



SANTA MONICA-MALIBU UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Meeting Minutes

Subject	Facilities District Advisory Committee – Malibu (FDAC-M)
Date	February 16, 2021
Time	4:30 pm – 6:30 pm
Location	Zoom Meeting

1. Call to Order

*Meeting called to order at 4:34pm by Carl with quorum.*

a. Roll Call

Carl Randall	Caren Leib
Stacy Rouse	Marianne Riggins
Lisa Lambert	Melissa Mastrippolito
Jennifer Paras-Pappas	Lou LaMonte
Carla Bowman-Smith	
Ranika Brooks (City of Malibu, Planning Rep)(absent)	

Board Liaison: Craig Foster

Staff:

Steve Massetti	Kathy Staib
Carey Upton	Sheere Bishop
Barbara Chiavelli	Noemi Avila-Zamudio
Michael Burke	Isaac Burgess
Kevin Klaus	Melody Canady

2. Chair Communications/Reports (Carl/Steve) - none

3. Action Items

a. Approval of Minutes

Lou LaMonte moved to approve the 2/14/2020 meeting minutes. Seconded by Caren Leib. Unanimously approved.

4. Staff Communications/Reports

Project reports will be brief, in order to focus on Phase 1 Plans.

a. Covid-19 Impacts – Kevin updates

i. HVAC Improvements – (Kevin, 5 minutes)

Lisa asked if units will be in place when students return. Steve replied that the goal is to have them in and so far it looks like they will be.

SANTA MONICA - MALIBU UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District

Facility Improvement Projects/Measure **BB – ES – M**

2828 Fourth Street • Santa Monica • California 90405 • (310) 450-8338 • www.smmusd.org

- b. MMHS Campus Improvements Project
  - i. Building A/B Construction (Noemi, 5 minutes)  
Noemi updates with photos
- c. Malibu Campus Plan Entitlements (Noemi, 10 minutes)  
Noemi updates.
- d. Verizon Mobile Site (Carey, 5 minutes)  
Carey asked if the District should pursue a mobile site on campus? Craig cautioned Carey about getting involved in neighborhood politics. Noting that there are those who are definitely anti 5g and is it worth it to start this. This also uses capital that we could use somewhere else. Lou agreed with Craig adding that now really is not the time. Melissa and Marianne agreed as well. Stacy noted that there is a question about safety on site, communication is an issue and there is a danger of schools being cut off if there is an emergency. The kids safety is the most important thing. Carey replied that different types of communication have been tried and discussed. We worked with Hans Laetz to set up internet with microwave antenna at Samohi, which is working but if that goes down there is a potential to be cut off. Marianne asked if there is a buffer zone that is a safe distance for the site. Also, what about the homes on Merritt? Carey replied that the issue is the homes on Merritt. Carl added that the city council should take this up, noting that the city has certain rights and once they weigh in we can go from there. Carl asked the committee if they agree? Agreed by the committee, noting that once the city makes a move we can go from there.
- e. Energy Resilience Plan (Carey, 15 minutes)  
Carey introduces Austin. Potential photovoltaic project costing approximately 3-5 million. Carey asked the committee if we should move forward? Steve added that there are people selling us on this so it could cost more and at this point there isn't 3-5 million hanging around. Steve proposes not investing in this plan at this time. Caren added that there is a definite need and we could use back-up generators. If the power does go out will the kids be sent home anyway? Should we do a study? Lisa added that the school site is always a place where the kids are safe. Jennifer asked if there is a rule about sending the kids home? Carey replied that the call is made to send the kids home if we don't have power. Caren added that she thinks that the parents want their kids in school. Marianne asked about cost analysis or rebates? What is the cost for 1 year and can we sell back unused power to Edison? We should know the fiscal impact before we pull the trigger. Carl noted that the consensus is to provide the committee with more information.

5. Discussion Items – Steve introduces NAC/KEA

- a. Malibu Campus Plan, Phase 1 Schematic Design Presentation and Discussion (Steve/Carey/Noemi/Michael/Barbara/NAC/KEA, 1 hour)  
Marianne commented that the landscaping has a cohesiveness, seamless transitions. Carl requested another FDAC meeting before final approval and after meetings with community groups. Steve replied that that would be ideal, noting that he would need help getting on the PTA agendas.

6. Public Comments - None

Public Comments is the time when members of the audience may address the Committee on items not scheduled on the meeting's agenda. All speakers are limited to three (3) minutes. When there are a large number of speakers, the Chair may reduce the allotted time to two (2) minutes per speaker. The Brown Act (Government Code) states that Committee members may not engage in discussion of issues raised during "Public Comments" except to ask clarifying questions, make a brief announcement; make a brief report on his or her own activities, or to refer the matter to staff. This Public Comment section is limited to 20 minutes. If the number of persons wishing to address the Committee exceeds the time limit, additional time will be allowed at the discretion of the Chair.

7. Future Agenda Items:

1. Continue with Phase 1 Discussion

8. Next Meeting

Tentatively April 12, 2021 @ 4:30

9. Adjournment

Lou LaMonte moved to adjourn meeting at 6:45pm. Jennifer seconded. Unanimously approved.