

**Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District
Board of Education Meeting
ADDENDUM TO THE AGENDA**

February 21, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONSENT AGENDA

- A.09a Correction to Award of Phase I Environmental Screening Services to LFR for
Environmental Impact Study Services
ADD: Corrected agenda item (pages 2-3 of this addendum)

MAJOR ITEMS

DISCUSSION ITEMS

- D.03 Discussion of Additional Revenues and Allocation of Measure “BB” Funds
ADD: Agenda item (pages 4-5 of this addendum)

INFORMATION ITEMS

SW

TO: BOARD OF EDUCATION

ACTION/CONSENT

02/21/08

FROM: DIANNE TALARICO / JANECE L. MAEZ /
VIRGINIA I. HYATT

ADDENDUM

RE: AWARD OF PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING SERVICES TO LFR
FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY SERVICES

RECOMMENDATION NO. A.09a

It is recommended that the Board of Education approve the corrected amount of a contract with LFR for Phase I environmental screening services in conjunction with the preparation of the Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) in an amount of ~~\$54,300~~ \$57,500.

Funding Information

Budgeted: Yes

Fund: 21

Source: Building Fund

Account Number: 21-00000-0-00000-85000-5802-050-1500

Description: Consultant Services

COMMENT: At the September 27, 2007, board meeting, the board approved *Recommendation No. A.11* to award a contract to LFR. And while the original text below shows the correct dollar amounts, the paragraph at the top of the page showed an incorrect total (stricken out). Approval of this agenda item will correct the contract amount to reflect the accurate cost of \$57,500 (underlined).

In order to continue the Facilities Master Plan process to completion, the Program EIR must be completed. PCR was selected by the Board of Education (July 12, 2007) to prepare the Program EIR. Part of this review process involves various stages of site evaluations. In working with PCR, LFR will complete the following tasks:

Task I - Screening Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs). This includes review of the sites both current and historically through historical photographs, maps and data bases for potential chemical and ground water contamination. A report is then submitted to the Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC). This report will determine if further Preliminary Environmental Assessments (PEAs) will need to be done prior to construction. \$33,500

Task II - California Department of Education (CDE) checklist - LFR will prepare Form 4.02, which is required by CDE to be completed and submitted for any potential school projects. It requires districts to report on site specific potential risk factors including: electronic transmission lines, hazardous air emissions, railroad easements, airport runways, busy traffic corridors, and hazardous water pipelines and reservoirs. \$20,800

Task III - EIR Support - Help craft writing of EIR with PCR as required. As this scope is unknown at this time, \$3,200 has been set aside for this task.

The Measure "BB" Advisory Committee reviewed this recommendation at its meeting on September 10, 2007.

Measure "BB" Bond funds will be used to cover this expense.

MOTION MADE BY:
SECONDED BY:
STUDENT ADVISORY VOTE:
AYES:
NOES:

TO: BOARD OF EDUCATION

DISCUSSION

02/21/08

FROM: DIANNE TALARICO / JANECE L. MAEZ

ADDENDUM

RE: DISCUSSION OF ADDITIONAL REVENUES AND ALLOCATION OF
MEASURE "BB" FUNDS

DISCUSSION NO. D.03

It has been requested that staff present information and that the board discuss possible allocation of the additional revenues within the Measure "BB" program.

Background

At the January 17, 2008, meeting, staff presented information regarding additional revenues available to the Measure "BB" facility program. These additional revenue sources included accelerating the program, additional Redevelopment Agency funds, additional interest earnings, and the potential of State matching funds. Staff also presented the board with a summarized construction budget that included these new revenue estimates and reflected an unallocated balance in the Measure "BB" program of 62 million dollars. The board directed staff to bring this topic back for further discussion at the February 21, 2008, meeting after both staff and the Measure "BB" Advisory Committee had time to discuss the unallocated amount and prepare recommendations.

There has been considerable activity in the Measure "BB" program over the past month. Staff has been moving forward with our consultants and architects in the programmatic/schematic design phases of all projects. Much discussion and many meetings have occurred at both the site and district levels. Staff has continued to gather information that will assist the board in making the best, long-term decisions regarding the allocation of this valuable resource. Most significantly is information related to enrollment projections. As directed by the board, staff has contracted with DecisionInsite and conducted a thorough review of the district's enrollment projections. The report produced by DecisionInsite was presented to the board at the February 7, 2008, meeting.

The enrollment report clearly demonstrates that student enrollment in the district is expected to decline over the next 5 years. Two enrollment projections from DecisionInsite were presented for the board to consider. The first calculation was conservative and displayed the most dramatic drops in enrollment; the second more moderate projection reflected less decline. The differences between the two projections were due to assumptions used in each model. Generally, a conservative

model is used for short-term budget and staffing decisions and the more moderate model is used when evaluating facility needs.

On February 7, 2008, the board also discussed a renewed interest in evaluating policy decisions related to Inter-District Transfers. Staff is preparing an item on this subject for board consideration. A decision on Inter-District Transfers could potentially impact the enrollment projections presented by DescisionInsite.

Staff continues to be committed to allocation of Measure "BB" resources in support of facility needs at secondary schools in the district. Additionally, staff would recommend an action outlining the board's intention to support those programs. However, staff also feels that a complete analysis of the enrollment study is in order. This analysis should include the impact of reduced enrollment on the number of classrooms required. This analysis should compare the initial number of classrooms presented in the Facility Master plan with current projections. Staff anticipates that this review will be complete and ready for board discussion on March 13, 2008.

The Measure "BB" Committee is scheduled to meet on Tuesday, February 19, 2008. The agenda will have a place for discussion with possible action related to unallocated funds. Either district staff or Measure "BB" Committee members will communicate any discussion or recommendations from the Measure "BB" Committee meeting.