 III 29.4(47.3))

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 7

TIME SITE SITE APPROX.
FILE LAT. LONG. DATE (UTC) DEPTH QUAKE ACC. MM DISTANCE
CODE NORTH WEST H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km]
++++++
DMG 33.7500 118.0830 03/12/1933 2128 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.010 III 29.4(47.3)

DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933|1141 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 436 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/13/1933| 617 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/13/1933|131828.0| 0.0| 5.30| 0.025 | V | 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933|2232 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/25/1933|1346 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/12/1933| 546 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.012 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 759 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 8 8 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.013 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/20/1933|1358 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/13/1933|1929 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 336 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933|1138 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.009 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/13/1933| 343 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.4(47.3) DMG |33.7500|118.0830|03/11/1933| 440 0.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.016 | IV | 29.4(47.3) DMG |34.4330|118.3980|02/09/1971|144017.4| -2.0| 4.10| 0.010 | III| 29.5(47.4) DMG |34.4310|118.3690|08/14/1974|144555.2| 8.2| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 29.6(47.7) DMG |34.4460|118.4360|02/10/1971|185441.7| 8.1| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 30.1(48.4) MGI |34.2000|118.0000|01/09/1921| 530 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.014 | III| 30.7(49.4) DMG |34.4570|118.4270|02/09/1971|161926.5| -1.0| 4.20| 0.010 | III| 30.9(49.7) DMG |33.6330|118.2000|11/01/1940|20 046.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.008 | III| 30.9(49.8) DMG |33.6300|118.2000|09/13/1929|132338.2| 0.0| 4.00| 0.008 | III| 31.1(50.1) PAS |34.4630|118.4090|09/24/1977|212824.3| 5.0| 4.20| 0.009 | III| 31.4(50.5) DMG |33.8000|118.0000|10/21/1913| 938 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.008 | III| 31.5(50.7) DMG |34.0650|119.0350|02/21/1973|144557.3| 8.0| 5.90| 0.037 | V | 31.6(50.8) DMG |33.7000|118.0670|07/20/1940| 4 113.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.008 | II | 32.3(52.0) DMG |33.7000|118.0670|03/11/1933| 85457.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.019 | IV | 32.3(52.0) DMG |33.7000|118.0670|03/11/1933| 51022.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.019 | IV | 32.3(52.0) DMG |33.7000|118.0670|02/08/1940|165617.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.008 | II | 32.3(52.0) GSP |34.2620|118.0020|06/28/1991|144354.5| 11.0| 5.40| 0.024 | IV | 32.6(52.5) DMG |34.4850|118.5210|07/16/1965| 74622.4| 15.1| 4.00| 0.008 | II | 32.7(52.6) DMG |33.9900|119.0580|05/29/1955|164335.4| 17.4| 4.10| 0.008 | III| 32.7(52.7) GSP |34.2500|117.9900|06/28/1991|170055.5| 9.0| 4.30| 0.010 | III| 32.8(52.7) GSG |33.9325|117.9172|03/29/2014|040942.3| 4.8| 5.10| 0.018 | IV | 33.1(53.2) DMG |33.7500|118.0000|11/16/1934|2126 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.007 | II | 33.3(53.6) DMG |33.5430|118.3400|09/14/1963| 35116.2| 2.2| 4.20| 0.009 | III| 33.5(53.9) DMG |33.6830|118.0500|03/11/1933|1250 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.010 | III| 33.8(54.5) DMG |33.6830|118.0500|03/11/1933| 658 3.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.024 | V | 33.8(54.5) GSP |34.5000|118.5600|07/05/1991|174157.1| 11.0| 4.10| 0.008 | II | 33.9(54.6) DMG |34.4170|118.8330|06/01/1946|11 631.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.008 | II | 34.2(55.0) GSG |33.9613|117.8923|03/29/2014|213245.9| 9.4| 4.14| 0.008 | III| 34.2(55.1) PAS |33.9650|117.8860|01/01/1976|172012.9| 6.2| 4.20| 0.008 | III| 34.5(55.6) DMG |33.6170|118.1170|01/20/1934|2117 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.011 | III| 34.6(55.7) DMG |34.2000|117.9000|08/28/1889| 215 0.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.022 | IV | 35.9(57.9) DMG |34.2000|117.9000|07/13/1935|105416.5| 0.0| 4.70| 0.012 | III| 35.9(57.9) DMG |33.6710|118.0120|10/20/1961|223534.2| 5.6| 4.10| 0.007 | II | 36.0(58.0) DMG |33.6800|117.9930|11/20/1961| 85334.7| 4.4| 4.00| 0.007 | II | 36.5(58.7) PAS |33.5380|118.2070|05/25/1982|134430.3| 13.7| 4.10| 0.007 | II | 36.5(58.7) MGI |33.8000|117.9000|05/22/1902| 740 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.008 | III| 36.7(59.0) DMG |34.5290|118.6440|02/07/1956| 21656.5| 16.0| 4.20| 0.008 | II | 36.8(59.1) T-A |34.4200|118.9200|03/29/1917| 8 6 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.008 | III| 37.4(60.3) DMG |33.6540|117.9940|10/20/1961|194950.5| 4.6| 4.30| 0.008 | III| 37.6(60.5)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 8

| | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |33.6170|118.0330|05/21/1938| 944 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 37.7(60.7) DMG |33.6650|117.9790|10/20/1961|214240.7| 7.2| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 37.7(60.7) DMG |33.6590|117.9810|10/20/1961|20 714.5| 6.1| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 37.9(61.0) DMG |33.5000|118.2500|06/18/1920|10 8 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.009 | III| 37.9(61.0) DMG |33.6170|118.0170|03/14/1933|19 150.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.015 | IV | 38.4(61.8) DMG |33.6170|118.0170|03/15/1933|111332.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.013 | III| 38.4(61.8) DMG |33.6170|118.0170|10/02/1933|1326 1.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 38.4(61.8) DMG |34.5190|118.1980|08/23/1952|10 9 7.1| 13.1| 5.00| 0.013 | III| 38.6(62.2) DMG |33.6000|118.0170|12/25/1935|1715 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.009 | III| 39.2(63.1) GSP |33.6920|119.0580|05/30/2012|051400.8| 16.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 39.5(63.6) PAS |33.9060|119.1660|05/23/1978| 91650.8| 6.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 39.6(63.7) DMG |33.5610|118.0580|01/15/1937|183547.0| 10.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 39.7(63.9) DMG |34.1000|117.8000|03/31/1931|2033 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 39.7(64.0) DMG |33.6000|118.0000|03/11/1933| 217 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.009 | III| 39.9(64.2) DMG |33.6000|118.0000|03/11/1933| 231 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.008 | III| 39.9(64.2) MGI |33.7000|117.9000|07/08/1902| 945 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 40.0(64.3) PAS |34.3780|119.0350|04/03/1985| 4 449.8| 27.9| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 40.2(64.7) DMG |34.5860|118.6130|02/07/1956| 31638.6| 2.6| 4.60| 0.009 | III | 40.2(64.7) PAS |33.6300|119.0200|10/23/1981|172816.9| 12.0| 4.60| 0.009 | III| 40.4(65.0) GSP |33.9090|117.7920|06/14/2012|031715.7| 9.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 40.4(65.1) DMG |33.6170|117.9670|03/11/1933| 154 7.8| 0.0| 6.30| 0.036 | V | 40.4(65.1) GSP |33.9050|117.7920|08/08/2012|062334.1| 10.0| 4.50| 0.008 | III| 40.5(65.1) GSP |33.9040|117.7910|08/08/2012|163322.1| 10.0| 4.50| 0.008 | III| 40.5(65.2) GSP |33.9070|117.7880|08/29/2012|203100.3| 9.0| 4.10| 0.006 | II | 40.7(65.5) DMG |33.5170|118.1000|03/22/1941| 82240.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.006 | II | 40.8(65.6) GSP |33.9170|117.7760|09/03/2002|070851.9| 12.0| 4.80| 0.010 | III| 41.2(66.4) PAS |33.6370|119.0560|10/23/1981|191552.5| 6.3| 4.60| 0.009 | III| 41.7(67.1) GSG |33.9530|117.7610|07/29/2008|184215.7| 14.0| 5.30| 0.015 | IV | 41.8(67.2) DMG |33.5750|117.9830|03/11/1933| 518 4.0| 0.0| 5.20| 0.014 | IV | 41.8(67.3) DMG |33.7670|117.8170|08/22/1936| 521 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.0(67.5) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|11/10/1926|1723 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.009 | III 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|11/09/1926|1535 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.009 | III| 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|05/19/1917| 719 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|05/20/1917| 945 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|05/19/1917| 635 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|11/07/1926|1948 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.009 | III| 42.0(67.6) MGI |33.8000|117.8000|11/04/1926|2238 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.009 | III| 42.0(67.6) DMG |33.5670|117.9830|07/07/1937|1112 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.2(67.9) DMG |33.5670|117.9830|04/17/1934|1833 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.2(67.9) PAS |33.5080|118.0710|11/20/1988| 53928.7| 6.0| 4.50| 0.008 | III| 42.2(68.0) GSG |34.6173|118.6302|01/04/2015|031809.5| 8.8| 4.25| 0.006 | II | 42.5(68.4) DMG |34.1180|119.2200|03/18/1957|185628.0| 13.8| 4.70| 0.009 | III| 42.6(68.5)

```
file:///P/...8%20SAMOHI/007%20Phase%20I%20and%20Phase%20II%20Geotech/Reports/Draft/Historical%20Seismicity%2011428.007.txt[8/28/2017 1:03:39 PM]
```

GSP |33.9550|117.7460|12/14/2001|120135.5| 13.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 42.6(68.5) MGI |34.2000|119.2000|06/16/1914|1052 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.008 | III| 42.8(68.8) PAS |34.0060|117.7390|02/18/1989| 717 4.8| 3.3| 4.30| 0.007 | II | 42.8(68.9) PAS |33.6710|119.1110|09/04/1981|155050.3| 5.0| 5.30| 0.015 | IV | 42.9(69.0) DMG |34.4830|118.9830|09/04/1942| 63433.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.008 | II | 43.1(69.3) DMG |34.4830|118.9830|09/03/1942|14 6 1.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.008 | II | 43.1(69.3) GSP |33.6200|117.9000|04/07/1989|200730.2| 13.0| 4.50| 0.008 | II | 43.2(69.6) DMG |34.5650|118.1130|02/28/1969| 45612.4| 5.3| 4.30| 0.006 | II | 43.5(70.0) DMG |34.5650|118.1130|02/28/1969| 45612.4| 5.3| 4.30| 0.006 | II | 43.7(70.3) GSP |34.1100|117.7200|04/17/1990|223227.2| 4.0| 4.60| 0.008 | III| 44.4(71.4) PAS |33.4710|118.0610|02/27/1984|101815.0| 6.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 44.7(71.9)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 9

..... | | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] GSP |33.9510|117.7090|01/05/1998|181406.5| 11.0| 4.30| 0.006 | II | 44.7(72.0) GSP |34.1500|117.7200|03/01/1990|032303.0| 11.0| 4.70| 0.009 | III| 44.9(72.2) MGI |34.0000|117.7000|12/03/1929| 9 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 45.0(72.5) PAS |34.1360|117.7090|06/26/1988|15 458.5| 7.9| 4.60| 0.008 | II | 45.3(72.9) DMG |33.6040|119.1050|03/25/1956| 332 2.3| 8.2| 4.20| 0.006 | II | 45.3(72.9) GSP |34.1300|117.7000|03/01/1990|003457.1| 4.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 45.7(73.6) GSP |34.1400|117.7000|02/28/1990|234336.6| 5.0| 5.20| 0.012 | III| 45.8(73.8) DMG |34.1000|117.6830|01/09/1934|1410 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.007 | II | 46.4(74.6) DMG |34.1000|117.6830|01/18/1934| 214 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 46.4(74.6) PAS |34.5410|118.9890|06/12/1984| 02752.4| 11.7| 4.10| 0.005 | II | 46.4(74.6) GSP |34.1400|117.6900|03/02/1990|172625.4| 6.0| 4.60| 0.008 | II | 46.4(74.7) GSP |33.8060|117.7150|03/07/2000|002028.2| 11.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 46.5(74.8) GSP |33.5150|119.0330|08/24/2010|054216.9| 16.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 46.5(74.9) DMG |34.6000|118.9000|05/18/1940| 91512.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.005 | II | 46.9(75.5) DMG |34.4000|117.8000|02/24/1946| 6 752.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.005 | II | 47.5(76.4) DMG |33.3670|118.1500|04/16/1942| 72833.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 48.6(78.2) DMG |34.5000|119.1170|11/17/1954|23 351.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.006 | II | 49.2(79.2) DMG |34.6670|118.8330|01/24/1950|215659.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 49.3(79.3) GSP |34.4400|119.1830|05/08/2009|202714.0| 7.0| 4.10| 0.005 | II | 49.5(79.6) DMG |33.5830|119.1830|02/10/1952|135055.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 49.8(80.1) DMG |34.1500|119.3500|08/22/1950|224758.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.005 | II | 50.3(80.9) MGI |34.3000|119.3000|05/01/1904|1830 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.007 | II | 50.5(81.3) MGI |34.3000|119.3000|09/28/1926|1749 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 50.5(81.3) MGI |34.3000|119.3000|05/15/1927|1120 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 50.5(81.3) DMG |33.5450|117.8070|10/27/1969|1316 2.3| 6.5| 4.50| 0.006 | II | 50.6(81.5) DMG |33.9500|117.5830|04/11/1941| 12024.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 51.9(83.6) DMG |34.1000|119.4000|05/19/1893| 035 0.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.013 | III| 52.6(84.6) MGI |33.8000|117.6000|04/22/1918|2115 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.009 | III| 52.9(85.1) DMG |33.8000|117.6000|09/16/1903|1210 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 52.9(85.1)

DMG |34.1830|117.5830|10/03/1948| 24628.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 53.0(85.3) DMG |33.4300|119.0960|10/31/1969|103929.0| 7.3| 4.80| 0.007 | II | 53.3(85.8) MGI |34.4000|119.3000|08/12/1925|1845 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 53.6(86.2) DMG |34.3700|117.6500|12/08/1812|15 0 0.0| 0.0| 7.00| 0.042 | VI | 53.8(86.5) DMG |34.6170|119.0830|02/26/1950| 0 622.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.007 | II | 53.8(86.6) GSP |33.6660|119.3300|03/16/2002|213323.8| 7.0| 4.60| 0.006 | II | 54.0(86.8) GSP |34.3740|117.6490|08/20/1998|234958.4| 9.0| 4.40| 0.005 | II | 54.0(86.8) DMG |34.3000|117.6000|07/30/1894| 512 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.019 | IV | 54.4(87.6) DMG |34.6830|119.0000|04/06/1943|223624.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 54.7(88.1) DMG |34.1830|117.5480|09/01/1937|163533.5| 10.0| 4.50| 0.005 | II | 54.9(88.4) GSP |34.3850|117.6350|10/16/2007|085344.1| 8.0| 4.20| 0.004 | I | 55.0(88.5) DMG |34.1670|117.5330|03/01/1948| 81213.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.006 | II | 55.6(89.4) DMG |34.7000|119.0000|10/23/1916| 254 0.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.012 | III| 55.7(89.7) DMG |34.1270|117.5210|12/27/1938|10 928.6| 10.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 55.8(89.8) DMG |34.7170|118.9670|06/11/1935|1810 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 55.8(89.8) DMG |34.3040|117.5700|05/05/1969|16 2 9.6| 8.8| 4.40| 0.005 | II | 56.1(90.3) DMG |34.1400|117.5150|01/01/1965| 8 418.0| 5.9| 4.40| 0.005 | II | 56.3(90.5) DMG |34.2110|117.5300|09/01/1937|1348 8.2| 10.0| 4.50| 0.005 | II | 56.4(90.7) PAS |34.2110|117.5300|10/19/1979|122237.8| 4.9| 4.10| 0.004 | I | 56.4(90.7) MGI |34.0000|117.5000|12/16/1858|10 0 0.0| 0.0| 7.00| 0.039 | V | 56.5(90.9) DMG |34.0000|117.5000|07/03/1908|1255 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.004 | I | 56.5(90.9) DMG |34.2810|117.5520|09/13/1970| 44748.6| 8.0| 4.40| 0.005 | II | 56.5(91.0) DMG |33.9860|119.4750|08/06/1973|232917.0| 16.9| 5.00| 0.008 | II | 56.6(91.1) DMG |34.2700|117.5400|09/12/1970|143053.0| 8.0| 5.40| 0.011 | III| 56.9(91.6)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 10

| | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec | (km) | MAG. | g | INT. | mi [km] DMG |34.2000|117.5000|06/14/1892|1325 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.007 | II | 57.9(93.1) DMG |34.0000|119.5000|03/19/1905| 440 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 58.0(93.3) MGI |34.0000|119.5000|05/03/1926|1353 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.004 | I | 58.0(93.3) DMG |34.0000|119.5000|02/18/1926|1818 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.008 | II | 58.0(93.3) DMG |34.2670|117.5180|09/12/1970|141011.2| 8.0| 4.10| 0.004 | I | 58.1(93.5) DMG |34.1240|117.4800|05/15/1955|17 326.0| 7.6| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 58.1(93.5) DMG |33.6820|117.5530|07/05/1938|18 655.7| 10.0| 4.50| 0.005 | II | 58.2(93.7) DMG |34.7840|118.9020|07/27/1972| 03117.4| 8.0| 4.40| 0.005 | II | 58.3(93.8) DMG |34.1160|117.4750|06/28/1960|20 048.0| 12.0| 4.10| 0.004 | I | 58.3(93.8) T-A |34.8300|118.7500|11/27/1852|000.0|0.0|7.00|0.037 |V|58.4(94.0) DMG |33.9170|119.5000|08/26/1954|1348 3.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.006 | II | 58.4(94.0) DMG |33.3390|119.1040|10/24/1969|202642.5| -1.8| 4.70| 0.006 | II | 58.5(94.1) GSP |34.4810|119.3530|10/23/1996|220929.4| 14.0| 4.20| 0.004 | I | 59.1(95.1) GSP |34.1390|117.4650|03/09/2008|092232.1| 3.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 59.1(95.1) DMG |33.7170|117.5170|06/19/1935|1117 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 59.2(95.3) DMG |33.7170|117.5070|08/06/1938|22 056.0| 10.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 59.8(96.2)

```
file:///P/...8%20SAMOHI/007%20Phase%20I%20and%20Phase%20II%20Geotech/Reports/Draft/Historical%20Seismicity%2011428.007.txt[8/28/2017 1:03:39 PM]
```

DMG |34.3000|117.5000|07/22/1899|2032 0.0| 0.0| 6.50| 0.024 | V | 59.8(96.2) GSP |34.3810|119.4350|07/24/2004|125519.9| 3.0| 4.30| 0.004 | I | 59.8(96.3) DMG |34.2170|117.4670|03/25/1941|234341.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 60.0(96.5) DMG |33.6990|117.5110|05/31/1938| 83455.4| 10.0| 5.50| 0.011 | III| 60.0(96.5) PAS |34.1350|117.4480|01/08/1983| 71930.4| 4.6| 4.10| 0.003 | I | 60.0(96.6) DMG |33.7250|117.4980|01/03/1956| 02548.9| 13.7| 4.70| 0.006 | II | 60.1(96.7) DMG |34.2500|119.5000|04/13/1917| 359 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.005 | II | 60.2(96.8) DMG |34.2500|119.5000|04/21/1917| 659 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 60.2(96.8) GSG |34.1430|117.4425|01/15/2014|093518.9| 3.6| 4.43| 0.005 | I | 60.4(97.2) GSP |34.1250|117.4380|01/06/2005|143527.7| 4.0| 4.40| 0.004 | I | 60.5(97.3) DMG |33.7480|117.4790|06/22/1971|104119.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.004 | I | 60.6(97.5) DMG |34.1120|117.4260|03/19/1937| 12338.4| 10.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 61.1(98.3) T-A |34.0000|117.4200|04/12/1888|1315 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.004 | I | 61.1(98.3) T-A |34.0000|117.4200|09/10/1920|1415 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.004 | I | 61.1(98.3) DMG |34.1320|117.4260|04/15/1965|20 833.3| 5.5| 4.50| 0.005 | II | 61.2(98.5) DMG |34.2670|119.5170|04/12/1944|153310.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 61.4(98.9) DMG |33.7330|117.4670|10/26/1954|162226.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | I | 61.6(99.1) GSP |33.7330|117.4660|09/02/2007|172914.0| 2.0| 4.70| 0.005 | II | 61.6(99.2) DMG |34.3490|119.4920|07/14/1958| 52555.3| 16.0| 4.70| 0.005 | II | 61.9(99.7) MGI |34.0000|117.4000|05/22/1907| 652 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.005 | II | 62.2(100.1) USG |34.4180|119.4680|09/07/1984|11 345.2| 9.5| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 62.6(100.8) DMG |33.6670|119.5000|11/30/1939| 64251.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 62.8(101.1) DMG |34.8670|118.8670|07/22/1952| 74455.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | I | 62.8(101.1) DMG |34.2000|117.4000|07/22/1899| 046 0.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.010 | III| 63.5(102.1) DMG |33.8330|117.4000|06/05/1940| 82727.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 63.5(102.2) DMG |34.8350|118.9880|11/29/1936| 55445.3| 10.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 63.5(102.3) USG |34.1390|117.3860|02/21/1987|231530.1| 2.6| 4.07| 0.003 | I | 63.6(102.3) GSP |34.1900|117.3900|12/28/1989|094108.1| 15.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 63.9(102.8) DMG |34.2670|119.5670|06/29/1968|191357.0| 10.0| 4.40| 0.004 | I | 64.2(103.3) DMG |34.8670|118.9330|09/21/1941|1953 7.2| 0.0| 5.20| 0.008 | II | 64.2(103.3) DMG |33.2910|119.1930|10/24/1969| 82912.1| 10.0| 5.10| 0.007 | II | 64.3(103.4) DMG |33.9330|117.3670|10/24/1943| 02921.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 64.4(103.6) DMG |34.8000|119.1000|09/05/1883|1230 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.015 | IV | 64.6(104.0) DMG |34.2450|119.5880|06/29/1968|203633.6| 1.8| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 64.9(104.5) DMG |34.8430|119.0260|03/07/1939|195331.8| 10.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 65.0(104.7) DMG |34.0330|117.3500|04/18/1940|184343.9| 0.0| 4.40| 0.004 | I | 65.1(104.7) DMG |34.9000|118.9000|10/23/1916| 244 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.014 | IV | 65.6(105.6)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 11

DMG |33.7000|117.4000|05/15/1910|1547 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.014 | IV | 65.9(106.1) DMG |33.7000|117.4000|04/11/1910| 757 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.006 | II | 65.9(106.1) DMG |34.1270|117.3380|02/23/1936|222042.7| 10.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 66.2(106.5) DMG |34.1400|117.3390|02/26/1936| 93327.6| 10.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.2(106.6) DMG |34.8670|119.0170|07/21/1952|2153 9.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.004 | I | 66.3(106.6) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 819 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|10/02/1938|1845 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|09/25/1941| 51256.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|09/08/1941| 31423.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 848 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 858 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/03/1941|1926 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|09/08/1941| 31245.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/12/1941|1618 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|09/14/1941| 14518.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941|1025 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|09/15/1941| 137 2.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 9 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 945 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/02/1941|2219 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|11/21/1941|1656 3.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941|2354 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 830 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941| 821 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|07/01/1941|1820 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.3330|119.5830|11/18/1941|18 810.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.4(106.9) DMG |34.2550|119.6140|07/31/1968|224445.3| 15.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.6(107.1) GSP |34.1680|117.3370|06/28/1997|214525.1| 9.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 66.6(107.2) DMG |34.9000|118.9500|08/01/1952|13 430.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.007 | II | 66.7(107.3) DMG |34.5000|119.5000|08/05/1930|1125 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.006 | II | 66.9(107.6) DMG |34.5000|119.5000|12/05/1920|1158 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 66.9(107.6) DMG |34.5000|119.5000|06/29/1926|2321 0.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.009 | III| 66.9(107.6) PAS |34.2510|119.6220|03/23/1988| 84247.0| 16.4| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 66.9(107.7) DMG |34.0330|117.3170|09/03/1935| 647 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 67.0(107.7) DMG |34.8850|119.0020|02/23/1939| 91846.7| 10.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 67.0(107.8) DMG |34.3670|119.5830|07/01/1941| 75054.8| 0.0| 5.90| 0.013 | III| 67.2(108.2) DMG |34.1830|119.6460|06/29/1968| 63320.9| 8.4| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 67.3(108.3) DMG |34.2540|119.6280|07/08/1968| 91837.2| 15.7| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 67.3(108.3) T-A |34.9200|118.9200|08/29/1857| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 67.3(108.3) T-A |34.9200|118.9200|01/20/1857| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.006 | II | 67.3(108.3) T-A |34.9200|118.9200|05/23/1857| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.006 | II | 67.3(108.3) DMG |33.4000|119.4000|07/24/1947|1654 2.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 67.4(108.4) T-A |34.1700|117.3200|12/02/1859|2210 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 67.6(108.8) DMG |34.8830|119.0330|08/20/1952| 84747.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 67.7(108.9) DMG |34.9110|118.9730|02/23/1939| 84551.7| 10.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 67.9(109.3) GSP |34.1070|117.3040|01/09/2009|034946.3| 14.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 68.0(109.4) MGI |34.1000|117.3000|07/15/1905|2041 0.0| 0.0| 5.30| 0.008 | II | 68.2(109.7) MGI |34.1000|117.3000|12/27/1901|11 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.004 | I | 68.2(109.7) DMG |34.1000|117.3000|02/16/1931|1327 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 68.2(109.7) MGI |34.1000|117.3000|11/22/1911| 257 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.003 | I | 68.2(109.7)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 12

TIME SITE SITE APPROX. FILE LAT. LONG. DATE (UTC) DEPTH QUAKE ACC. MM DISTANCE
CODE NORTH WEST H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km]
DMC 34 9500 118 8670 07/21/1952 121936 0 0 0 5 30 0 008 II 68 2(109 8)
DMG $ 34,2500 119,6540 06/29/1968 153242 8 14 6 4 10 0 003 1 68,2(109.8)$
DMG 34.2500 115.5540 00/25/1500 155242.0 14.0 4.10 0.003 1 00.7(110.5)
DMG 34.0000 117.2830 11/07/1939 1852 8.4 0.0 4.70 0.005 II 68.9(110.9)
DMG 34.9280 118.9700 01/15/1955 1 3 6.7 9.1 4.30 0.003 I 68.9(110.9)
DMG 34.9030 119.0380 05/08/1939 248 5.3 10.0 4.50 0.004 I 69.0(111.1)
MGI 34.2000 117.3000 04/13/1913 1045 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.003 I 69.1(111.1)
DMG 34.9000 119.0500 07/22/1952 143018.0 0.0 4.30 0.003 I 69.1(111.3)
DMG 34.9320 118.9760 03/01/1963 02557.9 13.9 5.00 0.006 II 69.3(111.5)
GSP 34.9180 119.0200 12/24/2000 010421.9 14.0 4.40 0.004 I 69.5(111.8)
DMG 35.0000 118.7330 04/29/1953 124745.0 0.0 4.70 0.005 II 69.6(112.0)
DMG 35.0000 118.7330 08/23/1952 6 3 3.0 0.0 4.30 0.003 I 69.6(112.0)
DMG 33.9960 117.2700 02/17/1952 123658.3 16.0 4.50 0.004 I 69.7(112.1)
DMG 34.9500 118.9500 10/16/1952 1222 7.0 0.0 4.30 0.003 I 69.9(112.4)
DMG 34.1180 119.7020 07/05/1968 04517.2 5.9 5.20 0.007 II 69.9(112.5)
DMG 34.9450 118.9680 03/04/1963 201042.3 8.5 4.00 0.003 - 70.0(112.6)
DMG 34.9410 118.9870 11/15/1961 53855.5 10.7 5.00 0.006 II 70.1(112.9)
DMG 34.2120 119.6910 06/26/1968 181111.2 13.9 4.00 0.003 - 70.2(113.0)
GSP 34.0470 117.2550 02/21/2000 134943.1 15.0 4.50 0.004 1 70.5(113.5)
DMG 34.0000 117.2500 07/23/1923 73026.0 0.0 6.25 0.016 1V 70.8(113.9)
DMG $[34.0000 117.2500 11/01/1932 445 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.003 - 70.8(113.9)$
DMG $ 34.0/20 119./230 0//05/1968 23614.1 4.3 4.00 0.003 - /0.8(114.0)$
1 - A [34.0800] 117.2500 [10/07/1809] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4.30 0 0 005 1 70.9(114.1)
DIMG $ 55.0000 118.8550 07/25/1952 75519.0 0.0 5.40 0.008 11 71.0(114.2)$ DMC $ 25.0000 118.8220 12/01/1052 52610 0 0 0 4.40 0 002 11 71.0(114.2)$
DIVIC $ 35.0000 118.8330 12/01/1932 32010.0 0.0 4.40 0.003 1 71.0(114.2)$
DMG $ 34,9670 118,9500 11/27/1952 153641,0 ,0,0 ,4,00 ,0,003 ,- ,71,0(114,2)$
DMG $[34,9670]118,9500[07/30/1952]11,255,0[-0,0]4,10[-0,003]+1]71,0(114,2)$
DMG 34 9830 118 9000 07/24/1952 95032 0 0 0 4 30 0 003 1 71.0(114 3)
DMG $[34.9830 118.9000 03/23/1953 17,637.0 ,0.0 4.00 ,0.003 ,-1,71.0(114.3)]$
PAS 34.0230 117.2450 10/02/1985 234412.4 15.2 4.80 0.005 II 71.1(114.4)
DMG 34.2530 119.6980 06/29/1968 191221.3 9.5 4.20 0.003 I 71.2(114.5)
DMG 34.9500 119.0170 11/11/1952 181225.0 0.0 4.10 0.003 I 71.4(114.9)
DMG 34.8410 119.2400 01/11/1958 23 847.4 10.8 4.00 0.003 - 71.5(115.0)
DMG 34.9330 119.0670 02/10/1954 235838.0 0.0 4.50 0.004 I 71.6(115.2)
DMG 34.9220 119.1030 01/09/1963 6 4 3.8 8.7 4.00 0.002 - 71.9(115.7)
GSP 34.0240 117.2300 03/11/1998 121851.8 14.0 4.50 0.004 I 71.9(115.7)
DMG 34.0430 117.2280 04/03/1939 25044.7 10.0 4.00 0.002 - 72.1(116.0)
DMG 34.9670 119.0000 09/02/1952 204556.0 0.0 4.70 0.004 I 72.1(116.0)
DMG 34.1920 119.7330 07/05/1968 036 6.4 15.6 4.00 0.002 - 72.3(116.4)
DMG 34.3170 119.7000 10/21/1953 16 238.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 72.4(116.5)
DMG 35.0630 118.4230 08/26/1952 205640.6 -0.8 4.40 0.003 I 72.6(116.8)
DMG 34.9830 118.9830 05/23/1954 235243.0 0.0 5.10 0.006 II 72.7(117.0)
PAS 34.3470 119.6960 08/13/1978 225453.4 12.8 5.10 0.006 II 72.8(117.2)

DMG |35.0670|118.6170|07/23/1952|235136.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 73.2(117.7) DMG |34.1760|119.7540|07/07/1968|143330.8| 12.8| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 73.3(118.0) DMG |35.0330|118.8500|10/07/1953|145921.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.005 | II | 73.4(118.1) GSP |32.9750|118.7910|03/04/1992|190627.0| 6.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 73.8(118.7) DMG |34.9830|119.0330|07/21/1952|235328.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 73.8(118.8) MGI |34.1000|117.2000|04/23/1923|2113 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 73.9(118.8) DMG |35.0830|118.5830|08/04/1952| 535 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.1(119.2) DMG |35.0830|118.5830|07/22/1952| 81624.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 74.1(119.2) DMG |33.9000|117.2000|12/19/1880| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.012 | III| 74.1(119.2)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 13

_____ | | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE | NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec | (km) | MAG. | g | INT. | mi [km] DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1218 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|08/10/1952|194424.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1210 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|18 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1313 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1317 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - |74.2(119.3)DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1542 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|12 6 0.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.005 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|03/13/1929| 228 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1212 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|12 531.0| 0.0| 6.40| 0.016 | IV | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1240 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.005 | II | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1638 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|12 7 0.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1239 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1536 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/22/1952| 82122.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/25/1952| 0 3 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/22/1952|191024.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1222 0.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.005 | II | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1259 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1336 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|14 6 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1442 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|01/25/1919|2229 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - |74.2(119.3)DMG |35.0000|119.0000|02/16/1919|1557 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.005 | II | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/22/1952|175236.0| 0.0 4.10 0.003 - 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1553 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1225 0.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1417 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1617 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3)

DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1415 0.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1451 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1311 0.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/22/1952|133143.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.005 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1359 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/23/1952| 043 8.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|1228 0.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|13 8 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) DMG |35.0000|119.0000|07/21/1952|132512.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.2(119.3) MGI |34.4000|119.7000|03/25/1806| 8 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.005 | II | 74.3(119.5) MGI |34.4000|119.7000|07/06/1926|1745 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.3(119.5) MGI |34.4000|119.7000|06/24/1926|1530 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.3(119.5) MGI |34.4000|119.7000|08/09/1926| 412 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.3(119.5) MGI |34.4000|119.7000|08/26/1927|1240 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.3(119.5) PAS |33.0330|117.9440|02/22/1983| 21830.4| 10.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 74.5(119.9) DMG |35.0670|118.7670|07/22/1952|21 211.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 74.5(119.9) DMG |35.0000|119.0170|01/12/1954|233349.0| 0.0| 5.90| 0.011 | III| 74.5(120.0) DMG |35.0000|119.0170|05/25/1953| 324 1.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.004 | I | 74.5(120.0) DMG |35.0000|119.0170|07/21/1952|115214.0| 0.0| 7.70| 0.046 | VI | 74.5(120.0) DMG |35.0330|118.9170|07/23/1952|211658.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.6(120.0) PAS |35.0950|118.5190|06/22/1981| 45747.3| 5.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 74.7(120.3) GSP |34.0050|117.1800|02/13/2010|213906.6| 8.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.8(120.4)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 14

-----| | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |35.0170|118.9830|08/17/1952| 9 9 7.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 74.9(120.5) DMG |35.0330|118.9330|07/22/1952|223133.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.5) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|12 2 0.0| 0.0| 5.60| 0.009 | III| 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|1157 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|1158 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|1154 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|1155 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0330|07/21/1952|1159 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.004 | I | 74.9(120.6) GSP |33.1660|119.3020|11/15/2009|224527.1| 6.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 74.9(120.6) DMG |35.0000|119.0500|09/12/1952|103525.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 75.3(121.2) DMG |35.0500|118.9000|09/25/1952|162136.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.003 | - | 75.4(121.3) DMG |35.0830|118.7500|07/26/1952|15 831.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.3) DMG |35.0830|118.7500|07/26/1952|18 244.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 75.4(121.3) DMG |35.0830|118.7500|07/22/1952| 84734.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 75.4(121.3) DMG |35.1000|118.6170|09/26/1952|202120.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 75.4(121.4) T-A |34.5000|119.6700|03/14/1857|23 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.4) T-A |34.5000|119.6700|05/31/1854|1250 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.4) T-A |34.5000|119.6700|02/09/1902|15 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.4)

T-A |34.5000|119.6700|06/25/1855|22 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.4) T-A |34.5000|119.6700|07/09/1885| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 75.4(121.4) T-A |34.5000|119.6700|06/01/1893|12 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.005 | II | 75.4(121.4) DMG |33.2670|119.4500|11/18/1947|2159 3.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.005 | II | 75.6(121.7) GSP |35.0980|118.3060|12/31/1995|214823.1| 7.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 75.6(121.7) DMG |34.3250|119.7610|08/09/1956| 0 849.2| 4.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 75.9(122.2) DMG |35.0000|119.0830|11/07/1952| 85535.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.004 | I | 76.1(122.5) DMG |34.2000|119.8000|12/21/1812|19 0 0.0| 0.0| 7.00| 0.026 | V | 76.2(122.6) DMG |35.0670|118.8830|08/14/1952|114146.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 76.2(122.6) DMG |35.0670|118.8830|08/17/1952|21 442.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 76.2(122.6) DMG |34.4900|119.6910|09/16/1962|181235.2| 13.3| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 76.2(122.6) DMG |35.1170|118.4810|05/01/1953| 64820.9| 2.4| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 76.2(122.7) DMG |35.0330|119.0000|07/22/1952|101939.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 76.3(122.7) DMG |35.0500|118.9500|08/17/1952| 614 4.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 76.3(122.8) DMG |35.0500|118.9500|11/14/1952|2334 1.4| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 76.3(122.8) DMG |35.0170|119.0500|08/05/1953|122059.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 76.4(122.9) PAS |35.0000|119.1030|05/13/1975| 02135.6| 19.1| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 76.7(123.4) GSP |33.6740|119.7600|07/24/2005|125942.9| 6.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 76.7(123.4) DMG |34.3500|119.7670|11/10/1940|102510.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 76.7(123.5) DMG |33.7380|117.1870|04/27/1962| 91232.1| 5.7| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 76.9(123.7) MGI |34.5000|119.7000|07/29/1925|14 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 77.0(123.9) MGI |34.5000|119.7000|08/26/1919|1212 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 77.0(123.9) MGI |34.5000|119.7000|08/26/1919|1457 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 77.0(123.9) DMG |35.0670|118.9330|07/23/1952|223220.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 77.1(124.1) PAS |35.0460|119.0010|06/05/1975|144645.3| 9.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 77.1(124.1) DMG |35.0450|119.0040|03/23/1956|212327.1| 12.1| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 77.1(124.1) GSP |35.0430|119.0130|09/22/2005|202448.6| 11.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 77.2(124.2) DMG |35.1330|118.5170|07/22/1952| 141 2.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35.1330|118.5170|08/14/1952| 72822.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35,1330|118,5170|07/23/1952|152524.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35.1330|118.5170|07/28/1952| 54554.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.003 | I | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35.0330|119.0500|08/18/1952| 44010.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35.0330|119.0500|07/27/1952| 71611.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 77.4(124.5) DMG |35.0330|119.0500|08/07/1952|163151.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.005 | II | 77.4(124.5) MGI |34.3000|119.8000|07/03/1925|1638 0.0| 0.0| 5.30| 0.006 | II | 77.6(124.9)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

file:///P/...8% 20SAMOHI/007% 20Phase% 20I% 20and% 20Phase% 20II% 20Geotech/Reports/Draft/Historical% 20Seismicity% 2011428.007.txt[8/28/2017 1:03:39 PM]
DMG |35.0330|119.1000|02/07/1954| 0 953.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 78.6(126.5) DMG |35.0330|119.1000|09/02/1953|152756.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 78.6(126.5) DMG |35.0330|119.1000|01/12/1954|234037.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 78.6(126.5) PAS |35.0180|119.1410|11/10/1981|223435.5| 3.1| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 78.8(126.7) USG |33.0170|117.8170|07/14/1986| 11112.6| 10.0| 4.12| 0.002 | - | 78.8(126.8) USG |33.0170|117.8170|07/16/1986|1247 3.7| 10.0| 4.11| 0.002 | - | 78.8(126.8) DMG |35.1500|118.6330|01/27/1954|141948.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.005 | II | 79.0(127.1) DMG |35.1330|118.7670|07/25/1952|143442.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 79.0(127.1) DMG |35.1330|118.7670|07/21/1952|194122.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.007 | II | 79.0(127.1) GSP |33.1950|119.4490|01/03/2012|141856.1| 18.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 79.0(127.2) DMG |34.4710|119.7570|11/16/1958| 934 6.1| 15.2| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 79.1(127.3) DMG |35.0670|119.0330|07/23/1952|175329.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 79.1(127.4) DMG |35.0670|119.0330|07/27/1952|113438.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 79.1(127.4) DMG |35.1500|118.6830|08/13/1952|173925.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 79.3(127.6) DMG |35.0660|119.0490|01/24/1974| 5 2 0.8| 6.4| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 79.4(127.8) PAS |35.0120|119.1790|11/10/1981|2237 5.0| 9.4| 4.20| 0.003 | - | 79.4(127.8) PAS |32.9900|117.8490|07/13/1986|14 133.0| 12.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 79.6(128.1) MGI |34.4000|119.8000|09/09/1929| 515 0.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 79.6(128.1) GSB |35.0380|119.1300|02/14/2004|124311.4| 12.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 79.7(128.2) PAS |35.0350|119.1370|06/16/1978| 42131.6| 1.8| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 79.7(128.2) GSG |32.8667|118.6535|11/10/2014|084242.9| 5.4| 4.11| 0.002 | - | 79.7(128.3) PAS |34.4020|119.8020|03/10/1986|153316.3| 18.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 79.8(128.4) DMG |35.1000|118.9670|08/25/1952| 62026.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 79.9(128.5) DMG |35.0670|119.0670|02/24/1954|223022.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 79.9(128.6) PAS |32.9860|117.8440|10/01/1986|201218.6| 6.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 80.0(128.7) DMG |34.3330|119.8330|06/26/1933| 62752.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 80.0(128.8) DMG |34.3330|119.8330|06/26/1933| 62542.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 80.0(128.8) PAS |32.9710|117.8700|07/13/1986|1347 8.2| 6.0| 5.30| 0.006 | II | 80.2(129.1) DMG |32.8670|118.2500|02/13/1952|151337.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.004 | I | 80.3(129.2) GSB |35.0270|119.1780|04/16/2005|191813.0| 10.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 80.3(129.2) DMG |34.2000|117.1000|09/20/1907| 154 0.0| 0.0| 6.00| 0.011 | III| 80.3(129.3) DMG |35.0500|119.1330|08/06/1953|1120 4.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 80.5(129.5) DMG |35.0500|119.1330|05/23/1953| 75255.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 80.5(129.5) GSP |34.1920|117.0950|04/06/1994|190104.1| 7.0| 4.80| 0.004 | I | 80.5(129.6) DMG |35.1000|119.0000|07/22/1952|14 511.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 80.5(129.6) DMG |35.1000|119.0000|07/24/1952| 311 7.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 80.5(129.6) GSP |35.0310|119.1800|05/06/2005|022909.5| 11.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 80.6(129.7) GSP |32.9850|117.8180|06/21/1995|211736.2| 6.0| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 80.7(129.9) GSP |33.9530|117.0760|09/14/2011|144451.0| 16.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 80.9(130.1) DMG |35.1830|118.6000|07/26/1952| 63850.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 81.1(130.4) DMG |35.1830|118.6000|07/26/1952|2241 3.0| 0.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 81.1(130.4) DMG |35.1830|118.6000|07/29/1952|154950.0| 0.0| 4.90| 0.004 | I | 81.1(130.4) T-A |34.4200|119.8200|00/00/1862| 0 0 0.0| 0.0| 5.70| 0.008 | III| 81.1(130.6) DMG |35.1830|118.6500|07/21/1952|151358.0| 0.0| 5.10| 0.005 | II | 81.3(130.9) DMG |33.1500|119.4500|06/17/1934| 243 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 81.3(130.9) DMG |33.1500|119.4500|01/05/1940| 62052.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 81.3(130.9) DMG |35.0500|119.1670|12/14/1950|135623.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | I | 81.4(131.0) DMG |35.1940|118.4650|07/22/1952|19 858.2| 3.7| 4.30| 0.003 | I | 81.6(131.3)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

TIME SITE SITE APPROX.
CODE NODTU WEST = UM Soc (lm) MAC = INT m; [lm]
CODE NORTH WEST H M Sec (KIII) MAG. g INT. III [KIII]
GSP 32.9000 118.00/0 06/20/2009 010030.6 14.0 4.10 0.002 - 81.6(131.4)
GSP 32.9700 117.8100 04/04/1990 085439.3 6.0 4.00 0.002 - 81.9(131.7)
DMG 35.1990 118.5310 09/01/1961 165148.9 4.5 4.00 0.002 - 81.9(131.9)
PAS 32.9700 117.8030 07/14/1986 03246.2 10.0 4.00 0.002 - 82.1(132.0)
GSP 35.0220 119.2530 05/08/2010 192306.6 15.0 4.30 0.003 - 82.2(132.3)
DMG 34.0170 117.0500 02/19/1940 12 655.7 0.0 4.60 0.003 I 82.2(132.3)
DMG 35.1000 119.0830 07/24/1946 019 8.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 82.4(132.6)
DMG 35.1000 119.0830 12/06/1934 743 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 82.4(132.6)
DMG 33.7000 117.1000 06/11/1902 245 0.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 I 82.4(132.6)
DMG 35.2000 118.6330 07/22/1952 321 5.0 0.0 4.40 0.003 I 82.4(132.6)
PAS 32.9450 117.8310 07/29/1986 81741.8 10.0 4.10 0.002 - 82.8(133.3)
DMG 32.8170 118.3500 12/26/1951 04654.0 0.0 5.90 0.009 III 82.9(133.5)
DMG 35.0500 119.2330 08/19/1952 191226.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 I 83.2(134.0)
PAS 32,9330 117,8410 07/29/1986 81741.6 10.0 4.30 0.003 - 83.3(134.1)
GSP 34 0540 117 0300 06/27/2005 221733 6 12 0 4 00 0 002 - 83 4(134 2)
PAS [32 9450]117 8060[09/07/1984]11 313 4] 6 0] 4 30] 0 003] - [83 5(134 4)
DMG 35 2170 118 6670 09/14/1952 204324 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DMG $ 35,2170 118,0070 09/14/1952 204524.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 = 05.0(154.0)$ DMG $ 35,2200 118 5130 06/28/1957 1132 0.8 1.6 / 10 0.002 = 8/ 0(135.2)$
CSP 33 0320 117 0230 01/16/2010 120325 7 13 0 4 30 0 003 84 0(135 2)
DMC 25 2220 119 5220 07/10/2010 120525.7 15.0 4.30 0.005 - 84.0(155.2)
DMG 55.2550 110.5550 07/22/1952 15.514.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 04.5(155.7)
DMG $ 35.2530 118.5530 05/17/1953 101517.0 $ 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 84.3(155.7)
DMG $ 35.2330 118.5330 07/30/1952 144050.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 - 84.3(135.7)$
DMG 35.2330 118.5330 07/29/1952 173643.0 0.0 4.40 0.003 1 84.3(135.7)
DMG 35.2330 118.5330 07/24/1952 1735 6.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 84.3(135.7)
DMG 35.2330 118.5330 07/21/1952 174244.0 0.0 5.10 0.005 11 84.3(135.7)
DMG 35.2350 118.5480 03/03/1973 181449.5 8.0 4.00 0.002 - 84.5(135.9)
DMG 35.2330 118.6000 07/22/1952 91025.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 I 84.5(136.0)
DMG 35.2330 118.6000 01/10/1953 221738.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 84.5(136.0)
GSP 34.0580 117.0100 06/16/2005 205326.0 11.0 4.90 0.004 I 84.6(136.1)
GSP 34.1800 117.0200 12/04/1991 081703.5 11.0 4.00 0.002 - 84.7(136.2)
DMG 35.2390 118.5180 07/21/1952 2021 5.1 -2.0 4.20 0.002 - 84.7(136.3)
DMG 35.1500 119.0500 11/11/1952 1722 8.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 84.8(136.5)
DMG 35.2410 118.5600 07/21/1952 1912 7.4 5.8 4.30 0.002 - 84.9(136.6)
DMG 34.0000 117.0000 06/30/1923 022 0.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 I 85.1(136.9)
DMG 35.0830 119.2330 03/03/1956 62412.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 85.2(137.1)
DMG 35,2170 118,8170 07/23/1952 1317 5.0 0.0 5.70 0.008 II 85,2(137,2)
DMG 35,2170 118,8170 12/15/1953 124436.0 0.0 4.60 0.003 L 85,2(137,2)
PAS 32 94701117 7360101/15/19891153955 21 6 01 4 201 0 002 1 - 1 85 3(137 3)
GSG 34 2800 117 0278 07/05/2014 165934 1 8 7 4 58 0 003 1 85 4(137 4)
DMG $ 35,2500 118,4830 07/23/1952 93842 0 0 4 20 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 002 _{-} 85 4(137.5) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $
DMG $ 35,2500 118,4830 07/23/1952 1330,4,0 ,0,0 ,4,40 ,0,003 ,1 ,85,4(137,5)$
GSP [34, 1200]116, 0080[06/20/1002]14/126 0[-4.0]-0.0]+1.40[-0.003]+1]-05.4(157.5)
$GSP [34 \ \Omega070 116 \ \Omega060 12/105/1007 170/28 \ \Omega A \ \Omega A \ \Omega A \ \Omega002 \ 1 \ 0.003 \ 1 \ 0.003 \ 0.003 $
$CSD [34 \ 0850 116 \ 0800 06/30/1002 21/000 \ 2 \ 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0 \ 0 \ 002 \ \ 1 \ 05 \ 0(120 \ 1)$
$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 34.0030 110.7070 00/30/1772 21470 0.3 3.0 4.40 0.003 1 3.3 (133.1) \\ CCD & 25.1400 10.1040 05/28/1002 0.44740 6 21.0 5.20 0.005 11 95.0 (129.2) \\ \end{array}$
$CSP_{125,2100 119,1040 03/20/1993 044/40.0 21.0 3.20 0.003 11 3.5(138.5)$
GF [55.2100]118.0000[07/11/1992]181410.2[10.0] 5.70[0.008 II 80.1(138.5)

USG |32.7700|118.3340|06/16/1985|1027 0.7| 5.0| 4.14| 0.002 | - | 86.3(138.8) DMG |33.8000|117.0000|12/25/1899|1225 0.0| 0.0| 6.40| 0.013 | III| 86.5(139.1) DMG |35.2670|118.4500|07/21/1952|191619.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 86.6(139.4) DMG |34.1670|116.9830|10/16/1951|1241 5.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 86.6(139.4) GSG |34.4125|119.9260|05/29/2013|143803.2| 8.0| 4.80| 0.004 | I | 86.7(139.5) GSP |34.1570|116.9760|12/19/2007|121409.0| 7.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 87.0(139.9) GSP |34.0840|116.9680|10/02/2008|094149.3| 12.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 87.0(140.0)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 17

_____ | | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE | NORTH | WEST | | H M Sec | (km) | MAG. | g | INT. | mi [km] DMG |33.7500|117.0000|06/06/1918|2232 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.004 | I | 87.1(140.2) DMG |33.7500|117.0000|04/21/1918|223225.0| 0.0| 6.80| 0.018 | IV | 87.1(140.2) PAS |34.1510|116.9720|11/20/1978| 655 9.5| 6.1| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 87.1(140.2) GSP |32.7600|118.2880|08/16/2001|180433.8| 6.0| 4.40| 0.003 | - | 87.2(140.4) DMG |34.0000|120.0170|04/01/1945|234342.0| 0.0| 5.40| 0.006 | II | 87.6(140.9) DMG |34.3330|117.0000|02/27/1942| 1 853.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 87.8(141.2) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|07/22/1952| 15151.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | - | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|07/23/1952| 737 0.0| 0.0| 4.80| 0.004 | I | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|07/23/1952| 34928.0| 0.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|07/26/1952| 922 6.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|07/31/1952| 41022.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5500|08/01/1952| 31611.6| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 87.8(141.3) DMG |35.2830|118.5830|07/31/1952|1719 8.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 87.9(141.4) DMG |35.1840|119.0990|07/01/1959|234923.4| 9.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 88.0(141.7) DMG |35.2890|118.4600|07/26/1952| 1 221.3| 10.8| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 88.1(141.8) DMG |35.2900|118.4700|07/24/1952|12 757.6| 14.1| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 88.2(141.9) DMG |35.2890|118.4110|08/10/1952|122318.0| 4.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 88.2(142.0) PAS |34.1980|116.9590|04/01/1978|105227.4| 8.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.3(142.1) DMG |34.1330|116.9500|06/10/1938|1440 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.3(142.1) DMG |35.2940|118.4010|08/13/1952| 42940.6| 14.5| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 88.6(142.6) DMG |34.2670|116.9670|08/29/1943| 51630.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.6(142.6) DMG |34.2670|116.9670|08/29/1943| 35754.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.6(142.6) DMG |34.2670|116.9670|08/29/1943| 34513.0| 0.0| 5.50| 0.006 | II | 88.6(142.6) T-A |33.5000|117.0700|12/29/1880| 7 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 88.7(142.7) GSP |32.7340|118.3340|08/16/2001|220628.1| 25.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 88.7(142.8) DMG |32.7500|118.2000|06/25/1939| 149 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 88.7(142.8) DMG |35.2990|118.4350|07/25/1952|20 6 6.1| -1.4| 4.80| 0.003 | I | 88.9(143.0) DMG |35.3000|118.5000|02/19/1953| 812 6.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.003 | - | 88.9(143.0) DMG |35.3000|118.5330|07/30/1952| 95929.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.9(143.1) DMG |35.3000|118.5330|07/21/1952|182628.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 88.9(143.1) DMG |35.3000|118.5330|07/21/1952|182338.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 88.9(143.1) DMG |35.3000|118.5330|09/02/1952|1638 9.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 88.9(143.1) DMG |35.3000|118.4320|07/23/1952| 61045.9| 14.5| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 88.9(143.1)

DMG |35.3030|118.4810|09/04/1952|18 649.1| 5.8| 4.40| 0.003 | - | 89.1(143.4) DMG |35.3030|118.4730|08/01/1952|213522.4| 4.2| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 89.1(143.4) DMG |35.3050|118.5070|08/09/1952|10 732.1| -2.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 89.2(143.6) DMG |35.3080|118.5160|07/31/1952|19 515.4| 7.3| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 89.4(143.9) DMG |35.3000|118.6670|08/13/1952|212548.0| 0.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 89.5(144.0) GSP |34.1210|116.9280|08/16/1998|133440.2| 6.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 89.5(144.0) DMG |35.3110|118.4990|07/25/1952|1313 8.2| 2.8| 5.00| 0.004 | I | 89.6(144.3) DMG |35.1830|119.1740|06/04/1956| 83319.3| 14.3| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 89.7(144.4) DMG |35.3130|118.4890|10/20/1952|181443.6| 14.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 89.8(144.5) DMG |35.3140|118.4820|08/30/1952| 45559.8| 5.5| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 89.8(144.6) GSP |34.1120|116.9200|10/01/1998|181816.0| 4.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 89.9(144.6) DMG |35.3140|118.5300|07/26/1952|225856.1| 6.8| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 89.9(144.6) DMG |35.3150|118.5160|07/25/1952|194323.7| 11.2| 5.70| 0.007 | II | 89.9(144.7) DMG |35.3160|118.4870|09/15/1952| 44013.2| 4.2| 4.90| 0.004 | I | 90.0(144.8) GSP |32.7280|118.2230|01/29/2009|084159.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 90.0(144.8) DMG |35.3160|118.5140|07/24/1952|14 525.9| 5.4| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 90.0(144.8) DMG |35.3170|118.4940|07/25/1952|19 944.6| 5.5| 5.70| 0.007 | II | 90.1(144.9) GSP |34.2900|116.9460|02/10/2001|210505.8| 9.0| 5.10| 0.004 | I | 90.1(145.0) GSP |34.1780|116.9220|06/28/1992|170131.9| 13.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 90.2(145.1) GSP |34.2870|116.9420|02/11/2001|003916.0| 8.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 90.3(145.3)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 18

_____ | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |35.3200|118.5180|07/27/1952| 0 915.6| 6.5| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 90.3(145.3) DMG |34.1800|116.9200|01/16/1930| 034 3.6| 0.0| 5.10| 0.004 | I | 90.3(145.4) DMG |34.1800|116.9200|01/16/1930| 02433.9| 0.0| 5.20| 0.005 | II | 90.3(145.4) DMG |35.3210|118.4940|02/11/1955|194431.5| 14.7| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 90.3(145.4) DMG |35.3210|118.5400|07/24/1952|141012.2| 9.5 |4.00|0.002| - |90.4(145.4)DMG |35.3240|118.4860|01/20/1953| 81322.8| 7.2| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 90.5(145.7) DMG |34.4330|116.9830|04/18/1945|4582.0|0.0|4.30|0.002| - |90.6(145.8)GSP |35.3180|118.6540|01/25/2003|091610.2| 5.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 90.6(145.8) DMG |35.3000|118.8000|12/23/1905|2223 0.0| 0.0| 5.00| 0.004 | I | 90.6(145.9) DMG |35.3300|118.5070|05/29/1968| 22938.7| 3.1| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.0(146.4) DMG |35.3330|118.5330|08/01/1952|103556.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.2(146.8) DMG |32.7180|118.1720|04/28/1938| 6 728.0| 10.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 91.2(146.8) DMG |35.3330|118.5670|08/08/1952| 51718.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.3(146.9) DMG |35.3350|118.4740|07/23/1952|172224.0| 6.6| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 91.3(146.9) DMG |35.3360|118.4720|07/23/1952|105413.5| 19.7| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 91.4(147.0) DMG |35.3330|118.6000|08/10/1952| 6 118.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.4(147.1) DMG |35.3330|118.6000|09/16/1952|142454.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.4(147.1) DMG |35.3330|118.6000|07/23/1952|164853.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 91.4(147.1) DMG |35.3330|118.6000|07/31/1952|12 9 9.0| 0.0| 5.80| 0.008 | II | 91.4(147.1) DMG |35.3330|118.6000|07/23/1952|161838.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 91.4(147.1)

```
file:///P/...8%20SAMOHI/007%20Phase%20I%20and%20Phase%20II%20Geotech/Reports/Draft/Historical%20Seismicity%2011428.007.txt[8/28/2017 1:03:39 PM]
```

PAS |32.7560|117.9880|01/12/1975|212214.8| 15.3| 4.80| 0.003 | I | 91.4(147.1) DMG |35.3370|118.5370|08/30/1952| 45954.8| 3.5| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.5(147.2) DMG |35.3380|118.5230|08/06/1952| 34624.2| 12.6| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 91.5(147.3) GSP |34.3950|120.0220|05/09/2004|085717.3| 4.0| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 91.5(147.3) GSP |34.2560|116.9120|06/28/1992|170557.5| 8.0| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 91.6(147.3) MGI |34.2000|116.9000|10/10/1915| 5 6 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.6(147.5) DMG |35.3400|118.4730|07/24/1952| 5 249.6| 2.1| 4.50| 0.003 | I | 91.6(147.5) DMG |34.3200|116.9250|04/18/1968|174213.4| 4.7| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.7(147.6) DMG |32.8000|117.8330|01/24/1942|214148.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.8(147.8) DMG |33.9680|116.8820|06/27/1959|162211.1| 13.8| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 91.9(147.9) DMG |34.1000|116.8830|10/24/1935|1451 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | - |91.9(147.9)DMG |34.1000|116.8830|10/24/1935|1527 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - |91.9(147.9)DMG |34.1000|116.8830|10/24/1935|1452 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | - | 91.9(147.9) DMG |33.7100|116.9250|09/23/1963|144152.6| 16.5| 5.00| 0.004 | I | 91.9(148.0) DMG |35.3450|118.5070|07/23/1952|18 328.3| 10.4| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.0(148.0) GSP |33.6570|120.0330|04/21/2005|063619.0| 6.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.0(148.1) PAS |34.2460|116.9010|06/29/1979| 55320.5| 5.7| 4.60| 0.003 | I | 92.1(148.1) GSP |32.7260|118.0680|12/27/2000|002714.1| 6.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 92.1(148.2) DMG |35.3460|118.4650|12/25/1952|55633.0|4.6|4.10|0.002| - |92.1(148.2)MGI |33.8000|116.9000|04/29/1918| 2 0 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.1(148.2) MGI |33.8000|116.9000|12/18/1920|1726 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.1(148.2) MGI |33.8000|116.9000|04/23/1918|1415 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.1(148.2) MGI |33.8000|116.9000|06/14/1918|1024 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.1(148.2) PAS |34.2490|116.9000|06/30/1979| 7 353.0| 5.6| 4.50| 0.003 | - | 92.1(148.3) DMG |35.3330|118.7330|08/05/1952| 65010.0| 0.0| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 92.2(148.4) GSP |33.9660|116.8760|01/12/2010|023608.4| 10.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 92.3(148.5) PAS |34.2430|116.8960|06/30/1979| 03411.6| 5.8| 4.90| 0.004 | I | 92.3(148.5) DMG |33.5000|117.0000|08/08/1925|1013 0.0| 0.0| 4.50| 0.003 | - | 92.4(148.7) DMG |35.3510|118.5270|08/11/1952|132149.2| -2.0| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 92.4(148.7) GSP |34.3620|116.9230|12/07/1992|033331.5| 1.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.5(148.9) DMG |35.3560|118.5380|07/19/1955| 2 425.5| 6.4| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 92.8(149.3) MGI |34.3000|116.9000|12/01/1915|14 5 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 92.8(149.3) PAS |32.7590|117.9060|10/18/1976|172753.1| 13.8| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 92.8(149.4)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 19

MGI 35.3000 119.0000 09/04/1908 0 0 0.0 0.0 4.60 0.003 I 93.5(150.5)
MGI 35.3000 119.0000 01/08/1903 030 0.0 0.0 4.60 0.003 I 93.5(150.5)
DMG 34.4000 116.9170 02/01/1942 151828.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 - 93.5(150.5)
DMG 34.4000 116.9170 02/01/1942 16 334.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 - 93.5(150.5)
DMG 34.4000 116.9170 02/01/1942 151555.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 93.5(150.5)
DMG 34,4000 116,9170 01/25/1942 215133.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 93.5(150.5)
DMG 35,3670 118,5330 07/23/1952 195134.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 93.5(150.5)
GSP 34 3640 116 9040 11/27/1992 183225 0 1 0 4 10 0 002 - 93 6(150 6)
GSP 34 1410 116 8570 09/19/1997 223714 5 10 0 4 10 0 002 - 93 6(150 7)
DMG $ 35,3670 118,5830 07/23/1952 ,65342,0 ,0,0 ,4,20 ,0,002 $ = $ 93,7(150,7) $
DMG $ 35,3670 118,5830 07/23/1952 62628,0 0,0 4,00 0,002 = 93,7(150,7)$
DMG 35 3670 118 5830 07/23/1952 02020.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 1 93.7(150.7)
DMG $ 35.3670 118.5830 07/27/1052 73530 0 0.0 4.00 0.005 1 93.7(150.7)$
DMC $ 35.3070 118.3830 07/27/1952 755350 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 95.7(150.7)$ DMC $ 35.3670 118.5830 07/22/1052 0.3822 0 0.0 6 10 0 000 III 02 7(150.7)$
DMC $ 55.50/0 110.5050 07/25/1952 05052.0 0.0 0.10 0.009 111 95.7(150.7)$
DIVIG $ 55.50/0 118.5850 0/25/1952 51925.0 0.0 5.00 0.004 1 95.7(150.7)$
DIVIG $ 55.30/0 118.5830 0/23/1952 4 140.0 0.0 4.70 0.003 1 95.7(150.7)$
DMG $ 35.36/0 118.5830 0/28/1952 154120.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 93.7(150.7)$
DMG $ 35.36/0 118.5830 09/16/1952 1521 8.0 0.0 4.30 0.002 - 93.7(150.7)$
GSP 34.1950 116.8620 08/17/1992 204152.1 11.0 5.30 0.005 11 93.7(150.8)
GSP 34.1980 116.8620 08/18/1992 094640.7 12.0 4.20 0.002 - 93.8(150.9)
DMG 33.9500 116.8500 09/28/1946 719 9.0 0.0 5.00 0.004 I 93.8(151.0)
DMG 35.3170 118.9500 09/01/1952 1039 0.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 - 93.8(151.0)
GSP 32.6850 118.1380 06/20/1997 053855.0 6.0 4.20 0.002 - 93.8(151.0)
GSP 34.1630 116.8550 06/28/1992 144321.0 6.0 5.30 0.005 II 93.9(151.1)
DMG 34.3240 116.8850 12/01/1962 03548.8 9.6 4.30 0.002 - 94.0(151.3)
GSP 34.3690 116.8970 12/04/1992 020857.5 3.0 5.30 0.005 II 94.1(151.4)
DMG 34.3120 116.8790 01/31/1972 155 4.2 8.0 4.00 0.002 - 94.1(151.5)
DMG 34.3330 116.8830 10/14/1943 142844.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 - 94.2(151.7)
DMG 35.3330 118.9170 07/31/1952 195314.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 - 94.4(151.9)
DMG 35.3330 118.9170 07/29/1952 195132.0 0.0 4.50 0.003 - 94.4(151.9)
DMG 35.3330 118.9170 08/07/1952 1919 7.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 94.4(151.9)
DMG 35,330 118,9170 08/22/1952 224124.0 0.0 5.80 0.007 II 94.4(151.9)
GSP 32, 6810 118, 1090 06/20/1997 043540, 5 , 6, 0 , 4, 70 , 0, 003 , 1 , 94, 5(152, 1)
DMG $ 34 3250 116 8750 12/02/1962 04138 4 67 4 40 0 002 - 94 6(152 2) $
DMG $ 35, 3830 118, 5670 07/23/1952 546, 3, 0 0, 0 4, 70 0, 003 1 94, 7(152, 4)$
DMG $ 35,3630 118,6000 09/05/1952 340,500 000 4,10 0,000 1 94,7(152,4)$
DMG $ 35.3830 118.0000 07/03/1755 172430.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 = 04.0(152.0)$ DMG $ 35.3830 118.6680 11/21/1055 205527.6 5.2 4.20 0.002 = 04.0(152.7)$
DIVIO [55.5790]116.0000]11/21/1955[205527.0] 5.5[4.50] 0.002 [-] 94.9(152.7)
$\mathbf{OSP} [54.2520] 110.8400[07/10/1992[012940.0] 0.0] 4.20[0.002] - [95.0(152.9)]$
DMG 32.6800 118.0770 10/28/1973 22.02.7 8.0 4.00 0.002 - 95.0(152.9)
GSP 34.3700 116.8800 11/29/1992 142120.5 3.0 4.00 0.002 - 95.0(152.9)
GSP 34.2250 116.8440 0//09/1992 023435.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 - 95.0(152.9)
DMG 34.3250 116.8650 10/29/1962 24253.9 8.6 4.80 0.003 1 95.1(153.1)
PAS 35.3720 118.7740 12/15/1987 182346.1 3.2 4.10 0.002 - 95.3(153.3)
DMG 34.3500 116.8670 10/15/1943 1650 1.0 0.0 4.50 0.002 - 95.4(153.5)
GSP 35.3900 118.6230 09/29/2004 225454.2 3.0 5.00 0.004 I 95.4(153.5)
GSP 34.1630 116.8270 06/28/1992 150451.5 12.0 4.40 0.002 - 95.5(153.6)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 20

TIME SITE SITE APPROX.
FILE LAI. LUNG. DATE (UTC) DEPTHQUARE ACC. MMI DISTANCE
CODE NORTH WEST H M Sec (Km) MAG. g INT. m [Km]
++++++++++++++
GSP [34.3240]110.8580[02/22/2003]141008.4[4.0[4.10[0.002] - [95.5(153.7)]]] T = A [25.2200]110.0000[01/04/1870] 7.0.0.0[-0.0[4.20[0.002] - [05.5(152.7)]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]
1 - A [55.5500] 119.0000[01/04/1870] 7 0 0.0] 0.0[4.50] 0.002 [-] 95.5(155.7)
PAS 33.5350 120.0490 01/12/1983 1/19 0.6 5.0 4.20 0.002 - 95.5(153.7)
DMG 35.3670 118.8330 03/17/1935 2026 0.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 95.5(153.8)
GSP 34.2390 116.8370 07/09/1992 014357.6 0.0 5.30 0.005 II 95.6(153.8)
GSP 34.3260 116.8570 02/22/2003 122513.6 9.0 4.00 0.002 - 95.6(153.8)
PAS 32.7140 117.9100 10/18/1976 172652.6 15.1 4.20 0.002 - 95.6(153.9)
GSP 34.3110 116.8510 02/22/2003 122133.1 4.0 4.30 0.002 - 95.7(154.0)
GSP 34.3100 116.8500 02/22/2003 193345.8 3.0 4.50 0.002 - 95.7(154.1)
DMG 35.3950 118.6200 08/08/1955 32150.5 4.1 4.70 0.003 I 95.7(154.1)
GSN 34.2030 116.8270 06/28/1992 150530.7 5.0 6.70 0.015 IV 95.8(154.1)
PAS 34.6610 119.9730 05/07/1984 193232.8 9.9 4.20 0.002 - 95.8(154.2)
GSG 34.3100 116.8480 02/22/2003 121910.6 1.0 5.20 0.004 I 95.8(154.2)
GSP 34.3200 116.8500 10/27/1998 154017.1 4.0 4.10 0.002 - 95.9(154.3)
GSP 34.3110 116.8470 02/22/2003 122015.6 4.0 4.00 0.002 - 95.9(154.4)
DMG 35.4000 118.5830 07/24/1952 114756.0 0.0 4.40 0.002 - 95.9(154.4)
DMG 35.4000 118.5830 07/25/1952 7 351.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 - 95.9(154.4)
GSP 35.3700 118.8500 12/18/1990 165643.0 6.0 4.20 0.002 - 96.0(154.4)
GSP 34.3040 116.8430 02/27/2003 050021.7 4.0 4.00 0.002 - 96.0(154.6)
GSP 34.3220 116.8460 09/20/1999 070249.2 2.0 4.20 0.002 - 96.1(154.7)
DMG 35,4000 118,6330 10/02/1952 231021.0 0.0 4.20 0.002 - 96,1(154,7)
GSP 34.3150 116.8440 02/25/2003 040304.8 2.0 4.60 0.003 I 96.1(154.7)
DMG 35.3670 118.8830 09/12/1953 64116.0 0.0 4.10 0.002 - 96.2(154.8)
GSP 34.3230 116.8440 10/27/1998 010840.7 5.0 4.90 0.003 I 96.3(154.9)
DMG 35,3500 118,9670 02/04/1954 204841.0 0.0 4.00 0.002 - 96,3(154,9)
DMG 34.3070 116.8350 08/28/1950 194526.4 11.7 4.20 0.002 - 96.5(155.3)
DMG 33.9670 116.8000 09/07/1945 153424.0 0.0 4.30 0.002 - 96.6(155.5)
DMG 34,1000 116,8000 10/24/1935 1448 7.6 0.0 5.10 0.004 I 96,7(155.6)
GSP 34.3540 116.8430 11/13/2004 173916.9 9.0 4.20 0.002 - 96.8(155.8)
DMG $ 33,5000 116,9170 11/04/1935 355,0,0 ,0,0 4,50 ,0,002 $ - $ 96,8(155,8)$
DMG $ 35,3830 118,8500 10/13/1952 222035 0 0 0 4 00 0 002 - 96 8(155 8)$
DMG 35,3830 118,8500 07/29/1952 7,347,0 0,0 6,10 0,009 III 96,8(155,8)
PAS 35,2250 117,6290 05/02/1975 18,323,1 10,0 4,20 0,002 - 96,9(155,9)
PAS 33 7010 116 8370 08/22/1979 2 136 3 5 0 4 10 0 002 - 97 0(156 1)
GSP 34.3290 116.8320 12/03/2005 074934.6 5.0 4.10 0.002 - 97.0(156.1)
GSP 34.2370 116.8110 06/28/1992 125730.8 10.0 4.00 0.002 - 97.0(156.1)
GSP 34 1830 116 8020 06/28/1992 192637 6 1.0 4.00 0.002 - 97.0(156.2)
DMG $ 32,7170 117,8330 11/06/1950 205546 0 0.0 4 40 0.002 - 97,10(156.2)$
DMG $ 32,7700 117,0000 07/16/1916 1150,0,0 ,0,0 ,4,50 ,0,002 ,- 97,1(156,3)$
DMG $ 34,7000 117,0000 07/16/1916 1130,0.0 0.0 4,00 0.002 - 97,1(150.3)$
DMG $ 34,0290 116,7870 04/30/1954 03623,9 111 4,20 0,002 = 97,3(156,5)$
DMG $ 34,4170 116,8500 02/11/1932 231120 0 0 0 4 00 0 002 = 97.5(150.5)$
DMG $[35,4000]118 8170[07/29/1952] 8 146 0 0 0 5 10 0 004 1 1 97.6(157.1)$
GSP 32 6260 118 1510 06/20/1997 080413 6 6 0 4 60 0.003 - 97.7(157.2)
DMG $[33,0000]117,3000]11/22/1800]2130,0,0 -0,0 -6,50 ,0,012 $ III 97,8(157,3)
DMG $ 34,2290 116,7950 05/11/1956 163050,5 ,13,3 ,4,70 ,0,003 $ L 97.8(157.5)
PAS 34 3220 116 8150 08/29/1985 759 8 7 6 1 4 10 0 002 _ 97 9(157 5)
$GSP 34 \ 0140 116 \ 7750 10/18/2005 040841 \ 5 16 \ 0 4 \ 10 \ 0 \ 002 \ - 98 \ 0(157 \ 7)$

GSP |34.0120|116.7750|10/18/2005|073103.5| 18.0| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 98.0(157.7) DMG |33.9760|116.7750|10/17/1965| 94519.0| 17.0| 4.90| 0.003 | I | 98.0(157.7) GSP |34.2980|116.8040|07/05/1992|200303.1| 3.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 98.1(157.9) DMG |34.0140|116.7710|06/10/1944|11150.5| 10.0| 4.50| 0.002 | - | 98.2(158.0) DMG |33.9730|116.7690|06/10/1944|111531.9| 10.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 98.4(158.3)

EARTHQUAKE SEARCH RESULTS

Page 21

| | | TIME | | SITE |SITE | APPROX. FILE| LAT. | LONG. | DATE | (UTC) |DEPTH|QUAKE| ACC. | MM | DISTANCE CODE NORTH WEST | | H M Sec (km) MAG. g INT. mi [km] DMG |35.4320|118.6640|09/30/1964|175125.8| 7.4| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 98.5(158.5) DMG |34.3170|116.8000|08/12/1950| 21717.0| 0.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 98.6(158.7) DMG |34.4360|116.8340|07/14/1973| 8 020.1| 8.0| 4.80| 0.003 | I | 98.8(159.0) DMG |35.4330|118.7000|05/01/1954|22 439.0| 0.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 98.8(159.0) DMG |35.4400|118.3470|01/02/1964|194841.0| 6.3| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 98.9(159.1) DMG |33.2670|117.0170|06/07/1935|1633 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 98.9(159.2) DMG |32.8500|117.4830|02/23/1943| 92112.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 98.9(159.2) DMG |33.4540|116.8980|07/29/1936|142252.8| 10.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.0(159.4) DMG |33.4560|116.8960|06/16/1938| 55916.9| 10.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.1(159.5) GSP |34.2190|116.7710|07/21/1992|211029.0| 1.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 99.1(159.5) DMG |34.2990|116.7840|03/18/1956| 24217.3| 6.3| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 99.3(159.8) GSP |34.2670|116.7750|12/02/2000|082807.4| 3.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 99.4(159.9) DMG |34.2500|116.7700|03/16/1956|203344.3| 0.8| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.5(160.1) GSP |35.4530|118.4310|05/06/1997|191253.8| 6.0| 4.50| 0.002 | - | 99.5(160.1) GSP |34.2730|116.7740|08/24/1992|135146.0| 1.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 99.5(160.1) DMG |35.4540|118.4760|11/23/1953|2039 0.9| 5.9| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 99.5(160.1) DMG |35.1060|117.3460|10/11/1966|165912.9| 6.5| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 99.5(160.2) DMG |33.9330|116.7500|08/06/1938| 228 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.6(160.3) DMG |33.9330|116.7500|10/28/1944|183016.0| 0.0 4.40 0.002 - 99.6(160.3) DMG |34.1170|116.7500|08/22/1942|125913.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.6(160.3) GSP |34.2110|116.7600|06/28/1992|152429.3| 6.0| 4.50| 0.002 | - | 99.7(160.4) DMG |33.9170|116.7500|01/25/1933|1444 0.0| 0.0| 4.00| 0.002 | - | 99.7(160.4)PAS |32.6250|118.0090|07/11/1981|215029.4| 5.0| 4.30| 0.002 | - | 99.7(160.4) DMG |35.4540|118.6050|02/07/1964|22 750.3| -2.0| 4.40| 0.002 | - | 99.7(160.5) GSP |33.5000|116.8620|11/17/2008|123542.0| 12.0| 4.10| 0.002 | - | 99.8(160.5) GSP |34.2070|116.7570|06/28/1992|161719.2| 3.0| 4.20| 0.002 | - | 99.8(160.6) DMG |35.3530|117.8260|07/03/1944| 53823.5| -2.0| 4.70| 0.003 | I | 99.9(160.7)

-END OF SEARCH- 1087 EARTHQUAKES FOUND WITHIN THE SPECIFIED SEARCH AREA.

TIME PERIOD OF SEARCH: 1800 TO 2016

LENGTH OF SEARCH TIME: 217 years

THE EARTHQUAKE CLOSEST TO THE SITE IS ABOUT 1.2 MILES (1.9 km) AWAY.

LARGEST EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE FOUND IN THE SEARCH RADIUS: 7.7

LARGEST EARTHQUAKE SITE ACCELERATION FROM THIS SEARCH: 0.246 g

COEFFICIENTS FOR GUTENBERG & RICHTER RECURRENCE RELATION: a-value= 3.943 b-value= 0.817 beta-value= 1.882

TABLE OF MAGNITUDES AND EXCEEDANCES:

APPENDIX D

General Earthwork and Grading Recommendations



APPENDIX D

LEIGHTON CONSULTING, INC. EARTHWORK AND GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	Appendix D Page	<u>e</u>
D-1.0 GE	NERAL	1
D-1.1 D-1.2 D-1.3	Intent Role of Leighton Consulting, Inc The Earthwork Contractor	1 1 1
D-2.0 PR	EPARATION OF AREAS TO BE FILLED	2
D-2.1 D-2.2 D-2.3 D-2.4 D-2.5	Clearing and Grubbing Processing Overexcavation Benching Evaluation/Acceptance of Fill Areas	2 3 3 3
D-3.0 FIL	L MATERIAL	4
D-3.1 D-3.2 D-3.3	Fill Quality Oversize Import	4 4 4
D-4.0 FIL	L PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION	4
D-4.1 D-4.2 D-4.3 D-4.4 D-4.5 D-4.6	Fill Layers Fill Moisture Conditioning Compaction of Fill. Fill Slopes Compaction Testing Fill Slopes Compaction Test Locations Fill Slopes	4 5 5 5 5 5
D-5.0 EX	CAVATION	6
D-6.0 TR	ENCH BACKFILLS	6
D-6.1 D-6.2 D-6.3	Safety Bedding and Backfill Lift Thickness	6 6 7



D-1.0 GENERAL

D-1.1 Intent

These Earthwork and Grading Guide Specifications are for grading and earthwork shown on the current, approved grading plan(s) and/or indicated in the Leighton Consulting, Inc. geotechnical report(s). These Guide Specifications are a part of the recommendations contained in the geotechnical report(s). In case of conflict, the project-specific recommendations in the geotechnical report shall supersede these Guide Specifications. Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall provide geotechnical observation and testing during earthwork and grading. Based on these observations and tests, Leighton Consulting, Inc. may provide new or revised recommendations that could supersede these specifications or the recommendations in the geotechnical report(s).

D-1.2 Role of Leighton Consulting, Inc.

Prior to commencement of earthwork and grading, Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall meet with the earthwork contractor to review the earthwork contractor's work plan, to schedule sufficient personnel to perform the appropriate level of observation, mapping and compaction testing. During earthwork and grading, Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall observe, map, and document subsurface exposures to verify geotechnical design assumptions. If observed conditions are found to be significantly different than the interpreted assumptions during the design phase, Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall inform the owner, recommend appropriate changes in design to accommodate these observed conditions, and notify the review agency where required. Subsurface areas to be geotechnically observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested include (1) natural ground after clearing to receiving fill but before fill is placed, (2) bottoms of all "remedial removal" areas, (3) all key bottoms, and (4) benches made on sloping ground to receive fill.

Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall observe moisture-conditioning and processing of the subgrade and fill materials, and perform relative compaction testing of fill to determine the attained relative compaction. Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall provide *Daily Field Reports* to the owner and the Contractor on a routine and frequent basis.

D-1.3 <u>The Earthwork Contractor</u>

The earthwork contractor (Contractor) shall be qualified, experienced and knowledgeable in earthwork logistics, preparation and processing of ground to receive fill, moisture-conditioning and processing of fill, and compacting fill. The Contractor shall review and accept the plans, geotechnical report(s), and these Guide



Specifications prior to commencement of grading. The Contractor shall be solely responsible for performing grading and backfilling in accordance with the current, approved plans and specifications.

The Contractor shall inform the owner and Leighton Consulting, Inc. of changes in work schedules at least one working day in advance of such changes so that appropriate observations and tests can be planned and accomplished. The Contractor shall not assume that Leighton Consulting, Inc. is aware of all grading operations.

The Contractor shall have the sole responsibility to provide adequate equipment and methods to accomplish earthwork and grading in accordance with the applicable grading codes and agency ordinances, these Guide Specifications, and recommendations in the approved geotechnical report(s) and grading plan(s). If, in the opinion of Leighton Consulting, Inc., unsatisfactory conditions, such as unsuitable soil, improper moisture condition, inadequate compaction, adverse weather, etc., are resulting in a quality of work less than required in these specifications, Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall reject the work and may recommend to the owner that earthwork and grading be stopped until unsatisfactory condition(s) are rectified.

D-2.0 PREPARATION OF AREAS TO BE FILLED

D-2.1 Clearing and Grubbing

Vegetation, such as brush, grass, roots and other deleterious material shall be sufficiently removed and properly disposed of in a method acceptable to the owner, governing agencies and Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Care should be taken not to encroach upon or otherwise damage native and/or historic trees designated by the Owner or appropriate agencies to remain. Pavements, flatwork or other construction should not extend under the "drip line" of designated trees to remain.

Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall evaluate the extent of these removals depending on specific site conditions. Earth fill material shall not contain more than 3 percent of organic materials (by dry weight: ASTM D 2974). Nesting of the organic materials shall not be allowed.

If potentially hazardous materials are encountered, the Contractor shall stop work in the affected area, and a hazardous material specialist shall be informed immediately for proper evaluation and handling of these materials prior to continuing to work in that area. As presently defined by the State of California, most refined petroleum products (gasoline, diesel fuel, motor oil, grease, coolant, etc.) have chemical constituents that



are considered to be hazardous waste. As such, the indiscriminate dumping or spillage of these fluids onto the ground may constitute a misdemeanor, punishable by fines and/or imprisonment, and shall not be allowed.

D-2.2 Processing

Existing ground that has been declared satisfactory for support of fill, by Leighton Consulting, Inc., shall be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches (15 cm). Existing ground that is not satisfactory shall be over-excavated as specified in the following Section D-2.3. Scarification shall continue until soils are broken down and free of large clay lumps or clods and the working surface is reasonably uniform, flat, and free of uneven features that would inhibit uniform compaction.

D-2.3 Overexcavation

In addition to removals and over-excavations recommended in the approved geotechnical report(s) and the grading plan, soft, loose, dry, saturated, spongy, organicrich, highly fractured or otherwise unsuitable ground shall be over-excavated to competent ground as evaluated by Leighton Consulting, Inc. during grading. All undocumented fill soils under proposed structure footprints should be excavated

D-2.4 Benching

Where fills are to be placed on ground with slopes steeper than 5:1 (horizontal to vertical units), (>20 percent grade) the ground shall be stepped or benched. The lowest bench or key shall be a minimum of 15 feet (4.5 m) wide and at least 2 feet (0.6 m) deep, into competent material as evaluated by Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Other benches shall be excavated a minimum height of 4 feet (1.2 m) into competent material or as otherwise recommended by Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Fill placed on ground sloping flatter than 5:1 (horizontal to vertical units), (<20 percent grade) shall also be benched or otherwise over-excavated to provide a flat subgrade for the fill.

D-2.5 Evaluation/Acceptance of Fill Areas

All areas to receive fill, including removal and processed areas, key bottoms, and benches, shall be observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested prior to being accepted by Leighton Consulting, Inc. as suitable to receive fill. The Contractor shall obtain a written acceptance (*Daily Field Report*) from Leighton Consulting, Inc. prior to fill placement. A licensed surveyor shall provide the survey control for determining elevations of processed areas, keys and benches.



D-3.0 FILL MATERIAL

D-3.1 Fill Quality

Material to be used as fill shall be essentially free of organic matter and other deleterious substances evaluated and accepted by Leighton Consulting, Inc. prior to placement. Soils of poor quality, such as those with unacceptable gradation, high expansion potential, or low strength shall be placed in areas acceptable to Leighton Consulting, Inc. or mixed with other soils to achieve satisfactory fill material.

D-3.2 Oversize

Oversize material defined as rock, or other irreducible material with a maximum dimension greater than 6 inches (15 cm), shall not be buried or placed in fill unless location, materials and placement methods are specifically accepted by Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Placement operations shall be such that nesting of oversized material does not occur and such that oversize material is completely surrounded by compacted or densified fill. Oversize material shall not be placed within 10 feet (3 m) measured vertically from finish grade, or within 2 feet (0.61 m) of future utilities or underground construction.

D-3.3 Import

If importing of fill material is required for grading, proposed import material shall meet the requirements of Section D-3.1, and be free of hazardous materials ("contaminants") and rock larger than 3-inches (8 cm) in largest dimension. All import soils shall have an Expansion Index (EI) of 20 or less and a sulfate content no greater than (\leq) 500 partsper-million (ppm). A representative sample of a potential import source shall be given to Leighton Consulting, Inc. at least four full working days before importing begins, so that suitability of this import material can be determined and appropriate tests performed.

D-4.0 FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

D-4.1 Fill Layers

Approved fill material shall be placed in areas prepared to receive fill, as described in Section D-2.0, above, in near-horizontal layers not exceeding 8 inches (20 cm) in loose thickness. Leighton Consulting, Inc. may accept thicker layers if testing indicates the grading procedures can adequately compact the thicker layers, and only if the building officials with the appropriate jurisdiction approve. Each layer shall be spread evenly and mixed thoroughly to attain relative uniformity of material and moisture throughout.



D-4.2 Fill Moisture Conditioning

Fill soils shall be watered, dried back, blended and/or mixed, as necessary to attain a relatively uniform moisture content at or slightly over optimum. Maximum density and optimum soil moisture content tests shall be performed in accordance with the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Test Method D 1557.

D-4.3 Compaction of Fill

After each layer has been moisture-conditioned, mixed, and evenly spread, each layer shall be uniformly compacted to not-less-than (\geq) 90 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM Test Method D 1557. In some cases, structural fill may be specified (see project-specific geotechnical report) to be uniformly compacted to at-least (\geq) 95 percent of the ASTM D 1557 modified Proctor laboratory maximum dry density. For fills thicker than (>) 15 feet (4.5 m), the portion of fill deeper than 15 feet below proposed finish grade shall be compacted to 95 percent of the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum density. Compaction equipment shall be adequately sized and be either specifically designed for soil compaction or of proven reliability to efficiently achieve the specified level of compaction with uniformity.

D-4.4 Compaction of Fill Slopes

In addition to normal compaction procedures specified above, compaction of slopes shall be accomplished by back rolling of slopes with sheepsfoot rollers at increments of 3 to 4 feet (1 to 1.2 m) in fill elevation, or by other methods producing satisfactory results acceptable to Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Upon completion of grading, relative compaction of the fill, out to the slope face, shall be at least 90 percent of the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum density.

D-4.5 Compaction Testing

Field-tests for moisture content and relative compaction of the fill soils shall be performed by Leighton Consulting, Inc.. Location and frequency of tests shall be at our field representative(s) discretion based on field conditions encountered. Compaction test locations will not necessarily be selected on a random basis. Test locations shall be selected to verify adequacy of compaction levels in areas that are judged to be prone to inadequate compaction (such as close to slope faces and at the fill/bedrock benches).

D-4.6 Compaction Test Locations

Leighton Consulting, Inc. shall document the approximate elevation and horizontal coordinates of each density test location. The Contractor shall coordinate with the project surveyor to assure that sufficient grade stakes are established so that Leighton



Consulting, Inc. can determine the test locations with sufficient accuracy. Adequate grade stakes shall be provided.

D-5.0 EXCAVATION

Excavations, as well as over-excavation for remedial purposes, shall be evaluated by Leighton Consulting, Inc. during grading. Remedial removal depths shown on geotechnical plans are estimates only. The actual extent of removal shall be determined by Leighton Consulting, Inc. based on the field evaluation of exposed conditions during grading. Where fill-over-cut slopes are to be graded, the cut portion of the slope shall be made, then observed and reviewed by Leighton Consulting, Inc. prior to placement of materials for construction of the fill portion of the slope, unless otherwise recommended by Leighton Consulting, Inc..

D-6.0 TRENCH BACKFILLS

D-6.1 Safety

The Contractor shall follow all OSHA and Cal/OSHA requirements for safety of trench excavations. Work should be performed in accordance with Article 6 of the *California Construction Safety Orders*, 2009 Edition or more current (see also: http://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/sb4a6.html).

D-6.2 Bedding and Backfill

All utility trench bedding and backfill shall be performed in accordance with applicable provisions of the 2015 Edition of the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Green Book). Bedding material shall have a Sand Equivalent greater than 30 (SE>30). Bedding shall be placed to 1-foot (0.3 m) over the top of the conduit, and densified by jetting in areas of granular soils, if allowed by the permitting agency. Otherwise, the pipe-bedding zone should be backfilled with Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) consisting of at least one sack of Portland cement per cubic-yard of sand, and conforming to Section 201-6 of the 2015 Edition of the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Green Book). Backfill over the bedding zone shall be placed and densified mechanically to a minimum of 90 percent of relative compaction (ASTM D 1557) from 1 foot (0.3 m) above the top of the conduit to the surface. Backfill above the pipe zone shall **not** be jetted. Jetting of the bedding around the conduits shall be observed and tested by Leighton Consulting, Inc. and backfill above the pipe zone (bedding) shall be observed and tested by Leighton Consulting, Inc..



D-6.3 Lift Thickness

Lift thickness of trench backfill shall not exceed those allowed in the Standard Specifications of Public Works Construction unless the Contractor can demonstrate to Leighton Consulting, Inc. that the fill lift can be compacted to the minimum relative compaction by his alternative equipment and method, and only if the building officials with the appropriate jurisdiction approve.



APPENDIX E

Soil Loading Basement Wall Evaluation





STATIC CONDITION

SEISMIC CONDITION

BASEMENT WALL DESIGN - EAST & SOUTH



SEISMIC CONDITION

STATIC CONDITION

BASEMENT WALL DESIGN - WEST & NORTH

SOIL LOAD COMBINATIONS - BASEMENT WALL EVALUATION

SANTA MONICA HIGH SCHOOL



STATIC CONDITION





SANTA MONICA HIGH SCHOOL

SOIL LOAD COMBINATIONS - OVERALL BUILDING EVALUATION

SEISMIC CONDITION - EQ PUSHING EAST & SOUTH







Elevation Reference:

Aerial Topographic Survey and Utility Locations for Santa Monica Malibu Unified School District Santa Monica High School In the City of Santa Monica County of Los Angeles State of California January 2, 2008

Design Reference:

HED Design Samohi North Campus Schematic Design 100% 601 Pico Boulevard, Santa Monica, CA 90405 Sheets: A301, A302

TOW-Top of Wall Elevation from Plan: Santa Monica HS Record Locations of Improvements per Caltrans Plans, By PSOMAS, June 22, 2009

G	PLATE 2			
A-A AND D-D Santa Monica High School 601 Pico Boulevard Santa Monica, California				
Proj: 11428.007			Eng/Geol: CCK/JAR	
Scale: 1"=40'		40'	Date: October 2017	Leighton
Drafted By: BQT Checked By: BQT V:\DRAFTING\11428\007\CAD\2017-07-			27\11428-007_P02_CS_2017-10-11.DWG (10-11-17 2:57:33PM) Plotted by: btran	