

**Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District
Board Committee
Measure BB Advisory Committee Meeting**

DRAFT Notes

Monday September 10, 2007,

Time: 4-6:00 pm

Location: Board Room, District Office

1651 16th Street, Santa Monica, CA 90404

- I. **Call to Order- Co Chair Gleam Davis**
- II. **Approval of Minutes – Not Approved, Quorum Not Present**
- III. **Action Items**
 - a. **Program EIR Status Report; CEQA attorney; PEIR review team needed**

Tom presented a discussion of the PEIR process, including Reviews. Reviews will be conducted at: Notice of Preparation (Review Project Description), Draft PEIR, Final Draft PEIR, and Mitigation Program. The proposed PEIR Review Team is: **Dave Reznick, Don Girard, Ted Bardacke, Judith Meister** from the BBAC; **Virginia Hyatt** and **Wally Berriman** from District Staff; **John Dale, Tom Tomeoni,** and **Julia Hawkinson** from the consulting team; and a CEQA attorney, yet to be determined.

Gleam asked if a traffic study would be included in the PEIR; **Tom** indicated that there would be a traffic study.

Judith inquired if the entire FMP would be included in the PEIR; **Tom** stated that the PEIR would integrate the first phases of the Measure BB program as well as the entire FMP. **Virginia** added that the scope of the PEIR could be broad, with specific additional project-specific supplementary CEQA process to follow as needed such as Categorical Exemptions, Mitigated Negative Declarations..

Don stated that all urban projects in the City of Santa Monica have significant impacts. **Bill** asked if there were thresholds for what would require mitigations in the PEIR. **Don** stated that all use changes have mitigations attached, and the thresholds are generally very low.

- b. **Meeting With City of Santa Monica and Santa Monica College; Form And Finalize Joint Use/Shared Use Sub Committee**

Michael Hill discussed the subject of Joint or Shared Use with the City of Santa Monica, and also developing opportunities with the City of Malibu. Specific projects included; the Civic Center, sports facilities, synthetic turf at SMC. With the City of Malibu a sports complex was discussed. Various public/private arts, sports and other services opportunities were discussed. **Michael** stated that the Measure BB projects should not be held back from development in anticipation of joint or shared use opportunities. Discussions should go forward, but the project development should not slow down awaiting the joint/shared use of those projects.

Michael discussed the Fisher Lumber site as an acquisition that could yield the District with 2/3 of an acre of land, that together with other land and a Joint Use agreement could provide adequate space for small school facilities at each end, and office space in the middle. The Fisher Lumber site is located on Colorado Avenue, at the north end of the block with 14th and 16th Streets on the east and west, and Olympic Boulevard on the south. Another joint/shared use opportunity is the 86 acre equestrian park at Malibu High School.

Ralph Mechur stated that the funding for such acquisitions, for example, the Fisher Lumber site, is not included in the Measure BB budget. **Michael** recommended that discussions continue forward with potential joint/shared use opportunities and try to get partners for projects in parallel. A Joint Use sub-committee was formed including **Bob Stallings, Barbara Stinchfield, Dave Reznick, Don Girard** and will include **Michael Hill** as an advisor.

c. Recommendation: Coordination of Measure BB Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule With Board of Education Meeting Calendar

Tom reviewed the BBAC meetings schedule to see if there was support to hold the meetings on the Monday prior to the BOE meetings. It was stated that the City of Malibu Council meetings are on the second and fourth Mondays, therefore, **Bob Stallings** would be unable to attend on those days. It was determined that Mondays are the best day of the week. Final outcome was to post the proposed schedule, with the hopes that the BBAC could be flexible in meeting the dates.

IV. Information

a. Superintendent's Guidance To Measure BB Advisory Committee

Tim Walker presented power point slides (included in the packet), detailing Superintendent Talarico's to the Measure BB Advisory Committee.

b. Process For Selection, Recommendation Of Measure BB Projects

Gleam discussed the path forward for the selection of the Measure BB projects. There was a report to the BOE on 9/6. She stated that the Board expressed its desire that meetings occur at each site including Facilities staff, Principals, and appropriate participation by Site Governance and PTSA members. The scopes of the projects, planning principles, selection of Measure BB projects as "First Steps" within the context of each site FMP. In addition, the intent would be to gain input and comment from participants at each site for analysis and as appropriate, revisions to priorities and scopes of work. She said that the community expected involvement, and that a reacquainting of the sites with the FMP details was important. The parents do not have a high level of planning or construction expertise, she stated, and the discussions with them should be tailored to assisting them to understand why certain projects will be done in the manner detailed. **Gleam** discussed scenarios that would be helpful to the site constituency to understand these concepts. She recommended having **Virginia** and **Wally** available to assist the sites in understanding the details of the project list for each site.

Kathy Wisnicki stated that there would be a joint workshop for the Board and Measure BB committee to discuss the scope of the projects and selection of Measure BB projects prior to the Board having to approve the list(s). October 2nd appears to be the most likely date at this time.

William Jepson stated that the site visits must be a two-way street, with the community input being fully acknowledged in the process. **Gleam** stated that there will be input from the community, whether solicited or not.

Wally stated that there are three considerations to remember about the site visits: First, that input was sought and received in March to prepare the current draft of the FMP. Second, that there should be a full discussion about the rationale behind the project lists. Third, that the school sites should offer input for any adjustment to the list. **William** noted that the site visits should be approached from the standpoint that everything is not written in stone, but could be adjusted based upon input from the school-community. **Wally** informed the Committee that the FMP was adjusted, based on input from the sites in March.

Dennis Crane indicated that the site visit participants should listen openly, then give consideration for recommended changes and come back to the site and explain the method for moving forward.

Tom indicated that the academic calendar is part of the reason to go to the sites, given that the schools have not been in session during the last months to be informed of the development of the Measure BB program requirements for moving forward. **Tom** indicated that in January the sites will be meeting with their respective AE team to continue the planning process and begin the programming of Measure BB projects. The intent is that by June 2008, there will be a good definition of the projects including scopes and budgets reconciled to the available funding. **Gleam** stated that there is no disagreement with the schedule for AE services process. **Tom** stated that the overriding guiding principle is economic feasibility.

c. Michael Hill, Consulting Contract, Joint/Shared Use Initiatives

Michael Hill was introduced by Virginia as the proposed go-to for joint and shared use issues. She stated that there is a proposed \$25 k agreement for **Michael's** services.

d. Technology Consultant, Consulting Contract

Virginia introduced the topic of the need for standardization of the new technology that will be funded by Measure BB, and the need to have a technology expert on contract for that purpose. There is a proposed person that the District is pursuing, but the agreement has not been finalized.

e. Land Acquisition, Virginia Avenue, Edison School

Virginia discussed a parcel of land adjoining the Edison site which would be purchased using Measure BB funds to add play area to the site. The cost of the acquisition was mentioned from previous conversations on the subject at \$1.5 million. There was support expressed to proceed with next steps in the acquisition process.

f. Santa Monica High School Solar Panel Replacement

Virginia stated that through the solar alliance at Samohi, there is a proposal to replace the solar panels, using other funding from Measure BB. If not, Measure BB funds will need to be used. The accepted proposal will be for \$84,000.

g. Environmental Phase 1 Screening, Consulting Contract

Tom stated that there will be a need for Phase 1 environmental screening for the projects. A consultant is needed to review each site, then determine if further study will be required. The agreement for the consultant would be in the \$50 - \$65 thousand range.

h. John Zinner Consultants, Consulting Contract, Support To Sustainability Sub Committee

Virginia discussed John Zinner as a community member, having expertise in CHPS. **John** will be an advisor to the Sustainability Sub Committee to do three things: First, assist in the development of a Board Resolution on sustainability. Second, John will assist in the reporting requirements as a support person. Third, **John** will offer on-going support to the sub committee. **John's** fee will be on a NTE agreement for \$15 k, billed on an hourly rate.

i. Virginia discussed agreements for Harley Ellis Devereaux (two agreements), for \$50,000 and \$36,000 for development of classroom standards as part of the overall Program Design Guidelines and for completion of the FMP in coordination with the Program EIR. Another agreement is for Sidewalk Studios for \$16,000 to prepare a Measure BB newsletter.

Adjourned at 6:00 pm.

NEXT MEETING: September 17, 2007, 4-6pm

Attendance:

Measure BB Advisory Committee Members:

Craig Hamilton, Co-Chair
Gleam Davis, Co-Chair
Dennis Crane
David Reznick (unable to attend)
Barbara Stinchfield, City of SM (unable to attend)
Bob Stallings, City of Malibu (unable to attend)
Don Girard, SMC
Chris Harding (unable to attend)

Laura Rosenthal (unable to attend)
Laura Rosenbaum
Ted Bardacke (unable to attend)
Elaine Rene-Weissman (unable to attend)
Larry Gray (unable to attend)
Judith Meister
William Jepson
David Kaplan

Board Liaisons:

Kathy Wisnicki, Board Liaison (non voting member)
Ralph Mechur, Board Liaison (non voting member)

SMMCCTA Liaison:

Harry Keiley (not present)

SMMUSD Staff:

Dianne Talarico, Superintendent (not present)
Tim Walker, Asst. Superintendent
Steve Hodgson, Interim CFO and Asst. Superintendent (not present)
Virginia Hyatt, Purchasing Director
Wally Berriman, Director of Facilities/ Director on Special Assignment
Sarah Wahrenbrock, Assistant to Superintendent (not present)

District Consultants:

Parsons / CCM:
Tom Tomeoni, Program Manager
Julia Hawkinson, Deputy Program Manager
Larry Fugal, Deputy Program Manager
Michael Hill, Joint/Shared Use Consultant