

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10



## Edison Elementary

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Edison Elementary	<b>District Name</b>	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified
<b>Street</b>	2425 Kansas Ave.	<b>Phone Number</b>	310 450-8338
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Santa Monica, CA 90404-5204	<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.smmusd.org">www.smmusd.org</a>
<b>Phone Number</b>	310 828-0335	<b>Superintendent</b>	Tim Cuneo
<b>Principal</b>	Lori Orum	<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:tcuneo@smmusd.org">tcuneo@smmusd.org</a>
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:lorum@smmusd.org">lorum@smmusd.org</a>	<b>CDS Code</b>	19-64980-6022545

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Edison Language Academy is a K -5 dual immersion school serving 435 children in Spanish and English. Edison is the first of three schools in Santa Monica Malibu Unified School District's K-12 Language Academy. One of only 11 such programs in the nation, the Language Academy begins at Edison with approximately equal numbers of Spanish and English speaking students. A school of choice for all families, Edison students come from the eastern edge of the Pico Neighborhood and throughout SMMUSD, with children in neighboring districts admitted on a space available basis.

The dual immersion program provides students with an academically rigorous program that promotes high achievement, develops language proficiency in English and Spanish, and imbues children with an awareness and appreciation for diverse cultures and communities.

Edison students come from diverse racial, ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds. Nearly two-thirds of the students are Latino, over half are English language learners, and approximately half come from low-income families.

We are proud that Edison's Academic Performance Index (API) has grown by more than 135 points over the last seven years, with growth for all groups of students. The California State Department of Education has awarded Edison four consecutive Title I Academic Achievement Awards for exceeding achievement goals for all significant subgroups of students.

All Edison staff are bilingual and are rated as highly qualified with BCLAD or equivalent credentials. Three of the certificated staff and the principal are National Board certified teachers. Parents are actively involved as volunteers, members of parent organizations, and fundraisers.

Edison's goals for school improvement focus on increasing achievement for all students in English, Spanish and mathematics while simultaneously closing achievement gaps between different groups of students. Resources are allocated to accelerate the achievement of students who are lagging, and additional intervention and instructional opportunities are provided to support their growth. Other school goals include creating a school climate that involves parents and educates the whole child.

We are currently in the planning phase for the construction of an entirely new campus. The new school has been designed and plans are in the State Architects' Office awaiting approval. Construction will most likely begin sometime after the end of the 2009-2010 school year.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

**Contact Person Name:** Yoly Gutierrez

**Contact Person Phone Number:** (310) 828-0335.

Edison offers many parent involvement opportunities. The school has the following organizations that support parent involvement: PTA, English Learner Advisory Council (ELAC), and a School Site Council. In addition, parents participate as classroom volunteers, supplemental playground supervisors, room parents, Safety and Beautification Team members, and tutors. The school provides an orientation for all parents wishing to volunteer in classrooms and has a volunteer code of conduct. For more information about parent involvement opportunities, please contact Yoly Gutierrez, Bilingual Community Liaison, Nancy Geshke PTA President or Mayra Rivera or Margarita Tellez, ELAC Co-Presidents.

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	79	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	79	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	75	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	72	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	57	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	62	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	424

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	3.77%	White (not Hispanic)	24.76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00%	Multiple or No Response	6.84%
Asian	1.18%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	52.00%
Filipino	0.00%	English Learners	52.00%
Hispanic or Latino	62.74%	Students with Disabilities	11.00%
Pacific Islander	0.71%	n/a	--

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2006-07				2007-08				2008-09			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
Kindergarten	20.0	4	0	0	20.0	4	0	0	19.8	4	0	0
1	19.0	4	0	0	19.0	4	0	0	19.8	4	0	0
2	19.7	3	0	0	18.5	4	0	0	18.8	4	0	0
3	18.5	4	0	0	18.3	3	0	0	18.0	4	0	0
4	25.0	0	2	0	25.5	0	2	0	28.5	0	2	0
5	28.0	0	2	0	26.0	0	2	0	31.0	0	2	0
6	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
k-3	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
3-4	20.0	1	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
4-8	0.0	0	0	0	23.0	0	1	0	0.0	0	0	0
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Edison's Emergency Safety Plan was updated March 2009 and submitted to the District's Student Services Director and the Santa Monica Police Department for approval. The review process involves all staff. The plan includes evacuation routes and procedures, search and rescue teams, assembly areas, and a parent/student reunion station. Staff and students practice procedures and routines for fire and earthquake evacuation and lock down on a regular basis. Edison students learn on a campus that is safe and secure. Students are supervised in the morning beginning at 7:45 am up until classes begin at 8:15 am. All gates are locked by 8:30 am. All visitors must enter through the main office, sign-in, receive a visitors badge, and state the purpose of their visit. After student dismissal, all gates but one which is supervised by an after-school security staff person, are locked again at 3:00 pm. for the protection of students in the after-school care, recreation, academic and enrichment programs.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	0.8	0.2	0.5	2.9	5.3	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Date School Safety Plan last reviewed: 3/4/2009

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Edison's facilities are well maintained and are in well-functioning condition. The facilities fully support teaching and learning at all levels. Edison was built in 1951. The school facilities are in good working order. Major repairs are conducted by district personnel and minor repairs are serviced by the school's custodians. However, over half the buildings on campus are older, relocatable classrooms and the campus will soon be rebuilt.

Classrooms are provided adequate materials in order to optimize student achievement. Our campus playground contains grade-appropriate games and equipment, and our staff has a workroom and lounge in which to work and relax.

All classrooms, restrooms and playgrounds are cleaned on a regular basis. There are 2 full-time custodians assigned to the Edison Language Academy: one daytime and one nighttime, and a part-time night custodian who assists with the facilities used for pre-school and after-school care. They are responsible for the general overall cleanliness of the school facility. Daily they check all parts of the school campus for graffiti, damage, and cleanliness. All staff members are asked to immediately report any facility or rooms in need of repair or that may be a health or safety concern. There is a school safety committee that meets periodically throughout the school year to review and make recommendations regarding school safety issues. The School District employs Maintenance and Operations staff that oversees the maintenance and upkeep of all school district facilities. The district employs a technically trained staff of maintenance personnel to attend to the regular maintenance needs of the facility. The district also employs staff and grounds persons who are responsible for grounds care and maintenance. A parent beautification committee assists in this area and maintains several special gardens created as low-water use teaching spaces (the native plants garden along the west quad and Carolina's Garden in the library courtyard). With support from the City of Santa Monica, SMMUSD, the Edison PTA, a local foundation and donations from individual families, community and business members, in 2006 Edison re-designed its central cafeteria courtyard to create another low-water use space. The space is now surrounded with murals of the Santa Monica Bay and the courtyard has drainage and landscaping features that reduce water use and runoff to the Bay. Edison has many murals on its walls created by professional artists and children.

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. For the 2008-09 school year, the district budgeted \$500,000 for the deferred maintenance program. This represents 0.4 percent of the district's general fund budget. Needed maintenance includes routine roof maintenance to keep warranties in effect, and deferred maintenance projects include flooring replacement, interior and exterior painting, and some light asphalt repairs. There are no emergency needs as defined by the Office of Public School Construction.

### Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-2010)

The Board of Education has approved funding from Measure BB for the construction of a completely new facility on the Edison site. The construction is designed to create updated, modernized buildings and increase the amount of open space for play and physical education by creating two-story academic buildings. Architectural firm Daly/Genik has worked with an Edison site facilities committee of parents, staff and community members, to create the design for a new school. If all goes according to plan, we will break ground for construction some time in the summer of 2010.

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	x			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	x			
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)		x		
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	x			
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)		x		
Restrooms		x		
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Roofs	x			
Overall Cleanliness	x			

## Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

Item Inspected	Facility Condition			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary		x		

Date of inspection: 10/9/2009

Completion date of inspection form: 10/9/2009

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
<b>With Full Credential</b>	21	22	25	568
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	1	1	0	14
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	--	--	--	n/a

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	1	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	1	0	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
<b>This School</b>	100.0%	0.0%
<b>All Schools in District</b>	90.3%	9.7%
<b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b>	--	--
<b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>	89.6%	10.4%

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	--	--
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	--	--
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1.0	--
Psychologist	0.4	--
Social Worker	--	--
Nurse	0.4	--
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	--
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	--
Other	--	--

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

#### Textbook Information

This section provides information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

All students at Edison have access to state-approved and district adopted textbooks and instructional materials in all core academic areas. The district follows the state guidelines and cycles for textbook adoptions with new science materials purchased and implemented in the 2007-08 school year and new mathematics materials in 2008-09. The district is currently in the adoption process for new Language Arts materials to be adopted for the 2010-11 school year. All students, including English Learners, have their own copy of textbooks, except in the case where "Big Books" are used for the entire classroom at the early primary level. Textbooks and instructional materials are recommended for Board approval through a comprehensive process by a district-wide committee of teachers and administrators. Members of the public are invited to review recommended materials and make comments prior to Board approval. Edison purchases Language Arts materials in Spanish only for grades K-1-2 since the school is a dual immersion school using a 90/10 model (literacy instruction in English begins in 2nd grade). Language Arts materials in grades 3-4-5 are purchased in both English and Spanish to facilitate the development of grade level competencies in both languages. Materials in mathematics are purchased in Spanish for all grade levels. Generally, science materials are purchased in Spanish (with the exception of 5th grade) and Social Studies materials are purchased in Spanish (with the exception of 5th grade).

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

This section provides information if any insufficiency exists, and the reason that each pupil does not have sufficient textbooks or instructional materials.

## Textbook Availability

Textbooks and instructional materials used in the district in the core subject areas of English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and History-Social Science

Subject Area	Textbook Title and/or Publisher	Year of Adoption
English-Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Reading	2003
History-Social Science	California Reflections/Harcourt	2006
Mathematics	enVision Math/Scott Foresman	2008
Science	Harcourt Science	2007

## Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This table displays information about the percent of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials

Core Curriculum Area	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	0%
Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	--

Textbook Information Collection Date: 10/15/2009

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Subject Area	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School	\$6,218.22	\$1,037.45	\$5,180.77	\$69,867.00
District	n/a	n/a	\$6,650.05	\$67,842.00
Percent Difference – School Site and District	--	--	28.4%	2.9%
State	n/a	n/a	\$5,512.00	\$65,905.00
Percent Difference – School Site and State	--	--	6.4%	5.7%

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Edison has a Title I Schoolwide Program. Funds available through this program enrich instruction by providing: instructional aides for classrooms to facilitate small group instruction; a half-time Spanish reading teacher; a summer intervention program in Spanish reading for grades K and 1; support for the school's English Language Development Coach, support for a full-time bilingual community liaison; and additional supplies and materials for teachers. Funding through the School Improvement Block Grant also supports the bilingual community liaison so that Edison has a full time parent liaison, and a 25% health aide to provide emergency health services to students when the nurse is not on campus. Categorical support through the GATE program enriches the Edison program for gifted and talented students by helping support assemblies, special field trips, computers, and supplemental enrichment materials. Additional funding through the SMMUSD Equity Fund supports technology, writing instruction, and intervention programs. Funding from the Edison PTA provides additional support for instructional aides, intervention programs, arts enrichment programming, instructional supplies, assemblies and field trips.

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Range	District	State Average For Districts In Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$44,341.00	\$40,786.00
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$66,205.00	\$65,726.00
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$89,135.00	\$85,230.00
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$112,623.00	\$106,548.00
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$115,538.00	\$112,237.00
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>	\$133,042.00	\$121,617.00
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$193,090.00	\$191,155.00
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	40.9%	40.6%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	6.5%	5.3%

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	50%	57%	61%	65%	67%	68%	43%	46%	50%
Mathematics	67%	70%	67%	52%	55%	56%	40%	43%	46%
Science	42%	57%	61%	58%	66%	69%	38%	46%	50%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	50%	52%	57%	33%	36%	41%

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percentage of Students Scoring At Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	55%	64%	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	47%	61%	55%	--
Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White (not Hispanic)	94%	83%	92%	--
Male	60%	69%	70%	--
Female	61%	66%	53%	--
Economically Disadvantaged	40%	56%	41%	--
English Learners	49%	64%	55%	--
Students with Disabilities	67%	63%	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2007-08)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	3.20%	25.80%	71.00%
7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>.

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/nr/>.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students with Disabilities	English Learners	Students with Disabilities	English Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	6	7	8
Similar Schools	7	10	10

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## API Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	34	25	3	843
African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	42	24	-3	802
Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White (not Hispanic)	--	--	30	947
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	23	3	781
English Learners	44	40	-5	800
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

## AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	n/a	Yes

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	--	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	--	0

# School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on how teachers and staff are trained for instructional improvement.

All teachers and staff at school sites participate in ongoing professional development and curriculum and instructional improvement efforts through banked time, a total of 90 minutes of meeting and professional development time each week at each site. In addition, there is one day set aside in our academic calendar for intensive professional development and planning. This day is developed by and conducted at individual school sites to meet the specific professional development needs for each school.

Areas of school-wide focus for teacher and administrator professional development are determined by a needs assessment conducted by the school leadership. The needs assessment consisted of a review of summative and formative student achievement data and the collection of input from teachers and administrators. The primary focus areas for professional development district-wide are:

- \*Building Professional Learning Community through Lesson Link (a form of Lesson Study), Academic Conferences and Learning Walks
- \*Strengthening our Middle School programs
- \*Improving literacy instruction
- \*Improving mathematics instruction
- \*Meeting the needs of diverse learners to close the achievement gap

A variety of methodologies are used to provide professional development. It is a goal for the SMMUSD to provide coaching to teachers through a variety of mechanisms. Coaching and mentoring occur through several programs such as BTSA, National Boards, literacy and ELD coaching, teacher leadership, and such specialized programs as Cotsen Fellows and Mentors, EETT mentor/coaches and Lesson Link. Additionally, professional development occurs through workshop series during banked time or after school opportunities. Teachers may also attend workshops or conferences that are relevant to their instructional focus. In addition, teachers have the opportunity to attend full-day professional development activities offered by our own staff or appropriately selected consultants.

Each August, all teachers new to Santa Monica-Malibu are invited to participate in Teacher Academy, a professional development and orientation to district wide curriculum and instructional initiatives. All eligible teachers are encouraged to participate in BTSA. Teachers interested in applying for National Board Certification may participate in the process and can elect to be supported by teachers who have already achieved National Board Certification.

In addition, each year, at individual school sites, teachers and administrators review data, discuss options and select additional areas of focus for the staff as a whole as well as for departments and/or grade level teams as appropriate. Edison's focus this year is to continue to work to close gaps in achievement in language arts and math for different groups of students -- specifically working to increase achievement for English learners and students from low-income families. Teachers are working to increase familiarity with Cognitively Guided Instruction and Pictorial Math and strengthen differentiated instruction. In language arts instruction, we are developing targeted instruction on non-transferable skills in English.

### Professional Development Days

This table displays information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

	Instructional Days With At Least 180 Instructional Minutes		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Annual number of school days dedicated to staff development	3	3	3